

THE JOINTS AND THE MULTIJOINTS THEOREM

RUIXIANG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. The following are notes (taken by Po-Lam Yung) of a mini-course by Ruixiang Zhang on the joints theorem and the multi-joints theorem. The note taker has since supplemented these notes with more references to the existing literature.

Let L be a set of N straight lines in \mathbb{R}^d . A joint formed by these lines is a point in \mathbb{R}^d that belongs to at least d of these lines, whose directions form a linearly independent set in \mathbb{R}^d . The main theorem about joints is the following.

Theorem 1. *The number of joints formed by N lines in \mathbb{R}^d is at most $C_d N^{d/(d-1)}$, for some dimensional constant C_d .*

This was first proved by Katz and Guth [4] in dimension $d = 3$, and by Quilodrán [14] and Kaplan, Sharir and Shustin [13] in higher dimensions. We refer to the introduction in the notes of Carbery and Iliopoulou [2], and also the introduction of Iliopoulou [11], for some prior partial results such as [5], [15], [16], [7] and [1] (see also [6] for an alternative proof in 3 dimensions).

Proof. We use the polynomial method. Let L be a set of N lines in \mathbb{R}^d , and J be the set of joints formed by L . We assume that J is non-empty, for otherwise there is nothing to prove.

We claim that there exists a line $\ell \in L$ that contains at most $A_d |J|^{1/d}$ joints, where A_d is some dimensional constant. Indeed, let $Q(x)$ be a non-zero polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, of minimal total degree, that vanishes at all points in J . Then the degree of Q is at most

$$\deg(Q) \leq A_d |J|^{1/d}$$

for some dimensional constant A_d . If all lines in L contains more than $A_d |J|^{1/d}$ points in J , then Q restricted to any line in L would have more than $\deg(Q)$ roots, so Q would vanish identically on all lines in L . This shows that the gradient ∇Q vanishes at all joints formed by L , i.e. ∇Q vanishes at all points in J . But one of $\partial_{x_1} Q, \dots, \partial_{x_d} Q$ is non-zero (for otherwise Q is zero, contradicting our choice of Q). Hence one of them a non-zero polynomial of degree $< \deg(Q)$, that vanishes at all points of J . This contradicts the minimality of the degree of Q , and we obtain our claim.

Now we may finish in two ways. One is to use induction on the number of lines in L . Let $\ell \in L$ be a line that contains at most $A_d |J|^{1/d}$ points in J . Then the number of elements of J is bounded by $A_d |J|^{1/d}$ plus the number of elements of J that are not on ℓ . Now any element

Date: October 13, 2017.

of J that is not on ℓ is a joint of $L \setminus \{\ell\}$, which contains only $N - 1$ lines. So induction hypothesis applies, and we get

$$(1) \quad |J| \leq A_d |J|^{1/d} + C_d (N - 1)^{d/(d-1)}.$$

We claim that if C_d were chosen large enough initially, then this implies $|J| \leq C_d N^{d/(d-1)}$ as well. Indeed, if not, then $|J| > C_d N^{d/(d-1)}$, so using the fact that $t \mapsto t - A_d t^{1/d}$ is a strictly increasing function for $t \geq a_d$ for some dimensional constant a_d , we have

$$|J| - A_d |J|^{1/d} > C_d N^{d/(d-1)} - A_d (C_d N^{d/(d-1)})^{1/d}.$$

(we just choose C_d large enough so that $C_d \geq a_d$, so that $C_d N^{d/(d-1)} \geq a_d$ for all positive integers N). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |J| - A_d |J|^{1/d} - C_d (N - 1)^{d/(d-1)} &> C_d (N^{d/(d-1)} - (N - 1)^{d/(d-1)}) - A_d C_d^{1/d} N^{1/(d-1)} \\ &> \frac{dC_d}{d-1} (N - 1)^{1/(d-1)} - 2A_d C_d^{1/d} (N - 1)^{1/(d-1)} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

if we had chosen the dimensional constant C_d sufficiently large, so that

$$\frac{dC_d}{d-1} - 2A_d C_d^{1/d} > 0.$$

This contradicts (1), so $|J| \leq C_d N^{d/(d-1)}$ as well, as desired.

