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## D-finite series and sequences

A formal power series $f(z):=\sum f_{n} z^{n}$ is $D$-finite (or differentially finite) if it satisfies a linear differential equation with polynomial coefficients (not all zero), e.g.

$$
(1-z)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}+(4 z-5) f^{\prime}+2 f=0
$$

Another name is holonomic.
If the series $\sum f_{n} z^{n}$ converges for sufficiently small $|z|$, then it represents an analytic function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, but we allow the case where the radius of convergence is zero, e.g. $f_{n}=n!$.
A sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is $D$-finite (or $P$-recursive) if, for some $N$, $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant N}$ satisfies a linear recurrence with a fixed number of polynomial coefficients (not all zero), e.g.

$$
n a_{n}-(2 n-1) a_{n-1}+(n-2) a_{n-2}=0, \quad n \geqslant 2
$$

The two concepts are equivalent: the formal power series $\sum f_{n} z^{n}$ is D-finite iff the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is D-finite.

## Closure properties of D-finiteness

The set of D-finite power series is closed under addition and (ordinary) multiplication. (Recall that multiplication of power series corresponds to convolution of the corresponding sequences.)
It is also closed under the Hadamard product $(f \circ g)_{n}=f_{n} \cdot g_{n}$, which corresponds to pointwise multiplication of sequences.
However, it is not closed under division, e.g. the Maclaurin series for $\tan z=\sin z / \cos z$ and $\sec z=1 / \cos z$ are not D-finite.

## Asymptotics of D-finite sequences

We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of D-finite sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
For example, it is clear from the polynomial recurrence satisfied by a D-finite sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ that, for some constant $c$, $a_{n} \ll \exp (c n \log n)$.
Thus, it is not possible for $a_{n}$ to grow as fast as $\exp \left(n^{2}\right)$.
On the other hand, polynomial growth and exponential growth are certainly possible.

## An example of "stretched exponential" growth

There are D-finite sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
a_{n} \sim A n^{g} \exp \left(B n^{\alpha}\right) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

for certain constants $A \neq 0, B>0, g$, and $\alpha \in(0,1)$.
For example: from a result of Wright (1949), the coefficients in the Maclaurin series for

$$
\exp (1 / \sqrt{1-z})
$$

have this form of asymptotic behaviour, with

$$
A=\frac{1}{2^{1 / 3} \sqrt{3 \pi}}, \quad B=\frac{3}{2^{2 / 3}}, \quad g=-\frac{5}{6}, \alpha=\frac{1}{3} .
$$

## Another example (our starting point)

From a result of Perron (1914), the coefficients $a_{n}$ in the Maclaurin series for

$$
f_{0}(z):=\exp (z /(1-z))
$$

have the same "stretched exponential" form of asymptotic behaviour, with

$$
A=1 / \sqrt{4 \pi e}, B=2, g=-3 / 4, \text { and } \alpha=1 / 2
$$

so

$$
a_{n} \sim \frac{e^{2 \sqrt{n}}}{2 n^{3 / 4} \sqrt{\pi e}}
$$

## Salvy's conjecture

Bruno Salvy recently conjectured that similar behaviour was possible with $B<0$. In particular, he conjectured that the function

$$
f_{1}(z):=e^{x} E_{1}(x)=e^{x} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} d t, \text { where } x=\frac{1}{1-z}
$$

has Maclaurin series coefficients $b_{n}$ such that

$$
b_{n} \sim A n^{-3 / 4} \exp \left(-2 n^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

In the notation on the previous slides,

$$
B=-2, g=-3 / 4, \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha=1 / 2
$$

We have proved Salvy's conjecture. In fact, we have found a full asymptotic expansion for $b_{n}$.

## Differential equations for $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$

Recall that $f_{0}(z)=\exp (z /(1-z))$. Differentiating, we see that $f_{0}$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
(1-z)^{2} f_{0}^{\prime}(z)-f_{0}(z)=0
$$

Similarly, with

$$
f_{1}(z)=e^{x} E_{1}(x)=e^{x} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} d t, \text { where } x=1 /(1-z)
$$

we find that

$$
(1-z)^{2} f_{1}^{\prime}(z)-f_{1}(z)=z-1
$$

Only the right-hand sides (and initial conditions) differ. Differentiating twice more, we get a third-order differential equation

$$
(1-z)^{2} f^{\prime \prime \prime}+(4 z-5) f^{\prime \prime}+2 f^{\prime}=0
$$

satisfied by both $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$.

