

MATH6081A Homework 6

1. (a) Suppose $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$, and $a \in S_{\gamma, \delta}^m$. Show that T_a maps $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself, and that the map $T_a: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous.
- (b) Suppose $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, $\delta \in [0, 1)$, and $c \in CS_{\gamma, \delta}^m$. Show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $T_{[c], \varepsilon}$ maps $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself, and that $T_{[c], \varepsilon} f$ converges in the topology of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ for every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also show that the map $T_{[c]}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous.
2. Suppose $c \in CS^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that there exists $a \in S^m$ such that

$$T_{[c]}f = T_a f$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also show that

$$a(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{\gamma} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x, y, \xi)|_{y=x},$$

in the sense that

$$a(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\gamma| < N} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x, y, \xi)|_{y=x} \in S^{m-N}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. (Hint: Let η be a fixed C^∞ function on \mathbb{R}^n supported on $B(0, 2)$, such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B(0, 1)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$T_{[c]}f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} T_{[c_{\varepsilon, 1}]}f(x) + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} T_{[c_{\varepsilon, 2}]}f(x)$$

where

$$c_{\varepsilon, 1}(x, y, \xi) := c(x, y, \xi)\eta(x-y)\eta(\varepsilon\xi),$$

$$c_{\varepsilon, 2}(x, y, \xi) := c(x, y, \xi)(1-\eta)(x-y)\eta(\varepsilon y)\eta(\varepsilon\xi)$$

and the convergence is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (actually we just need pointwise convergence here). Now

$$T_{[c_{\varepsilon, 1}]}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{\varepsilon, 1}(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\varepsilon, 1}(x, \xi) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c_{\varepsilon, 1}(x, y, \zeta) e^{2\pi i(x-y) \cdot (\zeta - \xi)} dy d\zeta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{c}_{\varepsilon, 1}(x, \zeta - \xi, \zeta) e^{2\pi i x \cdot (\zeta - \xi)} d\zeta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{c}_{\varepsilon, 1}(x, \zeta, \xi + \zeta) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \zeta} d\zeta; \end{aligned}$$

here $\widehat{c}_{\varepsilon, 1}$ is the Fourier transform of c in the middle variable. Taylor expanding, we get, for $N \geq m$, that

$$\widehat{c}_{\varepsilon, 1}(x, \zeta, \xi + \zeta) = \sum_{|\gamma| < N} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_\xi^\gamma \widehat{c}_{\varepsilon, 1}(x, \zeta, \xi) \zeta^\gamma + R_N(x, \zeta, \xi),$$

where the remainder $R_N(x, \zeta, \xi)$ satisfies, uniformly in ε , that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta R_N(x, \zeta, \xi)| \lesssim_{N, \bar{N}, \alpha, \beta} |\zeta|^N (1 + |\zeta|)^{-\bar{N}}$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\bar{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta R_N(x, \zeta, \xi)| \lesssim_{N, \bar{N}, \alpha, \beta} |\zeta|^N (1 + |\zeta|)^{-\bar{N}} (1 + |\xi|)^{m-N-|\beta|}$$

whenever $|\xi| > 2|\zeta|$ and $\bar{N} \in \mathbb{N}$. Plugging this back into the formula for $a_{\varepsilon,1}$, we get

$$a_{\varepsilon,1}(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\gamma| < N} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma c_{\varepsilon,1}(x, y, \xi)|_{y=x} + e_{\varepsilon,1}(x, \xi)$$

where $e_{\varepsilon,1}(x, \xi) \in S^{m-N}$ uniformly in ε . Next,

$$T_{[c_{\varepsilon,2}]} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{\varepsilon,2}(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi,$$

where

$$a_{\varepsilon,2}(x, \xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c_{\varepsilon,2}(x, y, \zeta) e^{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot (\zeta - \xi)} dy d\zeta.$$

