

OPTIMALITY OF ℓ^p DECOUPLING FOR THE LIGHT CONE IN \mathbb{R}^{n+1}

PO-LAM YUNG

Let S_R be the truncated light cone in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} given by

$$S_R := \{(\xi, |\xi|) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |\xi| \simeq R\}.$$

Let \mathcal{B}_R be a covering of S_R by finitely overlapping rectangular boxes in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , of dimensions comparable to $1 \times R^{1/2} \times \cdots \times R^{1/2} \times R$, such that for every $\Theta \in \mathcal{B}_R$, the center c_Θ of Θ lies on S_R , and the longest side of Θ is parallel to the line connecting the origin to c_Θ , whereas the shortest side of Θ is parallel to the normal to S_R at c_Θ . Let $D_p(R)$ be the best constant so that the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{\Theta \in \mathcal{B}_R} F_\Theta \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq D_p(R) \left(\sum_{\Theta \in \mathcal{B}_R} \|F_\Theta\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (1)$$

holds for all family of functions $\{F_\Theta\}_{\Theta \in \mathcal{B}_R}$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} so that the Fourier support of F_Θ is contained in Θ for every $\Theta \in \mathcal{B}_R$. Below are examples showing that

$$D_p(R) \gtrsim \max\{R^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{p}}, R^{\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\}. \quad (2)$$

Hence the ℓ^p decoupling inequality of Bourgain and Demeter is optimal up to R^ε loss, for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$.

We begin with some preparation.

Let \mathcal{P}_R be a covering of the annulus $\{|\xi| \simeq R\}$ by finitely overlapping rectangles in \mathbb{R}^n , of dimensions $R^{1/2} \times \cdots \times R^{1/2} \times R$, such that for every $\theta \in \mathcal{P}_R$, the center c_θ of θ lies in the annulus $\{|\xi| \simeq R\}$, and the longest side of θ is parallel to the line connecting the origin to c_θ . We write $e_\theta := \frac{c_\theta}{|c_\theta|}$.

Let $\phi(x)$ be a non-negative Schwartz function on \mathbb{R}^n , with $\widehat{\phi}(\xi)$ compactly supported on $[-1/2, 1/2]^n$, so that $\phi(x) \geq 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$. Let $\eta(t)$ be a Schwartz function on \mathbb{R} whose Fourier transform is supported on $[-c, c]$ for some small absolute constant $c > 0$, and for which $|\eta(t)| \geq 1$ for all $t \in [-1, 1]$.

Step 1. We prove

$$D_p(R) \gtrsim R^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (3)$$

Let δ_R be the dilation $\delta_R(x) = (Rx_1, R^{1/2}x')$. For $\theta \in \mathcal{P}_R$, let L_θ be the rotation that rotates the long side of θ to $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$, and rotates the short sides of θ to the remaining coordinate directions in \mathbb{R}^n . Define

$$f_\theta(x) := e^{2\pi i c_\theta \cdot x} \phi(\delta_R L_\theta x).$$

The Fourier transform of f_θ is

$$\det(\delta_R)^{-1} \widehat{\phi}(\delta_R^{-1} L_\theta(\xi - c_\theta))$$

which is supported on $c_\theta + L_\theta^{-1} \delta_R[-1/2, 1/2]^n \simeq \theta$. The (space-time) Fourier transform of

$$\eta(t) e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x)$$

is supported in a rectangular box Θ of dimensions $1 \times R^{1/2} \times \dots \times R^{1/2} \times R$ like one of those in \mathcal{B}_R , so if (1) were to hold at an exponent p , then

$$\left\| \eta(t) \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_R} e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq D_p(R) \left(\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_R} \left\| \eta(t) e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (4)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \det(\delta_R)^{-1} \widehat{\phi}(\delta_R^{-1} L_\theta(\xi - c_\theta)) e^{2\pi i(t|\xi| + x \cdot \xi)} d\xi \\ &= e^{2\pi i(x \cdot c_\theta + t|c_\theta|)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \delta_R L_\theta(x - te_\theta) \cdot \xi} e^{2\pi i t(|c_\theta + L_\theta^{-1} \delta_R \xi| - |c_\theta| - \delta_R L_\theta e_\theta \cdot \xi)} d\xi \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Writing

$$e^{2\pi i t(|c_\theta + L_\theta^{-1} \delta_R \xi| - |c_\theta| - \delta_R L_\theta e_\theta \cdot \xi)} = 1 + O(tR^{-1})$$

on the support of ϕ , we see that

$$e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x) = e^{2\pi i(x \cdot c_\theta + t|c_\theta|)} \phi(\delta_R L_\theta(x - te_\theta)) + O(tR^{-1}).$$

Hence there exists some $c \in (0, 1)$ so that

$$\inf_{|x| \leq cR^{-1}, |t| \leq cR^{-1}} \operatorname{Re} e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_R} e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x) \gtrsim |\mathcal{P}_R| \simeq R^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \quad \text{whenever } |x| \leq cR^{-1}, |t| \leq cR^{-1}.$$

