

Discrepancy, separation and Riesz energy of finite point sets on the unit sphere

Paul Leopardi

Revised draft of 17 February 2011

Abstract For $d \geq 2$, we consider asymptotically equidistributed sequences of \mathbb{S}^d codes, with an upper bound δ on discrepancy, and a lower bound Δ on separation. For such sequences, if $0 < s < d$, then the difference between the normalized Riesz s energy of each code, and the normalized s -energy double integral on the sphere is bounded above by $O(\delta^{1-s/d} \Delta^{-s} N^{-s/d})$, where N is the number of code points. For well separated sequences of spherical codes, this bound becomes $O(\delta^{1-s/d})$. We apply these bounds to minimum energy sequences, sequences of well separated spherical designs, sequences of extremal fundamental systems, and sequences of equal area points.

Keywords sphere · spherical cap discrepancy · separation · Riesz energy

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 11K38 · 41A55 · 65D30

1 Introduction and Main Results

Consider the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^d := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \mid \|x\| = 1\}$, for $d \geq 2$. Call a finite set of points of \mathbb{S}^d a *spherical code*. There is a continuing interest in the generation and use of spherical codes which are in some sense well distributed, and the properties which can be used to distinguish better distributed codes from more poorly distributed ones. This paper examines the relationship between three such properties of sequences of spherical codes. These properties are the Riesz s energy, the spherical cap discrepancy, and the separation of code points.

It is known that a sequence of spherical codes with minimal Riesz s energy and increasing numbers of points has “good” spherical cap discrepancy, and “good” separation, in a sense which is made more precise below. The question addressed in this paper concerns a partial converse to this result:

When does a sequence of spherical codes with “good” spherical cap discrepancy and “good” separation also have “good” Riesz s energy?

The following definitions make these concepts more precise. The normalized *Riesz s energy* of a spherical code X is $E(X)U_s$, where $U_s(r) := r^{-s}$, the Riesz potential function, and $E(X)$ is the normalized discrete energy functional

$$E(X)u := \frac{1}{|X|^2} \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{\substack{y \in X \\ y \neq x}} u(\|x - y\|).$$

The corresponding normalized continuous energy functional is given by the double integral

$$\mathcal{I}u := \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} u(\|x - y\|) d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y),$$

where σ is the spherical probability measure, the uniform measure on \mathbb{S}^d normalized so that $\sigma(\mathbb{S}^d) = 1$. It is well known that for $0 < s < d$, the normalized energy double integral of U_s has the value

$$\mathcal{I}U_s = 2^{d-s-1} \frac{\Gamma((d+1)/2) \Gamma((d-s)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(d-s/2)}. \quad (1)$$

The normalized *spherical cap discrepancy* of a spherical code is the supremum over all spherical caps of the difference between the normalized area of the cap and the proportion of code points which lie in the cap. In other words, for $y \in \mathbb{S}^d$, $r \in (0, 2]$, let $S(y, r)$ be the closed spherical cap $\{x \mid \|x - y\| \leq r\}$, and let σ_X be the normalized counting measure defined for $Y \in \mathbb{S}^d$ by

$$\sigma_X(Y) := \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X|}.$$

Then the normalized spherical cap discrepancy of X is

$$\mathcal{D}(X) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{S}^d, r \in (0, 2]} |\sigma(S(y, r)) - \sigma_X(S(y, r))|.$$

A sequence $\mathcal{X} := (X_1, X_2, \dots)$, of spherical codes with corresponding cardinalities $N_\ell := |X_\ell|$ is *asymptotically equidistributed* [5, Remark 4, p. 236], if the normalized spherical cap discrepancy is bounded above by a positive decreasing function $\delta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, 2]$, with $\delta(N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Specifically,

$$\mathcal{D}(X_\ell) < \delta(N_\ell). \quad (2)$$

The sequences of spherical codes of most interest for this paper are those such that the minimum distance between code points is bounded below by a positive decreasing function $\Delta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, 2]$,

$$\|x - y\| > \Delta(N_\ell) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X_\ell. \quad (3)$$

An easy area argument shows that the order of the lower bound $\Delta(N)$ for the separation of the solution of the *Tammes problem* [29] (the sequence which has the largest separation for each N) is $\Omega(N^{-1/d})$ [25, Theorem 2]. Therefore, for all sequences of \mathbb{S}^d codes, $\Delta(N)N^{1/d}$ is bounded above by a constant. A sequence of \mathbb{S}^d codes is called *well separated* if there exists a *separation constant* $\gamma > 0$ such that we can set $\Delta(N) = \gamma N^{-1/d}$.

For the purposes of this paper, we define an *admissible sequence* of spherical codes to be a sequence \mathcal{X} , such that a discrepancy function δ , and a separation function Δ exist, satisfying the bounds (2) and (3) respectively.

Before stating our main result, we note here that this paper uses “big-Oh” notation with *inequalities* in a somewhat unusual way, to avoid a proliferation of unknown constants. When we say that

$$f(n) \leq g(n) + O(h(n)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we mean that there exist positive constants C and M such that

$$f(n) \leq g(n) + C(h(n)) \quad \text{for all } n \geq M.$$

If more than one O expression is used in an inequality, the implied constants may be different from each other. We now state our main result.

