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This is a note on Christopher Sogge's paper, Concerning the $L^{p}$ norm of spectral clusters for second-order elliptic operators on compact manifolds, in J. Funct. Anal. 77, 123-138 (1988).

Let $M$ be a smooth compact connected manifold without boundary of dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $P$ be a second order elliptic self-adjoint operator on $M$ whose coefficients are smooth and whose principal symbol is positive definite. It is known that $L^{2}(M)$ is the direct sum of the eigenspaces of $P$. Let

$$
\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\ldots
$$

be the eigenvalues of $P$ (each of which may repeat with high multiplicities), and for each positive integer $k$, let

$$
\chi_{k}=\sum_{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}} \in[k-1, k)} \operatorname{Proj}_{\lambda_{j}}
$$

be the projection of $L^{2}(M)$ onto the sum of the eigenspaces whose eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$ satisfy $\sqrt{\lambda_{j}} \in[k-1, k)$. We shall be interested in the sharp bounds of $\chi_{k}$ on various $L^{p}$ spaces. The main goal is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Spectral Projection). For $f \in L^{p}(M)$,
(a) If $1 \leq p \leq \frac{2 n+2}{n+3}$, then

$$
\left\|\chi_{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C k^{n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^{p}}
$$

(b) If $\frac{2 n+2}{n+3} \leq p \leq 2$, then

$$
\left\|\chi_{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C k^{\frac{n-1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{p}}
$$

The interest of the theorem is in the growth of the bounds with $k$, since each $\chi_{k}$ is trivially bounded from $L^{p}$ to $L^{2}$.

This theorem is closely related to the Euclidean restriction theorem that concerns the restriction of the Fourier transform of a function to a hypersurface, as we will see below. We shall also look at some examples, some corollaries, and finally get to its proof.

Notation. We will write

$$
p_{n}=\frac{2 n+2}{n+3}
$$

the critical exponent in dimension $n$, and

$$
\delta(p)=n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}
$$

for the sharp power, whenever $1 \leq p \leq p_{n}$.

## 1. Examples

Take $M=\mathbb{S}^{n}$, the standard sphere, and $P=-\Delta$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathbb{S}^{n}$. Then it is known that $\lambda_{j}=j(j+n-1)$ with multiplicity $C_{j}^{n+j}-C_{j-2}^{n+j-2} \simeq j^{n-1}$, and $\chi_{k}$ is just the projection onto the eigenspace corresponding to $\lambda_{k-1}$ for $k$ large. The theorem in this case was known before Sogge's paper, and the theorem can be thought of a generalization of this special case. Recall that by Weyl, if $(M, P)$ is as above, then the
number of eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$ of $P$ with $\sqrt{\lambda_{j}} \in[k-1, k)$ is $\simeq k^{n-1}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So $\chi_{k}$ is the projection onto a portion of the frequency space that 'has the right size', and is a 'correct' generalization of $\operatorname{Proj}_{\lambda_{k-1}}$ on the sphere.

The flat torus is another easy example that we will compute shortly.

## 2. Relation with the Euclidean restriction theorem

The Euclidean restriction theorem says that if $S$ is a compact hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with nowhere vanishing Gaussian curvature, then the Fourier transform of any $L^{p}$ function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be restricted meaningfully to the hypersurface $S$, whenever $1 \leq p \leq p_{n}$. This is remarkable since apriori the Fourier transform of such a function is only defined almost everywhere, and $S$ has measure zero in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. More precisely:

Theorem 2 (Euclidean restriction theorem). Let $S$ be a compact hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with nowhere vanishing Gaussian curvature. If

$$
1 \leq p \leq p_{n}, \quad q \leq\left(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right) p^{\prime}
$$

and $f$ is Schwarz, then

$$
\|\hat{f}\|_{L^{q}(S)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

The geometric assumption that $S$ has nowhere vanishing Gaussian curvature is essential. The usual Cauchy sequence argument then allows one to define the restriction on $S$ of the Fourier transform of a general $L^{p}$ function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for this range of $p$.

