## Math 350 Fall 2011 <br> Food for thought 1

Here are some interesting problems about the density of diagonalizable matrices that you can think about in your free time.

1. (a) Suppose $A$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}$, say

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \\
A_{2,1} & A_{2,2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $f(t)=t^{2}+a_{1} t+a_{0}$ be the characteristic polynomial of $A$.
(i) Find $a_{1}$ and $a_{0}$ in terms of the entries of $A$.
(ii) Explain why $f(t)$ has 2 distinct roots if and only if $a_{1}^{2}-4 a_{0} \neq 0$. Hence, using also part (i), find a necessary and sufficient condition on the entries of $A$ for which $A$ has 2 distinct eigenvalues over $\mathbb{C}$. This is then a sufficient condition under which $A$ would be diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$.
(b) Suppose $B$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}$. Show that there exists a sequence $B_{m}$ of $2 \times 2$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $B_{m}$ is diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$ for all $m$, and that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} B_{m}=B
$$

in the sense that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(B_{m}\right)_{i, j}=B_{i, j} \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq 2
$$

where $\left(B_{m}\right)_{i, j}$ and $B_{i, j}$ are the $(i, j)$-th entry of the matrices $B_{m}$ and $B$ respectively.
2. The above question can be generalized to higher dimensions. To do so, we first develop some algebraic preliminaries in this question.

First, for $j=1, \ldots, n$, we define $s_{j}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ to be the coefficient of $t^{n-j}$ in the polynomial $p(t):=\left(t+t_{1}\right) \ldots\left(t+t_{n}\right)$. This $s_{j}$ is usually called the $j$-th elementary symmetric polynomial of the $n$ variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$.

A bijective map $\sigma:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is usually called a permutation of $n$ letters. The set of all such is denoted $S_{n}$, the symmetric group of $n$ letters. A polynomial $P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ of $n$ variables is said to be symmetric if

$$
P\left(t_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, t_{\sigma(n)}\right)=P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } \sigma \in S_{n}
$$

(a) Show that

$$
s_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=t_{1}+\cdots+t_{n}
$$

and

$$
s_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=t_{1} \ldots t_{n}
$$

(b) Show that the $s_{j}$ defined above is a symmetric polynomial of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$, for each $j=1, \ldots, n$. Hence, any polynomial in $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ is a symmetric polynomial of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$.
(c) Show that if $P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a symmetric polynomial of $n$ variables, then there exists a polynomial $Q$ of $n$ variables such that

$$
P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=Q\left(s_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right), \ldots, s_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right)
$$

(Hint: Use a double induction here, first on the number of variables $n$, then on the total degree of the given polynomial $P$. In fact, suppose a symmetric polynomial $P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ is given. Then the polynomial $P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}, 0\right)$, obtained by plugging in $t_{n}=0$, is a symmetric polynomial of the $n-1$ variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}$. Hence by induction hypothesis, there exists a polynomial $q$ of $n-1$ variables such that

$$
P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}, 0\right)=q\left(s_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right), \ldots, s_{n-1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right)\right)
$$

Now consider
$R\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right):=P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-q\left(s_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right), \ldots, s_{n-1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right)$.
Then $R$ is a symmetric polynomial of $n$ variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$. Also, by construction, $R\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}, 0\right)=0$, so $t_{n}$ divides $R$, and it follows by the symmetry of $R$ that $t_{1} \ldots t_{n}$ divides $R$, i.e. $s_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ divides $R$. Then

$$
\frac{R\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)}{s_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)}
$$

is a symmetric polynomial of $n$ variables that has smaller total degree than $P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$, so by induction hypothesis,

$$
\frac{R\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)}{s_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)}=r\left(s_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right), \ldots, s_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right)
$$

for some polynomial $r$ of $n$ variables. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \\
= & q\left(s_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right), \ldots, s_{n-1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+s_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) r\left(s_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right), \ldots, s_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof of the induction step.)
3. This question is a generalization of Question 1 to $n$ dimensions.
(a) Suppose $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ is a listing (with multiplicities) of the eigenvalues of $A$. Let also

$$
f(t)=(-1)^{n}\left(t^{n}+a_{n-1} t^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)
$$

be the characteristic polynomial of $A$.
(i) Show that $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ are polynomials in the entries of $A$.
(ii) Show that $a_{j}=(-1)^{n-j} s_{n-j}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ for all $j=0, \ldots, n-1$.
(iii) Suppose

$$
P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right):=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(t_{i}-t_{j}\right)^{2} .
$$

