

# A harmonic sum over nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function\*

Richard P. Brent<sup>†</sup>, David J. Platt<sup>‡</sup> and Timothy S. Trudgian<sup>§</sup>

September 14, 2020

## Abstract

We consider the sum  $\sum 1/\gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  ranges over the ordinates of nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function in an interval  $(0, T]$ , and consider the behaviour of the sum as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . We show that, after subtracting a smooth approximation  $\frac{1}{4\pi} \log^2(T/2\pi)$ , the sum tends to a limit  $H \approx -0.0171594$  which can be expressed as an integral. We calculate  $H$  to high accuracy, using a method which has error  $O((\log T)/T^2)$ . Our results improve on earlier results by Hassani and other authors.

## 1 Introduction

Let the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  be denoted by  $\rho = \sigma + i\gamma$ . In order of increasing height, the ordinates of these zeros in the upper half-plane are  $\gamma_1 \approx 14.13 < \gamma_2 < \gamma_3 < \dots$ . Define

$$G(T) := \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} 1/\gamma,$$

where multiple zeros (if they exist) are weighted according to their multiplicity. We consider the behaviour of  $G(T)$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Answering a question of Hassani [7], we show in Theorem 1 of §2 that there exists

$$H := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( G(T) - \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi)}{4\pi} \right). \quad (1)$$

---

\*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 11M26, 11Y60

<sup>†</sup>Australian National University, Canberra, Australia <Hlimit@rpbrent.com>

<sup>‡</sup>School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK <dave.platt@bris.ac.uk>

<sup>§</sup>School of Science, Univ. of NSW, Canberra, Australia <t.trudgian@adfa.edu.au>

There is an analogy with the harmonic series  $\sum 1/n$ , which appears in the usual definition of Euler's constant:

$$C := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} - \log N \right) = 0.577215 \dots .$$

It is well-known that one can compute  $C$  accurately using Euler–Maclaurin summation or faster algorithms, see [1, 2, 5] and the references given there. However, it is not so easy to compute  $H$  accurately, because of the irregular spacing of the nontrivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ , for which see [9].

In §4 we consider numerical approximation of  $H$ , after giving some preliminary lemmas in §3. If the definition (1) is used directly with the zeros up to height  $T$ , then the error is  $O((\log T)/T)$ . In Theorem 2 we show how to improve this, without much extra computation, to  $O((\log T)/T^2)$ . In Corollary 1 we give an explicit bound on  $H$  with error of order  $10^{-18}$ .

Finally, in §5, we comment briefly on related results in the literature.

## 2 Existence of the limit

Before proving Theorem 1, we define some notation. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of positive ordinates of zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ . Following Titchmarsh [11, §9.2–§9.3], if  $0 < T \notin \mathcal{F}$ , then we let  $N(T)$  denote the number of zeros  $\beta + i\gamma$  of  $\zeta(s)$  with  $0 < \gamma \leq T$ , and  $S(T)$  denote the value of  $\pi^{-1} \arg \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iT)$  obtained by continuous variation along the straight lines joining  $2$ ,  $2 + iT$ , and  $\frac{1}{2} + iT$ , starting with the value 0. If  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ , we could take  $S(T) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} [S(T - \delta) + S(T + \delta)]/2$ , and similarly for  $N(T)$ , but we avoid this exceptional case. Note that  $N(T)$  and  $S(T)$  are piecewise continuous, with jumps at  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ .

By [11, Thm. 9.3], we have  $N(T) = L(T) + Q(T)$ , where

$$L(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \left( \log \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) - 1 \right) + \frac{7}{8}, \text{ and } Q(T) = S(T) + O(1/T).$$

An explicit bound from Trudgian [13, Cor. 1] is

$$Q(T) = S(T) + \frac{0.2\vartheta}{T} \tag{2}$$

where (here and elsewhere)  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $|\vartheta| \leq 1$ .