Historically the interest of this theorem was its relation with oscillatory integrals, which we are not going into. We shall just observe that the spectral projection theorem is a discrete analogue of the Euclidean restriction theorem, and that indeed the spectral theorem on the flat torus $[-\pi, \pi]^{n}$ implies the Euclidean restriction theorem for spheres upon rescaling.

## 2a. Discrete analogue of the Euclidean restriction theorem

According to the Euclidean restriction theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \sigma(\xi) \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $1 \leq p \leq p_{n}$ and $f$ is Schwarz. Here $d \sigma$ is the surface measure on $S$. Define the continuous spectral projection operators $\rho_{k}$ by

$$
\rho_{k} f(x)=\int_{k-1 \leq|\xi|<k} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i x \cdot \xi} d \xi
$$

for $f$ Schwarz. This is a spectral projection associated with the usual Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and it is roughly the direct analog of $\chi_{k}$ that we defined above; note again the size of the annulus $\{k-1 \leq|\xi|<k\}$ is roughly $k^{n-1}$. Using (1), one can show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C k^{\delta(p)}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq p \leq p_{n}$ and $f$ Schwarz, which is a direct analogue of the spectral projection theorem.

Proof of (2).

$$
\rho_{k} f(x)=\int_{1-\frac{1}{k} \leq|\xi|<1} \hat{f}(k \xi) e^{i x \cdot \xi} k^{n} d \xi=\rho_{\left[1-\frac{1}{k}, 1\right]} g(k x),
$$

where $g(y):=f(y / k)$ and $\rho_{\left[1-\frac{1}{k}, 1\right)} g(x):=\int_{1-\frac{1}{k} \leq|\xi|<1} \hat{g}(\xi) e^{2 \pi i x \cdot \xi} d \xi$ is the obvious spectral projection onto the interval $\left[1-\frac{1}{k}, 1\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\rho_{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} & =k^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left\|_{\left[1-\frac{1}{k}, 1\right)} g\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& =k^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\int_{1-\frac{1}{k} \leq|\xi|<1}|\hat{g}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =k^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\int_{1-\frac{1}{k}}^{1} \int_{r \mathbb{S}^{n}}|\hat{g}(\xi)|^{2} d \sigma(\xi) d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C k^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\int_{1-\frac{1}{k}}^{1}\|g\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =C k^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{\frac{n}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& =C k^{\delta(p)}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2b. Spectral projection theorem implies Euclidean restriction theorem

Suppose we have the spectral projection theorem on the flat torus $\left([-\pi, \pi]^{n},-\Delta\right)$ :

$$
\left\|\chi_{k} g\right\|_{L^{2}\left([-\pi, \pi]^{n}\right)} \leq C k^{\delta(p)}\|g\|_{L^{p}\left([-\pi, \pi]^{n}\right)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq p_{n}
$$

Let $T \gg 1$ and we shall rescale this to the torus $\left([-\pi T, \pi T]^{n},-\Delta\right)$.
The eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ on $[-\pi, \pi]^{n}$ are precisely $\lambda_{j}=j^{2}$. So

$$
\chi_{k} g(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \sum_{|m|=k-1} \hat{g}(m) e^{i m \cdot x}
$$

where $\hat{g}(m)=\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{n}} g(x) e^{-i m \cdot x} d x$. Now on the torus $[-\pi T, \pi T]^{n}$, the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ are $\frac{j^{2}}{T^{2}}$, so if we write the spectral projection on $[-\pi T, \pi T]^{n}$ as $\tilde{\chi}_{k}$, then

$$
\tilde{\chi}_{k} f(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi T)^{n}} \sum_{(k-1) T \leq|m|<k T} \hat{f}\left(\frac{m}{T}\right) e^{i \frac{m}{T} \cdot x}
$$

where $\hat{f}(m)=\int_{[-\pi T, \pi T]^{n}} f(x) e^{-i m \cdot x} d x$.
Let now $f \in L^{2}\left([-\pi T, \pi T]^{n}\right)$. Rescale to get $g(x):=f(T x) \in L^{2}\left([-\pi, \pi]^{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\tilde{\chi}_{k} f(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi T)^{n}} \sum_{(k-1) T \leq|m|<k T} \hat{f}\left(\frac{m}{T}\right) e^{i \frac{m}{T} \cdot x}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \sum_{(k-1) T \leq|m|<k T} \hat{g}(m) e^{i m \cdot \frac{x}{T}}
$$