Show that $P\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a symmetric polynomial of the $n$ variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$. Hence, using Question 2 and part (ii), show that $P\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ is a polynomial of $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$. It then follows from part (i) that $P\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ is a polynomial of the entries of $A$.
(iv) Suppose $P$ is defined as in part (iii). Show that if $P\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \neq$ 0 , then $A$ is diagonalizable. Hence, using part (iii), find a sufficient condition on the entries of $A$ such that $A$ is diagonalizable.
(b) One can now prove the following: Suppose $B$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}$. Show that there exists a sequence $B_{m}$ of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $B_{m}$ is diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$ for all $m$, and that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} B_{m}=B
$$

in the sense that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(B_{m}\right)_{i, j}=B_{i, j} \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq n
$$

where $\left(B_{m}\right)_{i, j}$ and $B_{i, j}$ are the $(i, j)$-th entry of the matrices $B_{m}$ and $B$ respectively.
4. The result of Question 3(b) can be restated as follows: the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices that are diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$ is dense in the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices. On the other hand, show that the set of all unitarily diagonalizable matrices is NOT dense in the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices. (Hint: A complex $n \times n$ matrix is unitarily diagonalizable if and only if it is normal, and the set of normal matrices is a proper closed subset of the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices.) Also, the result of Question $1(\mathrm{~b})$ is false if $\mathbb{C}$ is replaced by $\mathbb{R}$, since there are many $2 \times 2$ real matrices that does not even have real eigenvalues.
5. In this question we see some applications of the result in Question 3(b).
(a) We have seen that if $B$ is a diagonalizable $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{C}$, then

$$
\operatorname{det}(I+t B)=1+s_{1} t+\cdots+s_{n} t^{n}
$$

for some coefficients $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$, where $s_{1}=\operatorname{trace}(B)$ and $s_{n}=\operatorname{det}(B)$. Using Question 3(b), show that the same conclusion holds without the assumption that $B$ is diagonalizable. It follows that if $B$ is any $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}$, then $B$ is invertible if and only if $\operatorname{det}(I+t B)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ in $t$.
(b) Suppose $A$ is an $n \times n$ complex matrix. We define the exponential, sine and cosine of $A$ by the following formula:

$$
e^{A}:=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(I+\frac{1}{1!} A+\frac{1}{2!} A^{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m!} A^{m}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sin (A):=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{1!} A-\frac{1}{3!} A^{3}+\frac{1}{5!} A^{5}+\cdots+\frac{(-1)^{m}}{(2 m+1)!} A^{2 m+1}\right) \\
\cos (A):=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(I-\frac{1}{2!} A^{2}+\frac{1}{4!} A^{4}+\cdots+\frac{(-1)^{m}}{(2 m)!} A^{2 m}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here the limits of the matrices are defined as in Question 3(b). These mimic the definition of the exponential, sine and cosine of a complex number by power series.
(i) Show that if $A$ is diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$, say $A=P D P^{-1}$ where $D$ is a diagonal matrix, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{A}=P e^{D} P^{-1} \\
\sin (A)=P \sin (D) P^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \cos (A)=P \cos (D) P^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) Show that if $D$ is a diagonal matrix with entries $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$, then $e^{D}$ is a diagonal matrix with entries $e^{\lambda_{1}}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_{n}}$. State and prove a similar statement for $\sin (D)$ and $\cos (D)$.
(iii) Show that

$$
\sin ^{2}(D)+\cos ^{2}(D)=I
$$

for all diagonal matrices $D$. (Here we write $\sin ^{2}(D)$ for $(\sin (D))^{2}$, etc.) (Hint: Here you may use freely the fact that $\sin ^{2}(\lambda)+\cos ^{2}(\lambda)=1$ for all complex numbers $\lambda$.)
(iv) Using part (i), show that

$$
\sin ^{2}(A)+\cos ^{2}(A)=I
$$

if $A$ is diaognalizable over $\mathbb{C}$.
(v) Hence, using Question 3(c), prove that the same conclusion holds without the assumption that $A$ is diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$.
(vi) Similarly, show that $\operatorname{det}\left(e^{A}\right)=e^{\operatorname{Trace}(A)}$ for all $n \times n$ complex matrix A. (In particular, we have the conclusions of parts (v) and (vi) for all $n \times n$ real matrices $A$, which is not very easy to see without first passing to complex matrices!)