Theorem 1.1 *For an admissible sequence \mathcal{X} of \mathbb{S}^d spherical codes, with discrepancy function δ , and separation function Δ , the normalized Riesz s energy for $0 < s < d$ is bounded by*

$$(E(X_\ell) - \mathcal{J}) U_s \leq O(\delta(N_\ell)^{1-s/d} \Delta(N_\ell)^{-s} N_\ell^{-s/d}), \quad \text{and} \quad (4)$$

$$(\mathcal{J} - E(X_\ell)) U_s \leq O(\delta(N_\ell)^{1-s/d}). \quad (5)$$

This result immediately implies the following.

Corollary 1.2 *For a well separated admissible sequence \mathcal{X} of \mathbb{S}^d spherical codes, with discrepancy function δ , the normalized Riesz s energy for $0 < s < d$ satisfies*

$$E(X_\ell) U_s = \mathcal{J} U_s + O(\delta(N_\ell)^{1-s/d}). \quad (6)$$

Remarks

Bounds in the best case

As stated by Beck [1, p. 10], for any sequence of spherical codes, the normalized spherical cap discrepancy is bounded below such that $\delta(N_\ell) = \Omega(N_\ell^{-1/2-1/2d})$. Thus, for a well separated sequence with the best possible normalized spherical cap discrepancy, if the sequence is well separated, then the estimate (6) gives an upper bound for the normalized Riesz s energy of no better than

$$E(X_\ell) U_s - \mathcal{J} U_s \leq O(N_\ell^{(s-d)(d+1)/(2d^2)}).$$

In contrast, the best known upper bound for $E(X_N) U_s - \mathcal{J} U_s$ for a minimum s -energy sequence Ω_s , for $d \geq 2$ and $s \in (0, d)$, is

$$E(\Omega_{s,N}) U_s - \mathcal{J} U_s \leq -cN^{s/d-1}, \quad \text{with } c > 0, \quad (7)$$

as given by Kuijlaars and Saff [18, (1.6)].

Asymptotic equidistribution and weak-star convergence

It has long been known that such a sequence \mathcal{X} of spherical codes is asymptotically equidistributed if and only if it is weak-star convergent, i.e. the corresponding sequence (σ_{X_ℓ}) of normalized counting measures converges weakly to σ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x) d\sigma_{X_\ell}(x) := \frac{1}{N_\ell} \sum_{x \in X_\ell} f(x) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x) d\sigma(x)$$

as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ for all continuous $f : \mathbb{S}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.1 of R. Ranga Rao [26, p. 665] states that given a measure μ on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} such that $\mu \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is continuous for every linear function \mathcal{L} on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , a sequence of measures converges weakly to μ if and only if it converges to μ for certain discrepancies defined on half spaces. This theorem can be used to show that a sequence of \mathbb{S}^d codes is weak-star convergent if and only if it converges to zero in normalized spherical cap discrepancy. Brauchart [4, Lemma 1.4] proves this equivalence relationship in another way, by appealing to Grabner's [12] Erdős-Turán inequality on the sphere. Blümlinger extends this result to compact Riemannian manifolds [2].

Measures with bounded density

Götz obtains a result [11, Proposition 13] similar to Corollary 1.2, that is, an estimate of Riesz energy in terms of ball discrepancy, but his result is for the difference in energy double integral between two probability measures satisfying a density bound [11, (12)], and so the result does not apply in our case. It is interesting to note, though, that if we set $\beta = d$ in [11, (12)], then the energy difference given by [11, Proposition 13] is also bounded by $C \delta^{1-s/d}$, where in this case δ is the discrepancy between the two probability measures.

Applications of Theorem 1.1

It is known that the following sequences of spherical codes are admissible.

1. Minimum energy sequences.

See Section 2.

2. Well separated sequences of spherical designs.

See Section 3.

3. Sequences of extremal fundamental systems.

Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_{D_t}\}$ be a basis for the spherical polynomials of degree at most t . An *extremal fundamental system* is a spherical code X which maximizes the determinant $\det A(X)$, where A is the interpolation matrix of size $D_t \times D_t$ with entries $A_{i,j} := p_i(x_j)$. See [27, 28] for details. A sequence \mathcal{E} of extremal fundamental systems with increasing degree t is known to be well separated [27]. Marzo and Ortega-Cerdà [22] have recently shown that \mathcal{E} is asymptotically equidistributed. Corollary 1.2 therefore implies that the normalized Riesz s energy of \mathcal{E} converges to the normalized energy double integral for all $s \in (0, d)$.

