

4 [9].—RICHARD P. BRENT, *Tables Concerning Irregularities in the Distribution of Primes and Twin Primes*, Computer Centre, Australian National University, Canberra, 1974, 11 computer sheets deposited in the UMT file.

These are the tables referred to repeatedly in Brent's paper [1]. The numbers  $\pi(n)$ ,  $\pi_2(n)$  and  $B^*(n)$  and

$$r_i(n), s_i(n), R_i(n, n'), \rho_i(n, n')$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  are defined in [1]. They are listed in Table 1 for 533 values of  $n$ :

$$10^4 (10^4) 10^6 (10^5) 10^7 (10^6) 10^8 (10^7) 10^9 (10^8) 10^{10} (10^9) 83 \cdot 10^9.$$

Table 2 (1 page long) lists  $n$ ,  $\pi_2(n)$ ,  $B(n)$ , and  $B^*(n)$  with some auxiliary functions for

$$10^5 (10^5) 10^6 (10^6) 10^7 (10^7) 10^8 (10^8) 10^9 (10^9) 10^{10} (10^{10}) 8 \cdot 10^{10}.$$

The author indicates that he has much more detailed tables and is continuing to  $10^{11}$ .

Section 3 of [1] ends with the same conclusion given earlier in our [2]: that the unpredictable fluctuations of  $\pi_2(n)$  around the Hardy-Littlewood approximation makes it difficult to compute Brun's constant accurately. But his Fig. 3 allows for a posteriori judgment; although we do not know where  $s_3(n)$  is going, we know where it's been! We see that Fröberg's low value at  $\log_{10}n = 6.02$ , our high value at  $\log_{10}n = 7.51$  and Bohman's low value at  $\log_{10}n = 9.30$  all correlate (inversely) with the peaks and valleys of Fig. 3. In fact, Fig. 3 between  $\log_{10}n = 6.63$  and 7.19 gives a crude, distorted, upside-down version of our Fig. 1 [2] and  $\log_{10}n$  between 7.19 and 7.51 continues with our Fig. 2. Thus, for Brun's constant, it does appear that  $n = 8 \cdot 10^{10}$  is a good time to quit since  $s_3(n)$  is then very small.

Concerning the negative peaks in Brent's Fig. 1 at  $\log_{10}n = 8.04$  and 8.25, it would be nice to know when they are exceeded. As Brent is aware, if a likely  $n$  were known that is not too large, one could restart his tables of  $r_i(n)$  and  $s_i(n)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  by computing a fiducial mark  $\pi(n)$  by Lehmer's method.

D. S.

1. RICHARD P. BRENT, "Irregularities in the distribution of primes and twin primes," *Math. Comp.*, v. 29, 1975, pp. 43-56 (this issue).
2. DANIEL SHANKS & JOHN W. WRENCH, JR., "Brun's constant," *Math. Comp.*, v. 28, 1974, pp. 293-299; "Corrigendum", *ibid*, p. 1183.

5 [9].—CARL-ERIK FRÖBERG, *Kummer's Förmodan*, Lund University, 1973, 133 pages of computer output deposited in the UMT file.

The Kummer Sum

$$(1) \quad S_p = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \exp(2\pi i n^3/p) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{(p-1)/2} \cos(2\pi n^3/p)$$