

Harmonic analysis

Kevin Zhou

September 29, 2025

1 Course information

The assessment criteria is

1. 20% participation in class (including presentation)
2. 30% note taking (due before the following Friday)
3. 40% final paper
4. 10% mini oral defense

The class will cover recent progress about:

- Bochner-Riesz summability of Fourier series
- Fourier restriction conjecture
- Kakeya conjecture
- Local smoothing conjecture for the wave equation
- Decoupling estimates

2 Lecture 1

Definition 1. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We define the **fourier transform** of f to be

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we have the following fourier inversion formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi)e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Note that $e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} = \cos(2\pi i x \cdot \xi) + i \sin(2\pi i x \cdot \xi)$. If we fix ξ and plot it with respect to x_1 and x_2 , the graph resembles plane waves travelling in the ξ direction with speed $|\xi|$. What the fourier inversion tells us is if we have a “nice” function then we can represent it as a superposition of plane waves.

The following is a class of functions which will often useful

Definition 2. We define the **Schwartz functions** $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be the space of functions f such that $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$|\partial^\alpha f(x)| \lesssim \frac{C_{\alpha,N}}{(1+|x|)^N}$$

If a function is Schwartz, then we may also call it **rapidly decreasing**

Example 1. The gaussian $e^{-\pi|x|^2}$ is rapidly decreasing.

Q: Can we talk about Fourier transforms of functions for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p > 1$?

A: For $p = 2$. Suppose $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We can take $f_j \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f_j \rightarrow f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then \hat{f}_j converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus we define $\hat{f} := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_j$ in L^2 . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

In particular if we define $S_R f(x) := \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$, then $S_R f \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 1. We can then define \hat{f} for every $f \in L^1 + L^2$. In fact one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

(Riesz-Thorin complex interpolation): Suppose $T : L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T : L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an operator that is bounded and linear, then $T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever $\exists \theta \in (0, 1)$ s.t. $\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) = (1 - \theta) \left(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{q_1}\right) + \theta \left(\frac{1}{p_2}, \frac{1}{q_2}\right)$

Corollary 1. Let p' be the lesbeque exponent of p . Then

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Now we can define for $f \in L^p$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

$$S_R f(x) = \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Q: For $1 \leq p < 2$ we ask

1. Is it true that $\forall f \in L^p$, $S_R f \rightarrow f$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ in L^p ?
2. Is it true that $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\|S_1 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{p,n} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$?

Prop. 1. $S_R g \rightarrow g$ in L^p if $g \in \mathcal{S}$.

A: A positive answer to the second question implies a positive answer to the first question. Suppose $\|S_1 f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}$ for some $p \in [1, 2)$. Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\|S_R f\|_{L^p} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall R > 0$. Note that if $f \in L^p$, we can choose $f_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t. $f_j \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_R f - f\|_{L^p} &\leq \|S_R f - S_R f_j\|_{L^p} + \|S_R f_j - f_j\|_{L^p} + \|f_j - f\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq (1 + c) \|f_j - f\|_{L^p} + \|S_R f_j - f_j\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

Conversely if $S_R f \rightarrow f$ in L^p for every $f \in L^p$, then by uniform boundedness principle there exists $c > 0$ s.t. $\|S_R\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq c$. In particular $\|S_1\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq c$.

In fact, the two questions above are morally equivalent, in that if we consider the corresponding question for summability of Fourier series in the n dimensional torus, then they are really equivalent by the uniform boundedness principle (the issue with this equivalence on \mathbb{R}^n is because we need S_R to be bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every R before we can apply the uniform boundedness principle; but as we will see below, S_R is usually unbounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

We focus on question 2, which also makes sense for $p > 2$.

Case 1 $n = 1$: Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then $S_1 f(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi = f * K(x)$ where $K(x) = \int_{-1}^1 e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi = \frac{\sin(2\pi x)}{\pi x}$. This is almost in L^1 . If K were in L^1 then $\|f * K\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|K\|_{L^1}$.

By utilising the theory of singular integrals, specifically Hilbert transforms with $K(x) = \frac{\sin(2\pi x)}{\pi x}$ we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 < p \leq 2$ then $\int_{-R}^R \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \rightarrow f(x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand if $p = 1$, then $f * K$ is not bounded in L^1 (one can see this by essentially testing against the delta function at 0). Thus if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ then it may not be true that $\int_{-R}^R \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Case 2 $n \geq 2$:

$$S_R f(x) = \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

The difficulty with trying to do this on a ball for $n \geq 2$ comes with the curvature of the boundary. If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider $S_1 f(x)$ then $S_1 f(x) = f * K$ where $K(x) = \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$ satisfies $|K(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In fact,

$$K(x) \sim \frac{e^{\pm i 2\pi |x|}}{|x|^{(n+1)/2}} + \text{faster decaying error term}$$

Herz (1954) used this asymptotics and showed that if $1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n}{n+1}$ then $\|S_1 f\|_{L^p} \not\lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}$. The counterexample is given by $f(x) = (1 - |x|^2)_+^\alpha$ where $\alpha < \frac{n+1}{2n}$ (in fact, it would have been simpler to just take $f =$ characteristic function of a unit ball). In particular $S_R f \not\rightarrow f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n}{n+1}$.

What about $\frac{2n}{n+1} < p < 2$? Is $S_R f \rightarrow f$ in L^p . But Fefferman (1971) proved the answer is no. He used the existence of **Keakeya sets** and probabilistic arguments. This theorem is called the **Ball multiplier theorem**.

To summarise, if $n \geq 2, p \neq 2$ then $f(x) \neq$ the L^p limit of $\int_{|\xi| \leq R} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$. This leads us to the **Bochner-Riesz summability**. For $\alpha > 0, R > 0$ we define

$$S_R^\alpha f(x) = \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \left(1 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{R^2}\right)^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Q: If $n \geq 2$, for which p do we have

$$\|S_1^\alpha f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}$$

We call this inequality BR_α .

A: If BR_α holds for a certain p , then $f = L^p$ -limit $S_R^\alpha f$ for all $f \in L^p$. It turned out that $S_1^\alpha f = f * K_\alpha$ where $K_\alpha(x) = \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} (1 - |\xi|^2)^\alpha e^{\pm 2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$.

Here $K_\alpha(x) \sim \frac{e^{2\pi i |x|}}{|x|^{(n+1)/2+\alpha}} + \text{error}$. We can modify what Herz did: BR_α fails if $1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n}{n+1+2\alpha}$.

Conjecture 1. (Bochner Riesz) If $n \geq 2, \alpha > 0, \frac{2n}{n+1+2\alpha} < p < \frac{2n}{n-1-2\alpha}$ then BR_α holds.

Equivalently let $\varphi_\delta(\xi)$ be a smooth function supported on a δ -neighbourhood of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} normalized s.t. $\varphi_\delta(\xi) \sim 1$ near $|\xi| = 1$. Then the conjecture is

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_\delta(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\| \lesssim \delta^{-(n-1)((1/2-1/p)-1/p)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall p > \frac{2n}{n-1}$$