so by orthogonality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left([-\pi T, \pi T]^{n}\right)} & =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}}\left\|\sum_{(k-1) T \leq|m|<k T} \hat{g}(m) e^{i m \cdot x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left([-\pi, \pi]^{n}\right)} T^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}}\left(\sum_{(k-1) T \leq l<k T}\left\|\chi_{l} g\right\|_{L^{2}\left([-\pi, \pi]^{n}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
& \leq C\left(T\left((k T)^{\delta(p)}\|g\|_{L^{p}\left([-\pi, \pi]^{n}\right)}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
& =C k^{\delta(p)}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left([-\pi, \pi]^{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

independent of $T$. Let now $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right.$. For $T$ large so that the support of $f$ is contained in $[-\pi T, \pi T]$, we apply the above inequality: since

$$
\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left([-\pi T, \pi T]^{n}\right)}=\left(\frac{1}{(2 \pi T)^{n}} \sum_{k-1 \leq \frac{|m|}{T}<k}\left|\hat{f}\left(\frac{m}{T}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow\left(\int_{k-1 \leq|\xi|<k}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\left(\int_{k-1 \leq|\xi|<k}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C k^{\delta(p)}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

from which we can recover the restriction theorem for spheres in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by running backwards the argument of Section 2a.

## 3. Equivalent versions and corollaries

There are several equivalent versions of the spectral projection theorem by duality and the $T^{*} T$ lemma:

1. (Duality) $T$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{2}$ if and only if $T^{*}$ maps $L^{2}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$, with identical norms. Since $\chi_{k}$ is self-adjoint, we arrive at the following equivalent formulation of the spectral projection theorem: for $f \in L^{p}(M)$,
(a) If $1 \leq p \leq p_{n}$, then

$$
\left\|\chi_{k} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \leq C k^{\delta(p)}\|f\|_{L^{2}}
$$

(b) If $p_{n} \leq p \leq 2$, then

$$
\left\|\chi_{k} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \leq C k^{\frac{n-1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{2}}
$$

This is the version that we will prove below.
2. ( $T^{*} T$ lemma) $T$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{2}$ if and only if $T^{*} T$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$, with $\left\|T^{*} T\right\|=\|T\|^{2}$. Since $\chi_{k}^{*} \chi_{k}=\chi_{k}$, we arrive at the following equivalent formulation of the spectral projection theorem, although we shall not need it in sequel: for $f \in L^{p}(M)$,
(a) If $1 \leq p \leq p_{n}$, then

$$
\left\|\chi_{k} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \leq C k^{2 \delta(p)}\|f\|_{L^{p}}
$$

(b) If $p_{n} \leq p \leq 2$, then

$$
\left\|\chi_{k} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \leq C k^{(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{p}}
$$

The spectral projection theorem allow us to bound the $L^{p^{\prime}}$ norms of the eigenfunctions of $P$.

Corollary 3. If $\left\{e_{j}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^{2}(M)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of $P$, then writing $\lambda_{j}$ for the eigenvalue of $e_{j}$ (so now the $\lambda_{j}$ 's may repeat), we have
(a) If $1 \leq p \leq p_{n}$, then

$$
\left\|e_{j}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \leq C\left(1+\left|\lambda_{j}\right|\right)^{\delta(p)}
$$

(b) If $p_{n} \leq p \leq 2$, then

$$
\left\|e_{j}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \leq C\left(1+\left|\lambda_{j}\right|\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}
$$

The spectral projection theorem also allows one to obtain results concerning Bochner-Riesz summability. Let $(M, P)$ be as above. For convenience, assume that all eigenvalues of $P$ are non-negative. Define the Bochner-Riesz summation operators by

$$
S_{R}^{\delta} f(x)=\sum_{j}\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{j}}{R}\right)_{+}^{\delta}<f, e_{j}>e_{j}(x)
$$

Then using the spectral projection theorem and additional arguments, one can show
Corollary 4. If

$$
1 \leq p \leq p_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta>\delta(p)
$$

then

$$
\left\|S_{R}^{\delta} f\right\|_{L^{p}(M)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}(M)}
$$

uniformly in $R$, and hence as $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
S_{R}^{\delta} f \rightarrow f
$$

in $L^{p}(M)$ for all $f \in L^{p}(M)$. The same is true if in the conditions $p$ is replaced by $p^{\prime}$.