Let  $S_1(T) := \int_0^T S(t) dt$ . By [11, Thm. 9.4 and Thm. 9.9(A)], we have  $S(T) = O(\log T)$  and  $S_1(T) = O(\log T)$ , and it follows from (2) that  $Q(T) = O(\log T)$  also.

Explicit bounds on  $S_1(T)$  are known. For certain constants  $c$ ,  $A_0 \geq 0$ ,  $A_1 \geq 0$ , and  $T_0 > 0$ , there is a bound

$$|S_1(T) - c| \leq A_0 + A_1 \log T \text{ for all } T \geq T_0. \quad (3)$$

From [12, Thm. 2.2], we could take  $c = S_1(168\pi)$ ,  $A_0 = 2.067$ ,  $A_1 = 0.059$ , and  $T_0 = 168\pi$ . However, a small computation shows that (3) also holds for  $T \in [2\pi, 168\pi]$ . Hence, we take  $T_0 = 2\pi$  in (3).

Our first result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *The limit  $H$  in (1) exists. Also,*

$$H = \int_{2\pi}^{\infty} \frac{Q(t)}{t^2} dt - \frac{1}{16\pi},$$

where  $Q(T) = N(T) - L(T)$  is as above.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $2\pi \leq T \notin \mathcal{F}$ . Using Stieltjes integrals, and noting that  $\gamma_1 > 2\pi$  and  $Q(2\pi) = \frac{1}{8}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(T) &= \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \frac{1}{\gamma} = \int_{2\pi}^T \frac{dN(t)}{t} = \int_{2\pi}^T \frac{dL(t)}{t} + \int_{2\pi}^T \frac{dQ(t)}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi}^T \frac{\log(t/2\pi)}{t} dt + \left[ \frac{Q(t)}{t} + \int \frac{Q(t)}{t^2} dt \right]_{2\pi}^T \\ &= \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi)}{4\pi} + \frac{Q(T)}{T} - \frac{1}{16\pi} + \int_{2\pi}^T \frac{Q(t)}{t^2} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Thus

$$G(T) - \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi)}{4\pi} = \int_{2\pi}^T \frac{Q(t)}{t^2} dt - \frac{1}{16\pi} + O((\log T)/T).$$

Letting  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , the last integral converges, so the limit of the left-hand-side exists, and we obtain

$$H = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( G(T) - \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi)}{4\pi} \right) = \int_{2\pi}^{\infty} \frac{Q(t)}{t^2} dt - \frac{1}{16\pi}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Two lemmas

We now give two lemmas that are used in the proof of Theorem 2.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $2\pi \leq T \notin \mathcal{F}$ , then*

$$\int_{2\pi}^T \frac{Q(t)}{t^2} dt = G(T) - \frac{Q(T)}{T} + \frac{1}{16\pi} - \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi)}{4\pi}.$$

*Proof.* This is just a rearrangement of (4) in the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $T \geq 2\pi$  and*

$$E_2(T) := \int_T^\infty \frac{Q(t)}{t^2} dt, \tag{5}$$

*then*

$$|E_2(T)| \leq \frac{4.27 + 0.12 \log T}{T^2}.$$

*Proof.* To bound  $E_2(T)$  we note that, from (2),

$$\int_T^\infty \frac{Q(t)}{t^2} dt = \int_T^\infty \frac{S(t)}{t^2} dt + \frac{0.1\vartheta}{T^2}. \tag{6}$$

Also, using integration by parts,

$$\int_T^\infty \frac{S(t)}{t^2} dt = -\frac{S_1(T) - c}{T^2} + 2 \int_T^\infty \frac{S_1(t) - c}{t^3} dt. \tag{7}$$