4. Well separated, diameter-bounded equal area sequences.

The sequence EQP(d) of recursive zonal equal area spherical codes, as described in the author's PhD thesis [21, 4.1], is well separated [21, Theorem 4.3.2] and has normalized spherical cap discrepancy $\mathcal{D}(\text{EQP}(d, N)) = O(N^{-1/d})$ [21, Theorem 5.4.1]. Our estimate (6) therefore yields the normalized energy estimate

$$E(\text{EQP}(d, N)) U_s = \mathcal{I} U_s + O(N^{(s-d)/d^2}).$$

2 Minimum energy sequences

Minimum Riesz s energy

For $q > 0$, let $\Omega_q = (\Omega_{q,1}, \Omega_{q,2}, \dots)$ be a sequence of \mathbb{S}^d codes such that $|\Omega_{q,N}| = N$ and such that $\Omega_{q,N}$ has the minimum Riesz q energy of any \mathbb{S}^d code with N code points. It is known that for $q \in (0, d)$, Ω_q is asymptotically equidistributed [20, Ch. 2, pp. 160–162] [5, Theorem 3] [14, Theorem 1.1]. Brauchart [3, Theorem 2.2] gives a bound for the normalized spherical cap discrepancy of Ω_q of

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega_{q,N}) = \mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha/d}), \quad (8)$$

where $\alpha := (d - q)/(d - q + 2)$.

For $q \in (d - 2, d)$, Ω_q is also known to be well separated [9, Theorem 1.5]. Therefore, for $q \in (d - 2, d)$ and $s \in (0, d)$, Corollary 1.2 implies that $E(\Omega_{q,N})U_s \rightarrow \mathcal{I}U_s$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Using Brauchart's bound (8) we obtain, for this case, the estimate

$$E(\Omega_{q,N})U_s = \mathcal{I}U_s + \mathcal{O}(N^{-(1-s/d)\alpha/d}) = \mathcal{I}U_s + \mathcal{O}(N^{-(1-s/d)(1-q/d)/(d-q+2)}).$$

For general $q > 0$, the situation is more complicated, and the known results on discrepancy and separation split into a number of cases. Let $\phi_{q,s}(N)$ be the order in N of the upper bound on $E(\Omega_{q,N})U_s - \mathcal{I}U_s$ given by (4) above, given the currently known values of the bounds $\delta(N)$ and $\Delta(N)$ for Ω_q . Table 1 lists these results, giving references.

q	$\delta(N)$	$\Delta(N)$	$\phi_{q,s}(N)$
$(0, d - 2]$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha/d})$	$\Omega(N^{-1/(q+2)})$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{-(1-s/d)\alpha/d - s/d + s/(q+2)})$
$(d \geq 3)$	[3, Ch. 2]	[7, Th. 3.5]	
$(d - 2, d - 1)$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha/d})$	$\Omega(N^{-1/d})$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{-(1-s/d)\alpha/d})$
	[3, Ch. 2]	[9, Th. 1.5]	
$d - 1$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{-1/d} \log N)$	$\Omega(N^{-1/d})$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{-(1-s/d)/d} (\log N)^{-(1-s/d)})$
	[10, Th. 4]	[10, Th. 3]	
$(d - 1, d)$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha/d})$	$\Omega(N^{-1/d})$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{-(1-s/d)\alpha/d})$
	[3, Ch. 2]	[19, Th. 8]	
d	$\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log \log N}{\log N}}\right)$	$\Omega((N \log N)^{-1/d})$	$\mathcal{O}((\log N)^{(3s/d-1)/2} (\log \log N)^{(1-s/d)/2})$
	[6, Th. 1]	[18, (1.13)]	
(d, ∞)	$\rightarrow 0$	$\Omega(N^{-1/d})$	$\rightarrow 0$
	[14, Th. 2.2]	[18, (1.12)]	

Table 1 Discrepancy, separation and s -energy bounds for minimum q -energy sequences

The result for $q = d$ in Table 1 is peculiar. When $s < d/3$, the upper bound

$$\phi_{d,s}(N) = (\log N)^{(3s/d-1)/2} (\log \log N)^{(1-s/d)/2}$$

decreases to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$, but when $s \geq d/3$, this upper bound increases with increasing N . Kuijlaars and Saff comment that the order of the separation bound $\Delta(N)$ for Ω_d “most likely is not best possible” [18, p. 525]. This seems reasonable, since both $\Omega_{d+\varepsilon}$ and $\Omega_{d-\varepsilon}$ are known to be well separated.

The result for $q \in (0, d-2)$ in Table 1 is also remarkable. The exponent

$$f(d, q, s) := -(1-s/d)(d-q)/(d-q+2)/d - s/d + s/(q+2)$$

is not always negative. In particular for $\phi_{s,s}(N)$, the upper bound from (4) for the normalized Riesz s energy of the optimal Riesz s energy points, the exponent $f(d, s, s)$ is not always negative:

$$\begin{aligned} f(d, s, s) &= -(1-s/d)(d-s)/(d-s+2)/d - s/d + s/(s+2) \\ &= \frac{(d-1)s^3 + (-2d^2 + 2d - 2)s^2 + (d^3 - d^2)s - 2d^2}{d^2(s+2)(d-s+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $d \geq 5$, $f(d, s, s)$ takes on positive values for s in some interval within $(0, d-2)$. For example, $f(5, s, s) > 0$ for $s \in (4 - \sqrt{11}, 5/2)$, and our upper bound therefore diverges for this range of s .