See Sogge, On convergence of Riesz means on compact manifolds, The Annals of Mathematics, 2nd Ser., Vol. 126, No. 3 (Nov. 1987), 439-447.

## 4. Proof of the spectral projection theorem

## 4a. Strategy

To study $\chi_{k}$, the main idea is to construct a parametrix for the operator $P-(k+i)^{2}$. We shall show that around each point there are smooth cut-off functions $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ supported near that point such that

$$
\eta_{1}(x) u(x)=T_{1}\left[\eta_{2}\left(P-(k+i)^{2}\right) u\right](x)+T_{2}\left(\eta_{2} u\right)(x)
$$

for some operators $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. Here $T_{1}$ is a parametrix for $P-(k+i)^{2}$, and $T_{2}$ is the error. We shall have control on both: $T_{1}$ will map $L^{2}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$ with norm $C k^{\delta(p)-1}$, while $T_{2}$ will map $L^{2}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$ with norm $C k^{\delta(p)}$, $p=p_{n}$. Apply this to $\chi_{k} u$; it follows that in every sufficiently small open set $U$ we have

$$
\left\|\chi_{k} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(U)} \leq C k^{\delta(p)-1}\left\|\left(P-(k+i)^{2}\right)\left(\chi_{k} u\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}+C k^{\delta(p)}\left\|\chi_{k} u\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}
$$

where $V$ is a slightly bigger open neighborhood of $U$. By a covering argument (now $M$ is compact), we obtain

$$
\left\|\chi_{k} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(M)}} \leq C k^{\delta(p)-1}\left\|\left(P-(k+i)^{2}\right)\left(\chi_{k} u\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(M)}+C k^{\delta(p)}\left\|\chi_{k} u\right\|_{L^{2}(M)}
$$

However, $\left\|\chi_{k} u\right\|_{L^{2}(M)} \leq\|u\|_{L^{2}(M)}$ and

$$
\left\|\left(P-(k+i)^{2}\right)\left(\chi_{k} u\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(M)} \leq C k\|u\|_{L^{2}(M)}
$$

by orthogonality; indeed since now $P$ is self-adjoint and has positive definite principal symbol, $\chi_{k}$ is basically the projection onto the eigenspaces with eigenvalues $(k-1)^{2} \leq \lambda<k^{2}$. Hence if $u=\sum_{j} a_{j} e_{j}$, where $\left\{e_{j}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of $P$, then

$$
\left\|\left(P-(k+i)^{2}\right)\left(\chi_{k} u\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(M)}^{2}=\sum_{(k-1)^{2} \leq \lambda<k^{2}}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}\left|\lambda_{j}-(k+i)^{2}\right|^{2} \leq C k^{2}\|u\|_{L^{2}(M)}^{2}
$$

As a result,

$$
\left\|\chi_{k} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(M)} \leq C k^{\delta(p)}\|u\|_{L^{2}(M)}
$$

for $p=p_{n}$, as desired. The result for $p=1$ can be proved similarly (and can be established using a different argument), while the case $p=2$ is trivial. The rest then follows by complex interpolation.

So it remains to construct the parametrix $T_{1}$, the error $T_{2}$ and obtain their sharp bounds.

## 4b. Construction of parametrix

The motivation is that if $P$ had constant coefficients in a coordinate chart, then a parametrix of $P-z$ can be constructed explicitly via the use of the Bessel potentials.