Alternatively, let $j(N)$ be the maximum number of joints formed by N lines in \mathbb{R}^d . Then the previous argument shows that

$$j(N) \leq A_d [j(N)]^{1/d} + j(N - 1).$$

Iterating, we get

$$j(N) \leq A_d [j(N)]^{1/d} + A_d [j(N - 1)]^{1/d} + \dots + A_d [j(d)]^{1/d}$$

(note $j(d - 1) = 0$). Since $j(N - i) \leq j(N)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have

$$j(N) \leq A_d N [j(N)]^{1/d},$$

i.e.

$$j(N) \leq (A_d N)^{d/(d-1)}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. □

The above proof uses a few properties of polynomials over the reals: for instance, we used that the gradient of a polynomial is a limit of difference quotients, and we used that the gradient of a non-zero polynomial is non-zero unless it is constant. This is no longer true over a general field (e.g. over a field of characteristic p , the polynomial x^p differentiates to zero while x^p is not identically zero). Nevertheless, Theorem 1 holds if one replaces \mathbb{R} by any general field \mathbb{F} : indeed one can fix the above proof so that it works without too many changes. This result was a folklore for a while, and is recorded in the notes of Carbery and Iliopoulou [2].

Following ideas from [19], we provide another proof of the joints theorem, that works equally well for all fields, and that generalizes easily to the study of *multijoints* later on.

Alternative proof of Theorem 1. Let L be a set of N lines in \mathbb{R}^d , and J be the set of joints formed by L . Let $Q \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be a non-zero polynomial of degree $\leq A_d |J|^{1/d}$, that vanishes at all points of J ; we denote its zero set by $Z(Q)$. Given a line ℓ and a point $p \in \ell$, we will define what we call the *residual multiplicity* of ℓ at p with respect to Q as follows.

Let's begin with some intuition. If $Z(Q)$ is just a hyperplane and $\ell \subset Z(Q)$, then all points on ℓ have the same “multiplicity” with respect to Q , so the “residual multiplicity” of ℓ at any point p on ℓ should be set to zero. If on the other hand, $Z(Q)$ is the union of two hyperplanes and ℓ is contained in one of them, then ℓ may intersect the other hyperplane transversely at a point p , so p have one higher “multiplicity” with respect to Q than nearby points on ℓ , and in this case we would declare the “residual multiplicity” of ℓ at p to be 1.

More precisely, suppose for the moment that ℓ is the x_d axis, and $p = 0 \in \ell$. We Taylor expand $Q(x)$ as

$$Q(x) = \sum_{\alpha'} x^{\alpha'} q_{\alpha'}(x_d)$$

where the sum is over all multiindices $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d-1})$, $x^{\alpha'} := x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}}$, and $q_{\alpha'}$ is a polynomial of x_d only. We let $a_0 = \min\{|\alpha'| : q_{\alpha'}(x_d) \text{ is not identically zero}\}$. Then the *residual multiplicity* of ℓ at p with respect to Q is defined to be the minimum order of vanishing of $q_{\alpha'}(x_d)$ at $x_d = 0$ among all multiindices α' with $|\alpha'| = a_0$. More generally, given any line ℓ and any point $p \in \ell$, let T be an invertible affine map on \mathbb{R}^d that maps ℓ to the x_d axis, and the point p to the point 0. Taylor expand

$$Q(T^{-1}x) = \sum_{\alpha'} x^{\alpha'} q_{\alpha'}(x_d),$$

and let $a_0 = \min\{|\alpha'| : q_{\alpha'}(x_d) \text{ is not identically zero}\}$. Then the *residual multiplicity* of ℓ at p with respect to Q is defined to be the minimum order of vanishing of $q_{\alpha'}(x_d)$ at $x_d = 0$ among all multiindices α' with $|\alpha'| = a_0$.

Since the polynomial Q is fixed in this argument, and since we will only discuss residual multiplicities, instead of the residual multiplicity of ℓ at p with respect to Q , and we will simply say the *multiplicity* of ℓ at p .