In fact, our upper bound $\phi_{s,s}(N)$ is never tight for any $s \in (0, d)$, since it is always positive, and the best known upper bound for $E(\Omega_{s,N})U_s - \mathcal{I}U_s$ is given by (7), which is negative.

Minimum logarithmic energy

For $d \geq 2$, the normalized *logarithmic energy* of a spherical code X is given by $E(X)U_{\log}$, where $U_{\log}(r) := -\log(r)$ is the logarithmic potential function. Let Ω_{\log} be a sequence of \mathbb{S}^d codes with such that $|\Omega_{\log,N}| = N$ and such that $\Omega_{\log,N}$ has the minimum normalized logarithmic energy for any \mathbb{S}^d code with N code points. The best known bound on the normalized spherical cap discrepancy of Ω_{\log} is Brauchart’s bound [4, Theorem 1.6], $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_{\log,N}) = O(N^{-1/(d+2)})$.

For $d = 2$, the logarithmic energy points are also known to be well separated [24, Theorem 1]. In this case, our estimate (6) implies the normalized energy estimate

$$E(\Omega_{\log,N})U_s = \mathcal{I}U_s + O(N^{-(1-s/2)/4}) \quad \text{for } s \in (0, 2).$$

3 Well separated sequences of spherical designs

A spherical t -design is a spherical code such that the corresponding normalized counting measure gives an equal weight quadrature functional which exactly integrates all spherical polynomials of degree at most t [8]. In [16] it is proved that for a well separated sequence of spherical designs on \mathbb{S}^2 such that each t -design has $(t+1)^2$ points, the normalized Coulomb energy (i.e. Riesz 1 energy) has the same first term and a second term of the same order as the minimum normalized Coulomb energy for \mathbb{S}^2 codes. Hesse [15] generalizes these to cover the Riesz s energy for $0 < s < 2$.

To compare the results of [16] and [15] to energy bounds of the type treated here, we need a variant of Corollary 1.2. From [12, Theorem 1] [13, (2.1)] we know that there is a constant C_G such that for any spherical t -design X_t on \mathbb{S}^2 , we have

$$\mathcal{D}(X_t) \leq \frac{C_G}{t+1}. \quad (9)$$

We therefore need to modify Corollary 1.2 to treat sequences \mathcal{X} of spherical codes with normalized spherical cap discrepancy bounded by

$$\mathcal{D}(X_\ell) < \delta(\ell). \quad (10)$$

Corollary 3.1 *For a well separated sequence \mathcal{X} of \mathbb{S}^d spherical codes, with normalized spherical cap discrepancy bounded by (10), the normalized Riesz s energy for $0 < s < d$ satisfies*

$$E(X_\ell) U_s = \mathcal{I} U_s + \mathcal{O}(\delta(\ell)^{1-s/d}). \quad (11)$$

We define a well separated admissible sequence of \mathbb{S}^d designs with separation constant γ to be a sequence of spherical designs $\mathcal{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$, with each spherical design X_t having strength t , where \mathcal{X} is well separated, with separation constant γ . We can now compare the results of [16] and [15] with the result obtained by combining the estimate (11) with the bound (9) on the normalized spherical cap discrepancy of spherical designs. First we restate the main results from [16] with notation adjusted to match this paper, and recall from (1) the well known result that $\mathcal{I} U_1 = 1$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let \mathcal{X} be a well separated admissible sequence of \mathbb{S}^2 designs with separation constant γ . Then the normalized Coulomb energy $E(X_t) U_1$ of each spherical design $X_t \in \mathcal{X}$ of cardinality N_t is bounded above by*

$$E(X_t) U_1 \leq 1 + C_\gamma (t+1)^{-3/2} N_t^{1/4} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{t+3/2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(t+1)(t+2)}{t+3/2} N_t^{-1}.$$

The constant $C_\gamma \geq 0$ depends on the separation constant γ , but is independent of t .

Theorem 3.3 *Let \mathcal{X} be a well separated admissible sequence of \mathbb{S}^2 designs with separation constant γ , such that for some positive constant μ , $|X_t| = N_t \leq \mu(t+1)^2$. Then the normalized Coulomb energy of each $X_t \in \mathcal{X}$ is bounded above by*

$$E(X_t) U_1 \leq 1 + C_{(\gamma, \mu)} N_t^{-1/2},$$

where $C_{(\gamma, \mu)} \geq 0$ is independent of t .

It is not yet known whether an infinite sequence of spherical designs exists which satisfies the premise of Theorem 3.3. If such a sequence \mathcal{X} exists, Theorem 3.3 implies that its normalized Coulomb energy converges to the corresponding normalized energy double integral at the rate of $\mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$, that is

$$E(X_t) U_1 \leq 1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}).$$

Applying our estimate (11) and the bound (9) to a well separated admissible sequence \mathcal{X} of spherical designs on \mathbb{S}^2 , we obtain

$$E(X_t) U_1 \leq 1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2}).$$

This is a slower rate of convergence than predicted by Theorem 3.3, but the result does not depend on the relationship between cardinality and strength required by Theorem 3.3.