## Recurrence relation for $a_{n}$

Putting $f_{0}(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ in the differential equation satisfied by $f_{0}$ and equating coefficients, we get the 3-term recurrence relation

$$
n a_{n}-(2 n-1) a_{n-1}+(n-2) a_{n-2}=0 \text { for } n \geqslant 2 .
$$

Initial conditions are $a_{0}=a_{1}=1$. Using the recurrence, we can compute $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}=(1,1,3 / 2,13 / 6,73 / 24,167 / 40, \ldots)$.
A closed form is

$$
a_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k!}\binom{n-1}{k-1} \quad(n \geqslant 1) .
$$

We can also write $a_{n}=L_{n}^{(-1)}(-1)$, where the generalised Laguerre polynomials $L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ are orthogonal over $[0, \infty)$ with respect to the weight function $x^{\alpha} e^{-x}$.

## Recurrence relation for $b_{n}$

The differential equation satisfied by $f_{1}(z)=\sum b_{n} z^{n}$ implies a 3-term recurrence

$$
n b_{n}-(2 n-1) b_{n-1}+(n-2) b_{n-2}=0 \text { for } n \geqslant 3
$$

This is the same recurrence that is satisfied by $\left(a_{n}\right)$, but the initial conditions are different.
For $\left(b_{n}\right)$, the initial conditions are $b_{0}=G, b_{1}=G-1$, $b_{2}=(3 G-2) / 2$, where $G:=e E_{1}(1) \approx 0.596$ is the Euler-Gompertz constant.
The recurrence can be used to compute more terms, but it is numerically unstable if used in the forward direction.

## Asymptotic expansions of $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$

Recall that $a_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] f_{0}(z)$ and $b_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] f_{1}(z)$.
Using the recurrence relation satisfied by $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)$, we can prove that $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ have closely related asymptotic expansions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n} & \sim \frac{e^{2 \sqrt{n}}}{2 n^{3 / 4} \sqrt{\pi e}} \sum_{k \geqslant 0} c_{k} n^{-k / 2}, \\
b_{n} & \sim-\frac{\sqrt{\pi e}}{n^{3 / 4} e^{2 \sqrt{n}}} \sum_{k \geqslant 0}(-1)^{k} c_{k} n^{-k / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

for certain constants $c_{k} \in \mathbb{Q}, c_{0}=1$.
The expansion for $a_{n}$ is known [Perron (1914), Wright (1932)], but the expansion for $b_{n}$ appears to be new.

## The Hadamard product

In the Hadamard product $\rho_{n}:=a_{n} b_{n}$, the exponentials and constant $\sqrt{\pi e}$ cancel, giving

$$
\rho_{n} \sim-\frac{1}{2 n^{3 / 2}}\left(c_{0}+c_{1} h+c_{2} h^{2}+\cdots\right)\left(c_{0}-c_{1} h+c_{2} h^{2}-\cdots\right),
$$

where $h=n^{-1 / 2}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(F\left(h^{2}\right)\right. & \left.+h G\left(h^{2}\right)\right)\left(F\left(h^{2}\right)-h G\left(h^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =F\left(h^{2}\right)^{2}-h^{2} G\left(h^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =F\left(n^{-1}\right)^{2}-n^{-1} G\left(n^{-1}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\rho_{n} \sim-\frac{1}{2 n^{3 / 2}}\left(d_{0}+\frac{d_{1}}{n}+\frac{d_{2}}{n^{2}}+\cdots\right)
$$

for certain constants $d_{k} \in \mathbb{Q}, d_{0}=1$.