One can show that this notion of multiplicity is well-defined, independent of the choice of the affine map T on \mathbb{R}^d . Indeed, if T and S are both invertible affine maps on \mathbb{R}^d such that $T(p) = S(p) = 0$ and $T(\ell) = S(\ell) =$ the x_d axis, then $S \circ T^{-1}$ is an invertible linear map on \mathbb{R}^d that fixes the x_d axis. If $y = (S \circ T^{-1})x$, then there exists an invertible linear map U on \mathbb{R}^{d-1} , and a vector $v = (v', v_d)$ on \mathbb{R}^d whose last component v_d is non-zero, such that

$$y' = Ux', \quad \text{and} \quad y_d = v \cdot x = v_d x_d + v' \cdot x'.$$

Hence if $Q(S^{-1}y) = \sum_{\beta'} y^{\beta'} \tilde{q}_{\beta'}(y_d)$, then

$$Q(T^{-1}x) = \sum_{\beta'} (Ux')^{\beta'} \tilde{q}_{\beta'}(v_d x_d + v' \cdot x').$$

We can collect terms and write this as $Q(T^{-1}x) = \sum_{\alpha'} x^{\alpha'} q_{\alpha'}(x_d)$. Then

$$\min\{|\alpha'| : q_{\alpha'}(x_d) \text{ is not identically zero}\} = \min\{|\beta'| : \tilde{q}_{\beta'}(y_d) \text{ is not identically zero}\}$$

Let a_0 be this common minimum, and λ be the number of multiindices of $(d-1)$ variables of length a_0 . Then U induces an invertible linear map $\bar{U} = (\bar{U}_{\alpha'})$ on \mathbb{R}^λ , such that for every α' with $|\alpha'| = a_0$, we have

$$q_{\alpha'}(x_d) = \sum_{|\beta'|=a_0} U_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} \tilde{q}_{\beta'}(v_d x_d).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\text{order of vanishing of } q_{\alpha'} \text{ at } 0 : |\alpha'| = a_0\} \\ &= \min\{\text{order of vanishing of } \tilde{q}_{\beta'} \text{ at } 0 : |\beta'| = a_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the multiplicity of ℓ at p is well-defined, independent of the choice of the affine map T on \mathbb{R}^d .

In the proof of the current theorem, we will only concern ourselves whether the multiplicity of ℓ at p is positive or zero. If the multiplicity of ℓ at p is positive, we call p a *special* point of ℓ ; if the multiplicity is zero, we call p an *ordinary* point of ℓ .

The key is to show that for every joint $p \in J$, there is some line $\ell \in L$ passing through p , so that p is a special point of ℓ . Indeed, since p is a joint formed by L , one can find d lines in L that passes through p , whose directions are linearly independent. Let T be an invertible affine map on \mathbb{R}^d that maps p to 0, and maps these d lines from L to the d coordinate axes. We expand

$$(2) \quad Q(T^{-1}x) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha},$$

and let $\bar{\alpha}$ be a multiindex of minimal length, such that $c_{\bar{\alpha}} \neq 0$. By renaming the coordinate axes, we may assume that $\bar{\alpha} = (\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_d)$, with $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}_2 \leq \dots \leq \bar{\alpha}_d$. Let $\ell_0 \in L$ be the image of the x_d axis under T^{-1} . We claim that p is a special point on ℓ_0 .

Indeed, we may recollect the terms in the expression for $Q(T^{-1}x)$, and write it as

$$Q(T^{-1}x) = \sum_{\alpha'} x^{\alpha'} q_{\alpha'}(x_d)$$

for some polynomials $q_{\alpha'}(x_d)$ for each multiindex α' of the first $(d-1)$ variables. Let $a_0 = \min\{|\alpha'| : q_{\alpha'}(x_d) \text{ is not identically zero}\}$. Then $a_0 \leq |\bar{\alpha}'|$. Suppose now α' is a multiindex of $(d-1)$ variables with $|\alpha'| = a_0$. If $q_{\alpha'}(x_d)$ has a zero of order α_d at 0, then $\alpha := (\alpha', \alpha_d)$ is a multiindex, for which the coefficient of x^{α} in (2) is non-zero. So by the choice of $\bar{\alpha}$, we have $|\alpha| \geq |\bar{\alpha}|$. Since we also have $|\alpha'| = a_0 \leq |\bar{\alpha}'|$, this shows $\alpha_d \geq \bar{\alpha}_d$, which is positive: indeed, since $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}_2 \leq \dots \leq \bar{\alpha}_d$, if $\bar{\alpha}_d$ were 0, then $|\bar{\alpha}| = 0$, which says $Q(T^{-1}0) \neq 0$, contradicting our choice of Q . This shows that $q_{\alpha'}(x_d)$ vanishes at 0 to a positive order. Since this is true for all multiindices α' with $|\alpha'| = a_0$, we conclude that the multiplicity of ℓ at p is positive, and hence p is a special point on ℓ_0 .