If we use Theorem 3.2 with the infinite sequence of spherical designs on \mathbb{S}^2 with the lowest known cardinality, that of Korevaar and Meyers [17, Theorem 2.3], which has cardinality $O(t^3)$, we obtain

$$E(X_t)U_1 \leq 1 + O(t^{-3/4}).$$

This assumes that this sequence is well separated. Judging from the construction given in [17, Section 5], this assumption seems reasonable. Thus Theorem 3.2 gives a faster rate of convergence for this sequence than is predicted by Corollary 3.1.

If instead of the normalized Coulomb energy, we use the normalized Riesz s energy for $s \in (0, 2)$, then for a well admissible separated sequence \mathcal{X} of spherical designs on \mathbb{S}^2 , the estimate (11) and the bound (9) yield

$$E(X_t)U_s \leq \mathcal{I}U_s + O(t^{s/2-1}). \quad (12)$$

Hesse's result [15, Theorem 2] implies that for a sequence \mathcal{X} of spherical designs which satisfies the premise of Theorem 3.3, the Riesz s energy for $s \in (0, 2)$ satisfies

$$E(X_t)U_s \leq \mathcal{I}U_s + O(t^{s-2}).$$

Again, this result is better than our corresponding result (12).

4 Results used to prove Theorem 1.1

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 needs a few well known results, which we state here. We denote the Lebesgue area measure of the sphere \mathbb{S}^d by

$$\omega_d := \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}.$$

This usage of ω_d agrees with Müller [23], but not with Landkof [20, Ch. 1, p. 45], who would put ω_{d+1} where we have ω_d .

Lemma 4.1 *For $R \in (0, 2]$ and $x \in \mathbb{S}^d$, the normalized area integral $\mathcal{V}_d(R) := \sigma(S(x, R))$ can be evaluated by*

$$\mathcal{V}_d(R) = \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_0^R r^{d-1} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-1} dr,$$

independent of the point x .

Corollary 4.2 *For $R \in (0, T]$, $T \in (0, 2]$, the normalized area integral $\mathcal{V}_d(R)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_d(R) &\in [C_{L,d}(T), C_{H,d}] \frac{R^d}{d}, \quad \text{where} \\ C_{L,d}(T) &:= \left(1 - \frac{T^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-1} C_{H,d}, \quad \text{and} \quad C_{H,d} := \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Lemma 4.3 For $R \in (0, 2]$ and any $x \in \mathbb{S}^d$, for any integrable function $u : (0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the single integral

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(x; R)u &:= \int_{\|x-y\| \leq R} u(\|x-y\|) d\sigma(y), \quad \text{can be evaluated by} \\ \mathcal{J}(x; R)u &= \mathcal{J}_d(R)u := \int_0^R u(r) d\mathcal{V}_d(r) = \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_0^R u(r) r^{d-1} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-1} dr, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which is independent of x .

Corollary 4.4 For any integrable function $u : (0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the double integral $\mathcal{J}u$ can be evaluated by $\mathcal{J}u = \mathcal{J}_d(2)u$, where \mathcal{J}_d is defined by (14).

Corollary 4.5 For $s \in (0, d)$, $R \in (0, T]$, $T \in (0, 2]$, the integral $\mathcal{J}_d(R)U_s$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{J}_d(R)U_s \in [C_{L,d}(T), C_{H,d}] \frac{R^{d-s}}{d-s}, \quad (15)$$

where $C_{L,d}$ and $C_{H,d}$ are defined by (13).

From the results above we derive the following estimate.

Lemma 4.6 Let X be a spherical code with cardinality $|X| = N > 2$ and minimum Euclidean distance $\Delta \leq \sqrt{2}$. For $x \in X$, for $R \in (\Delta, \sqrt{2}]$, the normalized counting measure σ_X of the spherical cap $S(x, R)$ satisfies

$$\sigma_X(S(x, R)) = \frac{|X \cap S(x, R)|}{|X|} \leq 2^{5d/2-1} \left(\frac{R}{\Delta}\right)^d N^{-1}. \quad (16)$$

Proof For $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, define $\Upsilon(\theta) := 2 \sin(\theta/2)$, to convert spherical to Euclidean distance. Now fix Δ and define

$$\rho := \Upsilon^{-1}(\Delta)/2 = \sin^{-1}(\Delta/2).$$

The spherical distance ρ is therefore less than or equal to the packing radius of X . This implies that we can place each point y of X in a spherical cap $S(y, \Upsilon(\rho))$ with no two caps overlapping. This places an upper bound on σ_X , of the form

$$\sigma_X(R) \leq \frac{\mathcal{V}_d(\Upsilon(\Upsilon^{-1}(R) + \rho))}{\mathcal{V}_d(\Upsilon(\rho))}. \quad (17)$$

Since $\rho \in (0, \pi/2]$ we have $\Upsilon(\rho) = 2 \sin(\rho/2) > \sin \rho = \Upsilon(2\rho)/2 = \Delta/2$, and since