## The constants $c_{k}$

Let $(\tau)_{m}$ denote the ascending factorial $\tau(\tau+1) \cdots(\tau+m-1)$.
The constants $c_{k}$ appearing in the asymptotic expansions of $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ can be computed from

$$
c_{k}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\left[h^{k-j}\right] \exp (\mu(h)) \frac{(k-2 j+3 / 2)_{2 j}}{4 j!},
$$

where

$$
\mu(h)=\frac{1}{h}-\frac{1}{e^{h}-1}-\frac{1}{2}=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2 m}}{(2 m)!} h^{2 m-1}
$$

and the $B_{2 m}$ are Bernoulli numbers. This follows from results of Temme (2013).
Numerically,

$$
\left(c_{k}\right)_{k \geqslant 0}=\left(1,-\frac{5}{48},-\frac{479}{4608},-\frac{15313}{3317760}, \frac{710401}{127401984}, \ldots\right) .
$$

## The constants $d_{k}$

The constants $d_{k}$ appearing in the asymptotic expansion of $\rho_{n}=a_{n} b_{n}$ can be computed from the $c_{k}$ using

$$
d_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{2 k}(-1)^{j} c_{j} c_{2 k-j}
$$

This gives the following numerical values:

$$
\left(d_{k}\right)_{k \geqslant 0}=\left(1,-\frac{7}{32}, \frac{43}{2048},-\frac{915}{65536},-\frac{521101}{8388608}, \ldots\right) .
$$

We observe that the denominators appear to be powers of two! In other words, the $\left(d_{k}\right)$ appear to be dyadic rationals.

## A conjecture

$$
\text { Let } r_{k}:=2^{6 k} d_{k} \text {, so }
$$

$$
\left(r_{k}\right)_{k \geqslant 0}=(1,-14,86,-3660,-1042202,-247948260, \ldots) .
$$

Conjecture: for all $k \geqslant 0, r_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
We have verified the conjecture numerically for all $k \leqslant 1000$.
We also showed that $r_{k}<0$ for $k \geqslant 3, r_{k}$ is even for $k>0$, and $4 \mid r_{k}$ unless $k$ is zero or a power of two (all for $k \leqslant 1000$ ).
Towards the conjecture: we can prove that $k!r_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ (even this weak result is not obvious).

## An analogy

The modified Bessel functions $I_{0}(z)$ and $K_{0}(z)$ are solutions of the same ordinary differential equation $z y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}-z y=0$, but $I_{0}(z)$ increases with $z$ while $K_{0}(z)$ decreases. $K_{0}(z)$ is a minimal solution of the ODE.
The product $I_{0}(Z) K_{0}(z)$ has an asymptotic expansion

$$
I_{0}(z) K_{0}(z) \sim \frac{1}{2 z} \sum_{k \geqslant 0} e_{k} z^{-2 k} .
$$

Here

$$
e_{k}=\frac{(2 k)!^{3}}{2^{6 k} k!^{4}}=\frac{(2 k)!}{2^{6 k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}
$$

so clearly $2^{6 k} e_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ (in fact $\left.2^{4 k} e_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$.
Similarly if $\left(I_{0}, K_{0}\right) \mapsto\left(I_{\nu}, K_{\nu}\right)$. Here $I_{\nu}(z), K_{\nu}(z)$ are independent solutions of the differential equation $z^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+z y^{\prime}-\left(z^{2}+\nu^{2}\right) y=0$, and we assume that $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## A recurrence for $d_{k}$

The rational numbers $d_{k}$, and hence the [conjectured] integers $r_{k}=2^{6 k} d_{k}$, may be computed as follows, avoiding any mention of the sequence $\left(c_{k}\right)$.

$$
d_{0}=1 \text { and, for all } k \geqslant 1, d_{k}=\frac{1}{8 k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{j, k} d_{j}
$$

Here the coefficients $\alpha_{j, k}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{j, k}= & \left(-1+3 \cdot 2^{m-1}-2 \cdot 3^{m}\right)(\tau)_{m-1} /(m-1)! \\
& +\left(7-17 \cdot 2^{m}+17 \cdot 3^{m}\right)(\tau)_{m} / m! \\
& +\left(-13+38 \cdot 2^{m}-33 \cdot 3^{m}\right)(\tau)_{m+1} /(m+1)! \\
& +6\left(1-4 \cdot 2^{m}+3 \cdot 3^{m}\right)(\tau)_{m+2} /(m+2)!,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m:=k-j$ and $\tau:=j+1 / 2$.