Using (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_T^\infty \frac{S(t)}{t^2} dt \right| &\leq \frac{|S_1(T) - c|}{T^2} + 2 \int_T^\infty \frac{|S_1(t) - c|}{t^3} dt \\ &\leq \frac{A_0 + A_1 \log T}{T^2} + 2 \int_T^\infty \frac{A_0 + A_1 \log t}{t^3} dt \\ &= \frac{2A_0 + 0.5A_1 + 2A_1 \log T}{T^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Using (6), this gives

$$|E_2(T)| \leq \frac{2A_0 + 0.5A_1 + 0.1 + 2A_1 \log T}{T^2}.$$

Inserting the values  $A_0 = 2.067$  and  $A_1 = 0.059$  gives the result.  $\square$

We note that the bound (8) might be improved by using a result of Fujii [6, Thm. 2] to bound the integral of  $S_1(t)/t^3$  in (7), although we are not aware of any explicit version of Fujii's estimate. The bound would then be dominated by the term  $-S_1(T)/T^2$  in (7). This term is  $o((\log T)/T^2)$  iff the Lindelöf Hypothesis (LH) is true, see [11, Thm 13.6(B) and Note 13.8]. Thus, obtaining an order-of-magnitude improvement in the bound on  $E_2(T)$  is equivalent to proving LH.

## 4 Numerical approximation of $H$

We consider two methods to approximate  $H$  numerically. The first method truncates the sum and integral in the definition (1) at height  $T \geq 2\pi e$ , giving an approximation with error  $E(T) = O((\log T)/T)$ . An explicit bound

$$H = G(T) - \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi)}{4\pi} + A\vartheta\left(\frac{2\log T + 1}{T}\right) \quad (9)$$

follows from Lehman [8, Lem. 1]. Lehman gave  $A = 2$ , but from [3, Cor. 1] we may take  $A = 0.28$ . Thus, we can obtain about 5 decimal places by summing over the first  $10^6$  zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ , i.e. to height  $T = 600270$ . In this manner we find  $H \approx -0.01716$ . It is difficult to obtain many more correct digits because of the slow convergence. However, the result is sufficient to show that  $H$  is negative, which is significant in the proof of [3, Lem. 8].

Convergence can be accelerated using Theorem 2, which improves the error bound  $E(T) = O((\log T)/T)$  of (9) to  $E_2(T) = O((\log T)/T^2)$ . Note that the error term  $E_2(T)$  is a continuous function of  $T$ . This is unlike  $E(T)$ , which has jumps for  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For all  $T \geq 2\pi$ ,*

$$H = \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{T} \right) - \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi e) + 1}{4\pi} + \frac{7}{8T} + E_2(T), \quad (10)$$

where  $E_2(T)$  is as in (5), and  $|E_2(T)| \leq (4.27 + 0.12 \log T)/T^2$ .

*Proof.* First assume that  $T \notin \mathcal{F}$ . From Theorem 1 and Lemma 1,

$$H = G(T) - \frac{Q(T)}{T} - \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi)}{4\pi} + E_2(T),$$

but  $Q(T) = N(T) - L(T)$ , so

$$H = \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{T} \right) + \frac{\log(T/2\pi) - 1}{2\pi} + \frac{7}{8T} - \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi)}{4\pi} + E_2(T).$$

Simplification gives (10), and a continuity argument shows that (10) holds if  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ . Finally, the bound on  $E_2(T)$  follows from Lemma 2.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $H$  be defined by (1). We have*

$$H = -0.0171594043070981495 + \vartheta(10^{-18}).$$

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2 by an interval-arithmetic computation using the first  $n = 10^{10}$  zeros, with  $T = \gamma_n \approx 3293531632.4$ .  $\square$

To illustrate Theorem 2, we give some numerical results in Table 1. The first column ( $n$ ) gives the number of zeros used, and the second column is the estimate of  $H$  obtained from (10), using  $T = \gamma_n$ . The first incorrect digit of each entry is underlined.

$n$	$H$ estimate
10	-0.017 <u>3</u> 72393877
100	-0.017159 <u>7</u> 65533
1000	-0.017159 <u>6</u> 03500
10000	-0.01715940 <u>4</u> 875
100000	-0.017159404 <u>2</u> 44
1000000	-0.017159404307

Table 1: Numerical estimation of  $H$  using Theorem 2.