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon^{-1}(R) + \rho < \Upsilon^{-1}(R) + 2\rho = \Upsilon^{-1}(R) + \Upsilon^{-1}(\Delta) < \Upsilon^{-1}(R + \Delta), \quad \text{we see that} \\ \mathcal{V}_d(\Upsilon(\rho)) > \mathcal{V}_d(\Delta/2), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_d(\Upsilon(\Upsilon^{-1}(R) + \rho)) < \mathcal{V}_d(R + \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

From (17) we therefore have $\sigma_X(R) \leq \mathcal{V}_d(R + \Delta)/\mathcal{V}_d(\Delta/2)$. Since $\Delta \leq \sqrt{2}$, (13) gives us

$$\sigma_X(R) \leq \frac{C_{H,d} (R + \Delta)^d}{C_{L,d}(\sqrt{2})(\Delta/2)^d} = 2^d \frac{C_{H,d}}{C_{L,d}(\sqrt{2})} \left(\frac{R + \Delta}{\Delta}\right)^d.$$

To obtain (16) we note that for $R > \Delta$, we have $2R > R + \Delta$ and so

$$\left(\frac{R + \Delta}{\Delta}\right)^d < 2^d \left(\frac{R}{\Delta}\right)^d. \quad \square$$

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We fix d and drop all subscripts d where this does not cause confusion. We fix $s \in (0, d)$, fix a sequence \mathcal{X} having the required properties. We also fix ℓ , drop all subscripts ℓ , and examine the spherical code $X := \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$. We use the abbreviations $E := E(X)$, $U := U_s$, $\Delta := \Delta(N)$, $\delta := \delta(N)$.

We calculate the normalized energy EU using a sum of Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, one for each of the N nodes. We have

$$EU = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N E_k U$$

where for any integrable function $u : (0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$E_k u := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^N u(\|x_k - x_j\|).$$

We use the punctured normalized counting function g_k defined by

$$g_k(r) := \sigma_X(S(x_k, r) \setminus \{x_k\}) = \frac{|X \cap S(x_k, r)| - 1}{N}.$$

This gives us

$$E_k u = \int_0^2 u(r) dg_k(r) = \int_{\Delta}^2 u(r) dg_k(r),$$

where the last equation is a result of the separation condition (3). If u is differentiable on $(\Delta, 2]$ we can integrate by parts to obtain

$$E_k u = [u(r)g_k(r)]_{\Delta}^2 - \int_{\Delta}^2 g_k(r) du(r) = u(2)(1 - N^{-1}) - \int_{\Delta}^2 g_k(r) du(r).$$

Since $U(r) = r^{-s}$, we have $dU(r) = -sr^{-s-1} dr$, and so

$$E_k U = 2^{-s}(1 - N^{-1}) + \int_{\Delta}^2 sr^{-s-1} g_k(r) dr. \quad (18)$$

Upper bound

We use the packing argument of Lemma 4.6 to show that

$$g_k(r) \leq C_1 \Delta^{-d} N^{-1} r^d - N^{-1}, \quad \text{where } C_1 := 2^{5d/2-1}.$$

From the normalized spherical cap discrepancy δ and Corollary 4.2 we also know that

$$g_k(r) \leq \mathcal{V}(r) + \delta - N^{-1} \leq C_2 r^d + \delta - N^{-1}, \quad \text{where } C_2 := \frac{C_{H,d}}{d}.$$

We now find the point ρ where these two upper bounds are equal. This is given by

$$\rho = \left(\frac{1}{C_1 - C_2 \Delta^d N} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \delta^{1/d} \Delta N^{1/d}. \quad (19)$$

We know that $\Delta^d N$ is at most $O(1)$, so

$$\rho = O(\delta^{1/d} \Delta N^{1/d}) \quad (20)$$

We now have

$$g_k(r) \leq h(r) := \begin{cases} 0, & r \in [0, \Delta] \\ C_1 \Delta^{-d} N^{-1} r^d - N^{-1}, & r \in (\Delta, \rho) \\ \mathcal{V}(r) + \delta - N^{-1}, & r \in [\rho, 2]. \end{cases}$$

On substitution back into (18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_k U &= 2^{-s}(1 - N^{-1}) + \int_{\Delta}^{\rho} sr^{-s-1} g_k(r) dr + \int_{\rho}^2 sr^{-s-1} g_k(r) dr \\ &\leq 2^{-s}(1 - N^{-1}) + C_1 \frac{s}{d-s} \Delta^{-d} N^{-1} (\rho^{d-s} - \Delta^{d-s}) \\ &\quad + \int_{\rho}^2 sr^{-s-1} \mathcal{V}(r) dr + \delta (\rho^{-s} - 2^{-s}) - N^{-1} (\Delta^{-s} - 2^{-s}). \end{aligned}$$