For any unreal complex number $z$, consider the Bessel potential on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
F(x)=F(|x|)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{2}-z} e^{i x \cdot \xi} d \xi
$$

(We suppress the dependence of $F$ on $z$ in the notation for a moment.) We have on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
(-\Delta-z) F(x)=\delta_{0}(x)
$$

or as measures

$$
(-\Delta-z) F(x) d x=\delta_{0}(x)
$$

Here $-\Delta$ is the standard Laplacian with the standard flat metric. Now if we change coordinate on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we can bring the metric to any desired constant positive definite symmetric matrix $g_{j k}$. In the new (say $y$ ) coordinate, the Laplacian becomes $-\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}} g^{j k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}$ and the function $F$ becomes $F\left(|y|_{g}\right)=F\left(\left(g_{j k} y^{j} y^{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. The volume measure becomes $\left(\operatorname{det} g_{j k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d y$, so now

$$
\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}} g^{j k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}-z\right) F\left(|y|_{g}\right)\left(\operatorname{det} g_{j k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d y=\delta_{0}(y)
$$

More generally, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}} g^{j k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}-z\right) F\left(|x-y|_{g}\right)\left(\operatorname{det} g_{j k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d y=\delta_{x}(y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So if $P$ on $M$ now had constant coefficients in a certain (say $y$ ) coordinate chart, then writing the principal symbol of $P$ as $-\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}} g^{j k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}$, we can construct a parametrix of $P$ in that chart as follows: let $\eta_{1}$ be a cut-off function that is supported in that coordinate chart. Then for any $u$ on $M$, we have

$$
\int_{M}(P-z)\left(F\left(|x-y|_{g}\right) \eta_{1}(y) u(y)\left(\operatorname{det} g_{j k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d y=\eta_{1}(x) u(x)\right.
$$

on $M$, and thus integrating by parts

$$
\eta_{1}(x) u(x)=\int_{M} \phi(y) F\left(|x-y|_{g}\right)(P-z) u(y) d y+\int_{M}\left(\phi_{0}(y) F\left(|x-y|_{g}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}} F\left(|x-y|_{g}\right)\right) u(y) d y
$$

for some smooth functions $\phi$ and $\phi_{i}$ supported in the support of $\eta_{1}$. Now let $\eta_{2}$ be a cut-off function that is 1 on the support of $\eta_{1}$. Then the above equation can be written

$$
\eta_{1}(x) u(x)=T_{1}\left(\eta_{2}(P-z) u\right)(x)+T_{2}\left(\eta_{2} u\right)(x)
$$

where

$$
T_{1} f(x)=\int_{M} \phi(y) F\left(|x-y|_{g}\right) f(y) d y
$$

is the desired parametrix of $P-z$ and

$$
T_{2} f(x)=\int_{M}\left(\phi_{0}(y) F\left(|x-y|_{g}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}} F\left(|x-y|_{g}\right)\right) f(y) d y
$$

is the desired error term (both depending on $z$ ).
It turns out that when $P$ on $M$ has variable coefficients, as long as we put a suitable metric on $M$ and use the geodesic normal coordinate, the equation (3) remains approximately true, and the above argument works. More precisely, in a coordinate chart, let $P$ be

$$
-\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}} j^{j k}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}+b^{j}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}}+c,
$$

so that the principal symbol of $P$ is $-\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}} g^{j k}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}$ with $g^{j k}$ self-adjoint positive definite, and put a Riemannian metric on that coordinate chart by setting it to be $g_{j k}(y) d y^{j} \otimes d y^{k}$. This is well-defined since the principal symbol of $P$ is a well-defined (2,0)-tensor on $M$. Take a totally geodesic normal neighborhood $U$ in this chart. Then for each point $x \in U$, we adopt the geodesic normal coordinate $\tilde{y}$ in $U$, so that $\tilde{y}=0$ corresponds to the point $x$. (Note the definition of $\tilde{y}$ depends on the base point $x$.) For each point $x$, write the metric in the $\tilde{y}$ coordinates as $\tilde{g}_{j k}(\tilde{y}) d \tilde{y}^{j} \otimes d \tilde{y}^{k}$. Then

$$
\tilde{g}_{j k}(\tilde{y}) \tilde{y}^{k}=\tilde{y}^{j}
$$

for all points $\tilde{y}$ in $U$. This follows from the Gauss lemma, since

$$
\tilde{g}_{j k}(\tilde{y}) \tilde{y}^{k}=g_{\tilde{y}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{j}}, \tilde{y}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{k}}\right)
$$