Finally, note that for every $\ell \in L$, there are at most $\deg(Q)$ special points on ℓ . This is because each $q_{\alpha'}(x_d)$ has at most $\deg(Q)$ zeroes (being polynomials of one variable of degree $\leq \deg(Q)$). Since there are only N lines in L , it follows that

$$|J| \leq N \deg(Q) \leq A_d N |J|^{1/d},$$

which shows

$$|J| \leq (A_d N)^{d/(d-1)}.$$

□

Let now L_1, \dots, L_d be d family of straight lines in \mathbb{R}^d . If $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and there exists a line from each of these d families, all of which containing p , such that the directions of these d lines are linearly independent, then p is called a multijoint of L_1, \dots, L_d . We then have the following multijoints theorem:

Theorem 2. *The number of multijoints formed by L_1, \dots, L_d is at most $C_d(|L_1| \dots |L_d|)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}$, for some dimensional constant C_d .*

This was first proved by Iliopoulou in [12], who also established the same result when \mathbb{R}^d is replaced by \mathbb{F}^3 for an arbitrary field \mathbb{F} (see also [11] for an earlier result in \mathbb{R}^3). Carbery and Valdimarsson [3] considered colorings of multijoints. Finally, Zhang [19] gave a proof of this theorem that works for arbitrary fields \mathbb{F} and arbitrary dimensions d . We present his proof below, which is a refinement of the second proof we gave above of Theorem 1.

Proof. (Taken from [19]) Let J be the set of all multijoints formed by L_1, \dots, L_d . For each $p \in J$, choose lines $\ell_{1,p} \in L_1, \dots, \ell_{d,p} \in L_d$ such that p lies on all of $\ell_{1,p}, \dots, \ell_{d,p}$, and such that the directions of $\ell_{1,p}, \dots, \ell_{d,p}$ are all linearly independent. Choose also an invertible affine map T_p on \mathbb{R}^d that maps p to 0, and that maps $\ell_{i,p}$ to the x_i axis for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. We choose a polynomial Q on \mathbb{R}^d , such that for all $p \in J$, when we expand $Q(T_p^{-1}x)$ in monomials in x , the coefficients of x^α is zero whenever $\alpha_i < |L_i|$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. This is putting $|L_1| \dots |L_d|$ conditions at each of the $|J|$ points in J , and one can find a polynomial Q of degree

$$\deg(Q) \lesssim A_d(|J||L_1| \dots |L_d|)^{1/d}$$

that achieves this, where A_d is some dimensional constant. We define the residual multiplicity of a line ℓ at a point p with respect to this Q as in the previous proof; we will denote this as $m(\ell, p)$, suppressing in the notation the dependence on Q since Q will be fixed throughout our proof.

Now for any line ℓ , we clearly have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{p \in \ell} m(\ell, p) \leq \deg(Q).$$

Furthermore, for each $p \in J$, there exists $i = i(p) \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that

$$(4) \quad m(\ell_{i,p}, p) \geq |L_i|.$$

Indeed, given $p \in J$, we Taylor expand

$$Q(T_p^{-1}x) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha},$$

and let $\bar{\alpha}$ be a multiindex of minimal length, such that $c_{\bar{\alpha}} \neq 0$. By following the argument in the previous proof, one sees that $m(\ell_{i,p}, p) \geq \bar{\alpha}_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. But by our choice of

Q , there exists $i = i(p) \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $\bar{a}_i \geq |L_i|$. It follows that for this i , we have $m(\ell_{i,p}, p) \geq |L_i|$ as desired.