We see that this upper bound is independent of our code point index k and therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} U &\leq 2^{-s}(1 - N^{-1}) + C_1 \frac{s}{d-s} \Delta^{-d} N^{-1} (\rho^{d-s} - \Delta^{d-s}) \\ &\quad + \int_{\rho}^2 sr^{-s-1} \mathcal{V}(r) dr + \delta (\rho^{-s} - 2^{-s}) - N^{-1} (\Delta^{-s} - 2^{-s}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (14), we have

$$\mathcal{J} U = \int_0^2 U(r) d\mathcal{V}(r) = U(2) - \int_0^2 DU(r) \mathcal{V}(r) dr = 2^{-s} + \int_0^2 sr^{-s-1} \mathcal{V}(r) dr.$$

Using (19), and integrating by parts, we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} U - \mathcal{J} U &\leq -2^{-s} N^{-1} + C_1 \frac{s}{d-s} \Delta^{-d} N^{-1} (\rho^{d-s} - \Delta^{d-s}) + \rho^{-s} \mathcal{V}(\rho) \\ &\quad - \int_0^{\rho} r^{-s} d\mathcal{V}(r) + \delta (\rho^{-s} - 2^{-s}) - N^{-1} (\Delta^{-s} - 2^{-s}). \end{aligned}$$

We now use the estimate (15) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} U - \mathcal{J} U &\leq C_1 \frac{s}{d-s} \Delta^{-d} N^{-1} \rho^{d-s} + C_2 \rho^{d-s} + \delta \rho^{-s} \\ &\quad - (C_1 \frac{s}{d-s} + 1) \Delta^{-s} N^{-1} - C_3 \frac{1}{d-s} \rho^{d-s} - 2^{-s} \delta. \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 := C_{L,d}(\sqrt{2})$. Substituting the order estimate for ρ from (20), we obtain

$$\mathbf{E} U - \mathcal{J} U \leq O(\delta^{1-s/d} \Delta^{-s} N^{-s/d}) + O(\delta^{1-s/d} \Delta^{d-s} N^{1-s/d}).$$

Since $\Delta^d N$ is at most $O(1)$, we obtain our upper bound (4).

Lower bound

Using arguments similar to those for the upper bound, we obtain

$$g_k(r) \geq \lambda(r) := \begin{cases} 0, & r \in [0, \tau] \\ \mathcal{V}(r) - \delta - N^{-1}, & r \in [\tau, 2], \end{cases}$$

where τ is defined by $\mathcal{V}(\tau) = \delta + N^{-1}$. Thus

$$\tau = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1/d}). \quad (21)$$

On substitution back into (18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_k U &= 2^{-s}(1 - N^{-1}) + \int_{\tau}^2 sr^{-s-1} g_k(r) dr \\ &\geq 2^{-s}(1 - N^{-1}) + \int_{\tau}^2 sr^{-s-1} \mathcal{V}(r) dr - (\delta + N^{-1})(\tau^{-s} - 2^{-s}). \end{aligned}$$

We see that this lower bound is independent of our code point index k and therefore we have

$$EU \geq 2^{-s}(1 - N^{-1}) + \int_{\tau}^2 sr^{-s-1} \mathcal{V}(r) dr - (\delta + N^{-1})(\tau^{-s} - 2^{-s}).$$

Similarly to the argument for the upper bound, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}U - EU &\leq 2^{-s}N^{-1} + \int_0^{\tau} sr^{-s-1} \mathcal{V}(r) dr + (\delta + N^{-1})(\tau^{-s} - 2^{-s}) \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{d-s}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta \tau^{-s}) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1} \tau^{-s}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (21) we now have

$$\mathcal{J}U - EU \leq \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-s/d}) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1} \delta^{-s/d}),$$

yielding our lower bound (5). \square

Remarks

1. The proof assumes that $\Delta < \rho < 2$. This is justified, since $\rho = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1/d} \Delta N^{1/d})$, $\delta(N)N$ is at least $\Omega(1)$, $\Delta(N)N^{1/d}$ is at most $\mathcal{O}(1)$, and $\delta(N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. The proof also assumes that $\Delta < \tau < 2$. This is true for sufficiently large N , since $\delta(N) = \Omega(N^{-1/2-1/2d})$ [1], and $\delta(N) \rightarrow 0$.
2. In view of Blümlinger's results [2], the techniques used to prove Theorem 1.1 might be generalized to treat compact connected Riemannian manifolds. In this case, the potential would be a function of geodesic distance in the manifold, rather than Euclidean distance in some embedding space, and the discrepancy would be based on geodesic balls.