and the second vector in the last expression is the tangent vector of a radial geodesic. It follows that if we write $|\tilde{y}|^{2}=\sum_{i}\left(\tilde{y}^{i}\right)^{2}$, then for any function $f$ defined in $U$,

$$
\tilde{g}^{j k}(\tilde{y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{k}} f(|\tilde{y}|)=\tilde{g}^{j k}(\tilde{y}) \frac{\tilde{y}^{k}}{|\tilde{y}|^{2}} f^{\prime}(|\tilde{y}|)=\frac{\tilde{y}^{j}}{|\tilde{y}|^{2}} f^{\prime}(|\tilde{y}|)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{j}} f(|\tilde{y}|) .
$$

Hence if $\eta_{1}$ is a smooth cut-off function supported in $U$, and $F$ is the Euclidean Bessel potential defined as above, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{j}} \tilde{g}^{j k}(\tilde{y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{k}}-z\right)\left(\eta_{1}(\tilde{y}) F(|\tilde{y}|)\right) & =\eta_{1}(\tilde{y})\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(\tilde{y}^{j}\right)^{2}}-z\right) F(|\tilde{y}|)+\phi_{0}(\tilde{y}) F(|\tilde{y}|)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(\tilde{y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{i}} F(|\tilde{y}|) \\
& =\eta_{1}(\tilde{y}) \delta_{0}(\tilde{y})+\phi_{0}(\tilde{y}) F(|\tilde{y}|)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(\tilde{y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{i}} F(|\tilde{y}|)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some smooth cut-off functions $\phi_{i}$, and modifying the $\phi_{i}$ 's if necessary, we have

$$
(P-z)\left(\eta_{1}(\tilde{y}) F(|\tilde{y}|)\right)=\eta_{1}(\tilde{y}) \delta_{0}(\tilde{y})+\phi_{0}(\tilde{y}) F(|\tilde{y}|)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(\tilde{y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{i}} F(|\tilde{y}|)
$$

If for each pair of points $y, x \in U$ we now let $\tilde{y}$ be the normal coordinates of $y$ in the normal coordinate chart centered at $x$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(y, x) & =|\tilde{y}| \\
\eta_{1}(y, x) & =\eta_{1}(\tilde{y}) \\
\phi_{i}(y, x) & =\phi_{i}(\tilde{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

then the above reads (upon going back to the $y$ coordinate that we started with, modifying the $\phi_{i}$ 's if necessary)

$$
(P(y, D)-z)\left(\eta_{1}(y, x) F(s(y, x))\right)=\eta_{1}(x, x) \delta_{x}(y)+\phi_{0}(y, x) F(s(y, x))+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(y, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}} F(s(y, x))
$$

Put in measure form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (P(y, D)-z)\left(\eta_{1}(y, x) F(s(y, x))\right)(\operatorname{det} g(y))^{\frac{1}{2}} d y \\
= & \eta_{1}(x, x) \delta_{x}(y)+\left(\phi_{0}(y, x) F(s(y, x))+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(y, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}} F(s(y, x))\right)(\operatorname{det} g(y))^{\frac{1}{2}} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for $u$ defined in $U$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{1}(x, x) u(x)= & \int_{M} \eta_{1}(y, x)(\operatorname{det} g(y))^{\frac{1}{2}} F(s(y, x))(P(y, D)-z) u(y) d y \\
& -\int_{M}(\operatorname{det} g(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\phi_{0}(y, x) F(s(y, x))+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(y, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}} F(s(y, x))\right) u(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Modifying the $\phi_{i}$ 's again,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{1}(x, x) u(x)= & \int_{M} \phi(y, x) F(s(y, x))[(P-z) u](y) d y \\
& +\int_{M}\left(\phi_{0}(y, x) F(s(y, x))+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(y, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}} F(s(y, x))\right) u(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Again taking $\eta_{2}(y)$ to be 1 on the support of $\eta_{1}(y)$, we have

$$
\eta_{1}(x, x) u(x)=T_{1}\left(\eta_{2}(P-z) u\right)(x)+T_{2}\left(\eta_{2} u\right)(x)
$$

where

$$
T_{1} f(x)=\int_{M} \phi(y, x) F(s(y, x)) f(y) d y
$$

is the desired parametrix of $P-z$ and

$$
T_{2} f(x)=\int_{M}\left(\phi_{0}(y, x) F(s(y, x))+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(y, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}} F(s(y, x))\right) f(y) d y
$$

is the desired error term. The dependence of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ on $z$ is again suppressed in the notation.