We can now finish the proof in a few strokes. First, by pigeonhole principle, there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ for which the number of points p in J with $i(p) = i_0$ is at least $|J|/d$; in other words, there are at least $|J|/d$ points in J , for which $m(\ell_{i_0,p}, p) \geq |L_{i_0}|$. Let J_{i_0} be the set of all such points $p \in J$. Then

$$\sum_{p \in J_{i_0}} m(\ell_{i_0,p}, p) \geq |J_{i_0}| |L_{i_0}| \geq |J| |L_{i_0}| / d.$$

But if we sum (3) over all lines in L_{i_0} , we get

$$\sum_{p \in J_{i_0}} m(\ell_{i_0,p}, p) \leq \sum_{\ell \in L_{i_0}} \sum_{p \in \ell} m(\ell_{i_0,p}, p) \leq |L_{i_0}| \deg(Q).$$

Combining the two inequalities, we see that

$$|J| \leq d \deg(Q).$$

Since $\deg(Q) \leq A_d (|J| |L_1| \dots |L_d|)^{1/d}$, we see that

$$|J| \leq (dA_d)^{\frac{d}{d-1}} (|L_1| \dots |L_d|)^{\frac{1}{d-1}},$$

as desired. □

We close by mentioning that Carbery considered the problem of counting joints and multijoints with multiplicities. See Iliopoulou [9] and [10] for positive results in \mathbb{R}^3 , Hablicsek [8] for results about generic joints, Yang [18] for some almost sharp results, and finally Zhang [19] for the resolution of Carbery's conjecture. The problem of counting multijoints with multiplicities can be seen as a discrete analog of the multilinear Kakeya problem (see Iliopoulou [11], [12]). The problem of counting joints is also connected to the (linear) Kakeya problem, albeit less directly; see some heuristic observations by Schlag and Wolff in the Further Remark 3.4 of [17].

REFERENCES

- [1] Jonathan Bennett, Anthony Carbery, and Terence Tao, *On the multilinear restriction and Kakeya conjectures*, Acta Math. **196** (2006), no. 2, 261–302.
- [2] Anthony Carbery and Marina Iliopoulou, *Counting joints in vector spaces over arbitrary fields*, arXiv **1403.6438v2** (2014).
- [3] Anthony Carbery and Stefán Ingi Valdimarsson, *Colouring multijoints*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **52** (2014), no. 4, 730–742.
- [4] Larry Guth and Nets Hawk Katz, *Algebraic methods in discrete analogs of the Kakeya problem*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 5, 2828–2839.
- [5] Bernard Chazelle, Herbert Edelsbrunner, Leonidas J. Guibas, R. Pollack, R. Seidel, M. Sharir, and J. Snoeyink, *Counting and cutting cycles of lines and rods in space*, Comput. Geom. **1** (1992), no. 6, 305–323.
- [6] György Elekes, Haim Kaplan, and Micha Sharir, *On lines, joints, and incidences in three dimensions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **118** (2011), no. 3, 962–977.
- [7] Sharona Feldman and Micha Sharir, *An improved bound for joints in arrangements of lines in space*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **33** (2005), no. 2, 307–320.

- [8] Márton Hablicsek, *On the joints problem with multiplicities*, arXiv:1408.5791.
- [9] Marina Iliopoulou, *Counting joints with multiplicities*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **106** (2013), no. 3, 675–702.
- [10] ———, *Discrete analogues of Kakeya problems*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Edinburgh (United Kingdom).
- [11] ———, *Counting multijoints*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **136** (2015), 143–163.
- [12] ———, *Incidence bounds on multijoints and generic joints*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **54** (2015), no. 2, 481–512.
- [13] Haim Kaplan, Micha Sharir, and Eugenio Shustin, *On lines and joints*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **44** (2010), no. 4, 838–843.
- [14] René Quilodrán, *The joints problem in \mathbb{R}^n* , SIAM J. Discrete Math. **23** (2009/10), no. 4, 2211–2213.
- [15] Micha Sharir, *On joints in arrangements of lines in space and related problems*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **67** (1994), no. 1, 89–99.
- [16] Micha Sharir and Emo Welzl, *Point-line incidences in space*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **13** (2004), no. 2, 203–220.
- [17] Thomas Wolff, *Recent work connected with the Kakeya problem*, Prospects in mathematics (Princeton, NJ, 1996), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 129–162.
- [18] Ben Yang, *Generalizations of Joints Problem*, arXiv **1606.08525** (2016).
- [19] Ruixiang Zhang, *A proof of the multijoints conjecture and Carbery’s generalization*, arXiv **1612.05717** (2016).