## 5 Related results in the literature

Büthe [4, Lem. 3] gives the inequality

$$G(T) \leq \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi)}{4\pi} \text{ for } T \geq 5000. \quad (11)$$

In [3, Lem. 8], we give a different proof of (11), and show that it holds for  $T \geq 4\pi e$ .

Hassani [7] shows (in our notation) that

$$G(T) = \frac{\log^2(T/2\pi)}{4\pi} + O(1),$$

and gives numerical bounds for the “ $O(1)$ ” term. A similar bound is given in [10, Lem. 2.10]. Hassani does not prove existence of the limit (1), but asks (see [7, p. 114]) whether it exists. We have answered this in our Theorem 1.

In fact, Hassani works with

$$\Delta_N := \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\gamma_n} - \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \log^2 \gamma_N - \frac{\log(2\pi)}{2\pi} \log \gamma_N \right),$$

so in our notation

$$\Delta_N = G(\gamma_N) - \frac{\log^2(\gamma_N/2\pi)}{4\pi} + \frac{\log^2(2\pi)}{4\pi}.$$

Thus, the (hypothetical) limit to which Hassani refers is, in our notation,

$$H + \frac{\log^2(2\pi)}{4\pi} = 0.2516367513127059665 + \vartheta(10^{-18}).$$

This is consistent with the value 0.25163 that Hassani gives based on his calculations using  $2 \cdot 10^6$  nontrivial zeros. Hassani also uses an averaging technique to obtain values in the range  $[0.2516372, 0.2516375]$ , but apparently decreasing, without an obvious limit. The acceleration technique of Theorem 2 is more effective, and has the virtue of giving a rigorous error bound.

## Acknowledgements

TST is supported by ARC Grants DP160100932 and FT160100094; DJP is supported by ARC Grant DP160100932 and EPSRC Grant EP/K034383/1.

## References

- [1] R. P. Brent and F. Johansson, A bound for the error term in the Brent-McMillan algorithm, *Math. Comp.* **84**(295):2351–2359, 2015.
- [2] R. P. Brent and E. M. McMillan, Some new algorithms for high-precision computation of Euler’s constant, *Math. Comp.* **34**(149):305–312, 1980.
- [3] R. P. Brent, D. J. Platt, and T. S. Trudgian, *The mean square of the error term in the prime number theorem*, submitted, 2020. Preprint available at arXiv:2008.06140v1.
- [4] J. Büthe, Estimating  $\pi(x)$  and related functions under partial RH assumptions, *Math. Comp.* **85**(301):2483–2498, 2016.

- [5] J.-P. Demailly, Precise error estimate of the Brent-McMillan algorithm for the computation of Euler's constant, *Mosc. J. Comb. Number Theory* **7**(4):271–306, 2017.
- [6] A. Fujii, On the zeros of the Riemann zeta function, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.* **51**(1):1–17, 2002.
- [7] M. Hassani, Explicit approximation of the sums over the imaginary part of the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function, *Appl. Math. E-Notes* **16**:109–116, 2016.
- [8] R. S. Lehman, On the difference  $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$ , *Acta Arith.* **11**:397–410, 1966.
- [9] A. M. Odlyzko, On the distribution of spacings between zeros of the zeta function, *Math. Comp.* **48**(177):273–308, 1987.
- [10] Y. Saouter, T. Trudgian, and P. Demichel, A still sharper region where  $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$  is positive, *Math. Comp.* **84**(295):2433–2446, 2015.
- [11] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, 2nd ed. (edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown), Oxford, 1986.
- [12] T. S. Trudgian, Improvements to Turing's method, *Math. Comp.* **80**(276):2259–2279, 2011.
- [13] T. S. Trudgian, An improved upper bound for the argument of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line II, *J. Number Theory* **134**:280–292, 2014.