## 4c. Boundedness of parametrix and error

To prove the desired boundedness of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, we need some properties of the Bessel potentials $F$. We shall now emphasize the $z$ dependence by writing $F_{k}$ for the Bessel potential with $z=(k+i)^{2}$; in other words,

$$
F_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{2}-(k+i)^{2}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} d \xi
$$

Lemma 5. There is an absolute constant $C$ such that for $|x| \leq k^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{k}(x)\right| & \leq C|x|^{-(n-2)} \quad \text { if } n \geq 3 \\
\left|F_{k}(x)\right| & \leq C|\log | x| | \quad \text { if } n=2 \\
\left|\nabla F_{k}(x)\right| & \leq C|x|^{-(n-1)} \quad \text { if } n \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6. For $|x| \geq k^{-1}, n \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{k}(x) & =k^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}|x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-i k|x|} a_{0}(k x) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} F_{k}(x) & =k^{\frac{n-1}{2}}|x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-i k|x|} a_{j}(k x), \quad j=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $a_{j}$ 's are smooth radial functions that satisfy

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial \rho^{m}} a_{j}(\rho)\right| \leq C_{m}|\rho|^{-m}
$$

These can be proved by integrating by parts and using methods of stationary phase.
We shall also need a lemma about oscillatory integral operators on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where the amplitude $a(x, y)$ is supported off the diagonal.

Lemma 7. Let $a(x, y)$ be a compactly supported smooth function with support inside $\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{1}{2} \leq\right.$ $|x-y| \leq 2\}$. Then there is a neighborhood of the function $s_{0}(x, y)=|x-y|$ in the $C^{\infty}$ topology such that for any function $s(x, y)$ in that neighborhood we have

$$
\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i \lambda s(x, y)} a(x, y) f(y) d y\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C \lambda^{-\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}}\|f\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

where $1 \leq p \leq p_{n}, q=\left(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right) p^{\prime}, \lambda>0$, and $C$ depends only on $s$ and the bounds of finitely many derivatives of $a$.

We are now ready to prove the boundedness of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. For simplicity, let $n \geq 3$. Write $p=p_{n}$, and we shall prove that $T_{1}$ maps $L^{2}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$ with norm $C k^{\delta(p)-1}$, while $T_{2}$ maps $L^{2}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$ with norm $C k^{\delta(p)}$.

Recall that the parametrix $T_{1}$ is

$$
T_{1} f(x)=\int_{M} \phi(y, x) F_{k}(s(y, x)) f(y) d y
$$

Since $\phi(y, x)$ is supported in $U \times U$ where $U$ is a small coordinate chart on $M$, one may identify $U$ with a portion of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; by taking a smaller coordinate chart if necessary, one may then assume $s(y, x) \simeq|y-x|$ where $|y-x|$ is the Euclidean distance between $y$ and $x$. One may also think of this integral as one on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, as we shall do from now on. Now we decompose $T_{1}$ dyadically based on the distance of $(x, y)$ from the diagonal: Let $\varphi(t)$ be a smooth function with compact support in $[-2,2]$ such that it is identically 1 in $[-1,1]$. Let $\psi(t)=\varphi(t)-\varphi(2 t)$ be supported in $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]$. Then $\varphi(t)+\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \psi\left(2^{-\nu} t\right)=1$ on $\mathbb{R}$, and

$$
T_{1} f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(k s(y, x)) \phi(y, x) F_{k}(s(y, x)) f(y) d y+\sum_{\nu=1}^{C \log k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \psi\left(2^{-\nu} k s(y, x)\right) \phi(y, x) F_{k}(s(y, x)) f(y) d y
$$

the second sum terminating after roughly the $\log k$-th term when one takes into account the fact that $\phi$ has compact support. We will use our information about $F_{k}$ to estimate the $L^{p^{\prime}}$ norm of the terms one by one:

- In the first term we use the Hausdorff-Young inequality: since we are to estimate the $L^{p^{\prime}}$ of the first term in terms of the $L^{2}$ norm of $f$, we naturally look at the $L^{r}$ norm of the kernel, where

$$
1+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{r}
$$

Then using the first estimate in Lemma 5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{x}\left\|\varphi(k s(y, x)) \phi(y, x) F_{k}(s(y, x))\right\|_{L^{r}(d y)} & \leq \sup _{x}\left(\int_{|x-y| \leq k^{-1}}|x-y|^{-(n-2) r} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
& =C k^{n-2-\frac{n}{r}} \\
& =C k^{n\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right)-2} \\
& =C k^{\delta(p)-1} k^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sup _{y}\left\|\varphi(k s(y, x)) \phi(y, x) F_{k}(s(y, x))\right\|_{L^{r}(d x)} \leq C k^{\delta(p)-1} k^{-\frac{1}{2}},
$$

so

$$
\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(k s(y, x)) \phi(y, x) F_{k}(s(y, x)) f(y) d y\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \leq C k^{\delta(p)-1} k^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^{2}} .
$$

- For the $\nu$-th term in the second sum, we use the asymptotic expansion of $F$ in Lemma 6 and the oscillatory integral operator lemma after rescaling:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \psi\left(2^{-\nu} k s(y, x)\right) \phi(y, x) F_{k}(s(y, x)) f(y) d y \\
= & k^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \psi\left(2^{-\nu} k s(y, x)\right) \phi(y, x) s(y, x)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-i k s(y, x)} a_{0}(k s(y, x)) f(y) d y \\
= & k^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} S_{\nu, k} g\left(2^{-\nu} k x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g(y)=f\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1} y\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\nu, k} g(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \psi(s(y, x)) \phi\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1} y, 2^{\nu} k^{-1} x\right)\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1} s(y, x)\right)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-i 2^{\nu} s(y, x)} a_{0}\left(2^{\nu} s(y, x)\right) g(y)\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{n} d y \\
& =\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} a_{\nu, k}(y, x) g(y) e^{-i 2^{\nu} s(y, x)} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $a_{\nu, k}$ fulfilling the conditions of the oscillatory integral lemma. Note however that the derivatives of $a_{\nu, k}$ 's are uniformly bounded independent of $\nu$ and $k$; this is because of the corresponding property of $a_{0}$, the uniform support property of $a_{\nu, k}$, and that $2^{\nu} k^{-1} \leq C$ in the range of $\nu$ that we can considering. Hence the $S_{\nu, k}$ 's have $\left(L^{2}, L^{p^{\prime}}\right)$ norm approximately $C\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} 2^{-\nu \frac{n}{p^{\prime}}}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \psi\left(2^{-\nu} k s(y, x)\right) \phi(y, x) F_{k}(s(y, x)) f(y) d y\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \\
\leq & C k^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}}\|S g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \\
\leq & C k^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}}\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} 2^{-\nu \frac{n}{p^{\prime}}}\|g\|_{L^{2}} \\
\leq & C k^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}}\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} 2^{-\nu \frac{n}{p^{\prime}}}\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\|f\|_{L^{2}} \\
= & C k^{\delta(p)-1}\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^{2} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing the geometric series, we get

$$
\left\|T_{1} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \leq C k^{\delta(p)-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{C \log k}\left(2^{\nu} k^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^{2}}=C k^{\delta(p)-1}\|f\|_{L^{2}}
$$

as desired.
The first term of $T_{2}$ (involving no derivative of $F_{k}$ ) can be dealt with similarly as above. The second term of $T_{2}$, which involves the first derivatives of $F_{k}$, can be handled by applying the corresponding statements of Lemma 5 and 6 . This concludes the proof of the sharp bounds of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ and hence that of the spectral projection theorem.