Hence the left hand side of (4) is at least

$$\gtrsim R^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R^{-\frac{n+1}{p}}. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, (5) shows that

$$|e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x)| \lesssim_N |t|^N (1 + R|x \cdot e_\theta - t| + R^{1/2}|x \cdot e_\theta^\perp|)^{-N}$$

for any unit vector e_θ^\perp orthogonal to e_θ and any positive integer N , because one can write

$$e^{2\pi i \delta_R L_\theta(x - te_\theta) \cdot \xi} = \frac{\Delta_\xi e^{2\pi i \delta_R L_\theta(x - te_\theta) \cdot \xi}}{|\delta_R L_\theta(x - te_\theta)|^2}$$

and integrate by parts; note that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\delta_R L_\theta(x - te_\theta)| &\simeq R|L_\theta(x - te_\theta) \cdot (1, 0, \dots, 0)| + R^{1/2}|L_\theta(x - te_\theta) \cdot (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)| + \dots \\
&= R|(x - te_\theta) \cdot e_\theta| + R^{1/2}|(x - te_\theta) \cdot L_\theta^{-1}(0, 1, 0, \dots)| + \dots \\
&\geq R|x \cdot e_\theta - t| + R^{1/2}|x \cdot e_\theta^\perp|
\end{aligned}$$

for any choice of e_θ^\perp . Thus

$$\|\eta(t)e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}}f_\theta(x)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \lesssim R^{-\frac{n+1}{2p}},$$

and the right hand side of (4) is

$$\lesssim D_p(R) \left(R^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} R^{-\frac{n+1}{2p}}. \quad (7)$$

Combining the lower bound (6) and the upper bound (7), we see that

$$D_p(R) \gtrsim \frac{R^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R^{-\frac{n+1}{p}}}{\left(R^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} R^{-\frac{n+1}{2p}}} = R^{(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{1}{p}},$$

as was to be proved.

Remark. Sometimes such a $\eta(t)e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}}f_\theta(x)$ (or translations thereof in physical space-time) is called a wave packet. It has a designated compact support in the frequency space, and is concentrated in the physical space-time to the extent possible by the uncertainty principle (in this case, to a rectangular box in space-time of dimensions $R^{-1} \times R^{-1/2} \times \dots \times R^{-1/2} \times 1$). In addition, in this example it is like a plane wave propagating with velocity c_θ inside the rectangular box.

Step 2. We prove

$$D_p(R) \gtrsim R^{\frac{n-1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}. \quad (8)$$

For $\theta \in \mathcal{P}_R$, let

$$f_\theta(x) := \varepsilon_\theta e^{2\pi i c_\theta \cdot x} \phi(x)$$

where ε_θ is a random choice of ± 1 and c_θ is the center of θ so that $\text{supp } \widehat{f}_\theta \subset \theta$. The (space-time) Fourier transform of

$$\varepsilon_\theta \eta(t) e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x)$$

is supported in a rectangular box Θ of dimensions $1 \times R^{1/2} \times \dots \times R^{1/2} \times R$ like one of those in \mathcal{B}_R , so if (1) were to hold at an exponent p , then

$$\left\| \eta(t) \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_R} \varepsilon_\theta e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq D_p(R) \left(\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_R} \|\eta(t) e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (9)$$

We evaluate the expectation of the p -th power of both sides as the sign ε_θ varies. Then Klintchine's inequality gives

$$\left\| \eta(t) \left(\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_R} |e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq D_p(R) \left(\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_R} \|\eta(t) e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (10)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x) &= \varepsilon_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) e^{2\pi i[(\xi+c_\theta)\cdot x+t|\xi+c_\theta|]} d\xi \\ &= \varepsilon_\theta e^{2\pi i(x\cdot c_\theta+t|c_\theta|)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) e^{2\pi i[\xi\cdot(x+te_\theta)]} e^{2\pi i(|\xi+c_\theta|-|c_\theta|-\xi\cdot e_\theta)} d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By expanding the last exponential in the integral as $1+$ error, we have

$$e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x) = \varepsilon_\theta e^{2\pi i(x\cdot c_\theta+t|c_\theta|)} \phi(x+te_\theta) + O(|t|).$$

Since $\phi(x) \geq 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, we see that

$$|e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

whenever $|(x,t)| \leq c$ for some small positive constant c (which depends on ϕ , but which crucially is independent of R). The left hand side of (10) is thus

$$\gtrsim |\mathcal{P}_R|^{1/2} \simeq R^{\frac{n-1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (12)$$

To estimate the right hand side of (10), note that from (11), we have

$$|e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x)| \lesssim_N |t|^N (1+|x+te_\theta|)^{-N}$$

for every non-negative integer N . As a result,

$$\|\eta(t) e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_\theta(x)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \lesssim 1,$$

which shows that the right hand side of (10) is

$$\lesssim D_p(R) |\mathcal{P}_R|^{1/p} \simeq D_p(R) R^{\frac{n-1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (13)$$

The lower bound (12) and the upper bound (13) together implies (8), as desired.

Remark. Another way of seeing that (8) holds is to take F_Θ to be a tiling of $B(0,1) \times [0,1]$ with wave packets of sizes $R^{-1} \times R^{-1/2} \times \dots \times R^{-1/2} \times 1$ whose Fourier support fill up Θ . By randomizing the signs of F_Θ as above, we yield (8).