Acknowledgements The author began work on this problem during his visit to Vanderbilt University in 2004, continued work at UNSW and completed the work at ANU. Thanks to Ed Saff, who posed this problem, and also to Doug Hardin for valuable discussions. Thanks also to Johann Brauchart for his estimates for spherical cap discrepancy and energy, to Kerstin Hesse for her general results on the energy of well separated spherical designs, to my PhD thesis supervisors Ian Sloan and Robert Womersley, and to the reviewers of my PhD thesis, and the reviewers of earlier drafts of this paper. The support of the Australian Research Council under its Centre of Excellence program is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Beck, J.: New results in the theory of irregularities of point distributions. In: Number Theory Noordwijkerhout 1983, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1068, pp. 1–16. Springer, Berlin / Heidelberg (1984)
2. Blümlinger, M.: Asymptotic distribution and weak convergence on compact Riemannian manifolds. *Monatshefte für Mathematik* **110**, 177–188 (1990). DOI 10.1007/BF01301674
3. Brauchart, J.S.: Points on an unit sphere in R^{d+1} , Riesz energy, discrepancy and numerical integration. PhD thesis, Institut für Mathematik A, Technische Universität Graz, Graz, Austria (2005)
4. Brauchart, J.S.: Optimal logarithmic energy points on the unit sphere. *Mathematics of Computation* **77**(263), 1599–1613 (2008)
5. Damelin, S.B., Grabner, P.J.: Energy functionals, numerical integration and asymptotic equidistribution on the sphere. *Journal of Complexity* **19**(3), 231–246 (2003). (Postscript) Corrigendum, *Journal of Complexity*, 20 (2004), pp. 883–884
6. Damelin, S.B., Grabner, P.J.: Corrigendum to ‘Energy functionals, numerical integration and asymptotic equidistribution on the sphere’ [J. Complexity 19 (2003) 231–246]. *Journal of Complexity* **20**, 883–884 (2004)
7. Damelin, S.B., Maymeskul, V.: On point energies, separation radius and mesh norm for s -extremal configurations on compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n . *Journal of Complexity* **21**(6), 845–863 (2005)
8. Delsarte, P., Goethals, J.M., Seidel, J.J.: Spherical codes and designs. *Geometriae Dedicata* **6**, 363–388 (1977)
9. Dragnev, P.D., Saff, E.B.: Riesz spherical potentials with external fields and minimal energy points separation. *Potential Analysis* **26**(2), 139–162 (2007)
10. Götz, M.: On the distribution of weighted extremal points on a surface in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$. *Potential Analysis* **13**, 345–359 (2000)
11. Götz, M.: On the Riesz energy of measures. *Journal of Approximation Theory* **122**(1), 62–78 (2003)
12. Grabner, P.J.: Erdős-Turán type discrepancy bounds. *Monatshefte für Mathematik* **111**(2), 127–135 (1991)
13. Grabner, P.J., Tichy, R.F.: Spherical designs, discrepancy and numerical integration. *Mathematics of Computation* **60**, 327–336 (1993)
14. Hardin, D.P., Saff, E.B.: Minimal Riesz energy point configurations for rectifiable d -dimensional manifolds. *Advances in Mathematics* **193**(1), 174–204 (2005)
15. Hesse, K.: The s -energy of spherical designs on S^2 . *Advances in Computational Mathematics* **30**(1), 37–59 (2009)
16. Hesse, K., Leopardi, P.: The Coulomb energy of spherical designs on S^2 . *Advances in Computational Mathematics* **28**(4), 331–354 (2008). DOI 10.1007/s10444-007-9026-7
17. Korevaar, J., Meyers, J.L.H.: Spherical Faraday cage for the case of equal point charges and Chebyshev-type quadrature on the sphere. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* **1**(2), 105–117 (1993)
18. Kuijlaars, A.B.J., Saff, E.B.: Asymptotics for minimal discrete energy on the sphere. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **350**(2), 523–538 (1998)
19. Kuijlaars, A.B.J., Saff, E.B., Sun, X.: On separation of minimal Riesz energy points on spheres in Euclidean spaces. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **199**(1), 172–180 (2007)
20. Landkof, N.S.: *Foundations of Modern Potential Theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1972). Translated from the Russian by A. P. Doohovskoy
21. Leopardi, P.: Distributing points on the sphere: partitions, separation, quadrature and energy. Ph.D. thesis, The University of New South Wales (2007)
22. Marzo, J., Ortega-Cerdà, J.: Equidistribution of Fekete points on the sphere. *Constructive Approximation* **32**(3), 5139–521 (2010). DOI 10.1007/s00365-009-9051-5
23. Müller, C.: *Spherical Harmonics, Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 17. Springer Verlag, Berlin, New-York (1966)
24. Rakhmanov, E.A., Saff, E.B., Zhou, Y.M.: Electrons on the sphere. In: *Computational Methods and Function Theory 1994 (Penang)*, no. 5 in Series in Approximations and Decompositions, pp. 293–309. World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ (1995)
25. Rankin, R.A.: The closest packing of spherical caps in n dimensions. *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc* **2**, 139–144 (1955)
26. Rao, R.R.: Relations between weak and uniform convergence of measures with applications. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **33**, 659–680 (1962)
27. Reimer, M.: *Constructive Theory of Multivariate Functions*. BI Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim, Wien, Zürich (1990)
28. Sloan, I.H., Womersley, R.S.: Extremal systems of points and numerical integration on the sphere. *Advances in Computational Mathematics* **21**, 107–125 (2004)
29. Tammes, P.M.L.: On the origin of number and arrangements of the places of exit on the surface of pollen-grains. *Recueil des Travaux Botaniques Néerlandais* **27**, 1–84 (1930)