## A recurrence for $\rho_{n}$

To prove the result on the previous slide, we use a recurrence satisfied by $\rho_{n}=a_{n} b_{n}$. Since $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)$ are D-finite, so also is $\left(\rho_{n}\right)$, so such a recurrence must exist.
Using $\sigma_{n}:=n \rho_{n}$, the recurrence may be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(n-1)(2 n-3) \sigma_{n}=(2 n-1)\left(3 n^{2}-5 n+1\right) \sigma_{n-1} \\
& \quad-(2 n-3)\left(3 n^{2}-5 n+1\right) \sigma_{n-2}+(n-2)(n-3)(2 n-1) \sigma_{n-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

(for $n \geqslant 3$ ), with $\sigma_{0}=0, \sigma_{1}=G-1, \sigma_{2}=9 G / 2-3$.
The mysterious constants in the definition of $\alpha_{j, k}$ on the previous slide arise in a natural way from the polynomials in the recurrence for $\sigma_{n}$.

## Confluent hypergeometric functions

Kummer's differential equation may be written as

$$
z w^{\prime \prime}+(b-z) w^{\prime}-a w=0,
$$

with a regular singular point at $z=0$ and an irregular singular point at $z=\infty$. It has two (usually) linearly independent solutions $M(a, b, z)$ and $U(a, b, z)$. Kummer (1837) considered

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(a, b, z):={ }_{1} F_{1}(a ; b ; z)=\sum_{k \geqslant 0} \frac{(a)_{k} z^{k}}{(b)_{k} k!}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is undefined if $b$ is zero or a negative integer. In the case $a \neq b=0$, we can use the solution

$$
z M(a+1,2, z)=\lim _{b \rightarrow 0} \frac{b}{a} M(a, b, z)
$$

## A second solution

For a second solution to Kummer's differential equation, Tricomi (1954) introduced

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(a, b, z):= & \frac{\Gamma(1-b)}{\Gamma(a+1-b)} M(a, b, z) \\
& +\frac{\Gamma(b-1)}{\Gamma(a)} z^{1-b} M(a+1-b, 2-b, z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the right side is undefined if $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, but the definition may be extended by continuity. To avoid this problem, we can use the integral representation (for $\Re(a)>0, \Re(z)>0$ )

$$
U(a, b, z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z t} t^{a-1}(1+t)^{b-a-1} d t
$$

We are interested in the case $(a, b, z)=(n, 0,1)$.

## The connection between $a_{n}, b_{n}$ and Kummer functions

Recall that $a_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] f_{0}(z)$ and $b_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] f_{1}(z)$.
We can prove
Theorem
For $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
a_{n}=e^{-1} M(n+1,2,1) \text { and } b_{n}=-\Gamma(n) U(n, 0,1) .
$$

Sketch of proof. For $a_{n}$, we show that $a_{n}$ and $e^{-1} M(n+1,2,1)$ satisfy the same recurrence (a so-called "connection formula", due to Gauss) and the same initial conditions, so must be equal.
For $b_{n}$, we use an integral representation of $U$ to obtain a generating function.

## Application to computing the $c_{k}$

Slater (1960) and Temme (2013) give asymptotic expansions of the Kummer functions $M(n, b, z)$ and $U(n, b, z)$ for large $n$. We can use their results to obtain asymptotic expansions of $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$. However, it is possible to derive the asymptotic expansions of $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ independently, using the recurrence that both sequences satisfy.
The latter approach has the advantage of showing that the same constants $c_{k}$ occur in both asymptotic expansions (apart from a change of sign).
Using these two different methods, we obtain two different formulas for the $c_{k}$.

## Two formulas for the $c_{k}$

The direct method gives a recursive formula: $c_{0}=1$ and, for all $k \geqslant 1$,
$k c_{k}=\left[h^{k+3}\right] \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_{j} h^{j} \sum_{s \in\{ \pm 1\}}\left(1+s h^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-2 j}{4}} \exp \left(\frac{2}{h}\left(\left(1+s h^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-1\right)\right)$.
The results of Slater and Temme lead to the formula that we mentioned earlier. It does not involve recursion, but does involve Bernoulli numbers:

$$
c_{m}=(-1)^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\left[h^{m-j}\right] \exp (\mu(h)) \frac{(m-2 j+3 / 2)_{2 j}}{4 j j!},
$$

where $\mu(h)=h^{-1}-\left(e^{h}-1\right)^{-1}-\frac{1}{2}=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2 k}}{(2 k)!} h^{2 k-1}$.

## Conclusion

I hope that I have given you an interesting conjecture to occupy idle hours - but not, of course, during subsequent talks!
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