But since $c_{\varepsilon,2}(x, y, \zeta)$ is supported away from $x = y$, one can integrate by parts using

$$e^{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot (\zeta - \xi)} = \frac{\Delta_\zeta e^{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot (\zeta - \xi)}}{-4\pi^2 |x - y|^2}$$

to gain decay in ζ . Also, since $c_{\varepsilon,2}(x, y, \zeta)$ is compactly supported in y , one can integrate by parts using

$$e^{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot (\zeta - \xi)} = \frac{(I - \Delta_y) e^{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot (\zeta - \xi)}}{1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi - \zeta|^2}$$

to gain decay in $|\xi - \zeta|$. This shows

$$|a_{\varepsilon,2}(x, \xi)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|y-x| \geq 1} \frac{(1 + |\zeta|)^{m-N_1}}{|x - y|^{N_1} (1 + |\xi - \zeta|)^{N_2}} dy d\zeta$$

which is $\lesssim_{\bar{N}} (1 + |\xi|)^{-\bar{N}}$ for all $\bar{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ upon dividing the domain of integration into where $|\zeta| \leq |\xi|/2$ and $|\zeta| > |\xi|/2$, and the estimate is uniform in ε . Similarly, $|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a_{\varepsilon,2}(x, \xi)| \lesssim_{\bar{N}, \alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{-\bar{N}}$ for all $\bar{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, uniformly in ε . Thus $a_{\varepsilon,2}(x, \xi) \in S^{m-\bar{N}}$ for all $\bar{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, uniformly in ε . It remains to observe that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma c_{\varepsilon,1}(x, y, \xi)|_{y=x} = \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x, y, \xi)|_{y=x}$$

pointwisely for all $|\gamma| < N$, and that there exists a symbol $e_N(x, \xi) \in S^{m-N}$, such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (e_{\varepsilon,1}(x, \xi) + a_{\varepsilon,2}(x, \xi)) = e_N(x, \xi)$$

pointwisely. By dominated convergence, this shows that if

$$a(x, \xi) := \sum_{|\gamma| < N} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x, y, \xi)|_{y=x} + e_N(x, \xi),$$

then

$$T_{[c]}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi = T_a f(x)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; note that $a(x, \xi)$ satisfies the desired asymptotic expansion by construction.)

3. (a) Show that if $a \in S^m$, then the formal adjoint of T_a is given by a pseudodifferential operator with symbol a^* , where

$$a^*(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{\gamma} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma \overline{a(y, \xi)} \Big|_{y=x}.$$

- (b) Show that if $a_1 \in S^{m_1}$ and $a_2 \in S^{m_2}$, then $T_{a_1} T_{a_2}$ is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol a , where

$$a(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{\gamma} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_\xi^\gamma a_1(x, \xi) \partial_x^\gamma a_2(x, \xi).$$

(Hint: Use the previous question. Note that $T_{a_1} T_{a_2} = T_{a_1} T_{a_2}^*$ has compound symbol $a_1(x, \xi) \overline{a_2^*(y, \xi)}$, and part (a) shows that

$$a_2(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{\gamma} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma \overline{a_2^*(y, \xi)} \Big|_{y=x}.$$

See also Stein's *Harmonic Analysis*, Chapter VI, Section 3 for a more direct proof.)

4. (a) Show that for any sequence of real numbers c_0, c_1, \dots , there exists a C^∞ function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f^{(k)}(0) = c_k$ for all $k \geq 0$. (Hint: Consider

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_k}\right) c_k \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

where $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and ε_k is a sequence of positive numbers that tend to 0 very rapidly.)

- (b) Suppose $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Given a sequence of symbols a_0, a_1, \dots with $a_k \in S^{m-k}$ for all $k \geq 0$, show that there exists a symbol $a \in S^m$, such that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $e_N \in S^{m-N}$ with

$$a(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k(x, \xi) + e_N(x, \xi).$$

(Hint: Mimic the proof of (a).)

5. (Hilbert-Schmidt norm) Let T be an integral operator defined by

$$Tf(x) = \int K(x, y)f(y)dy,$$

where

$$\|K(x, y)\|_{L^2(dx dy)} \leq C.$$

Show that T is bounded on L^2 with operator norm $\leq C$. (Hint: Estimate $|Tf(x)|^2$ using Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$|Tf(x)|^2 \leq \left(\int |K(x, y)|^2 dy \right) \left(\int |f(y)|^2 dy \right).$$

Then integrate in x .) Can you interpret this as a bound for the operator norms of matrices in linear algebra?

6. (Schur's test) Let T be an integral operator defined by

$$Tf(x) = \int K(x, y)f(y)dy,$$

where

$$\sup_x \|K(x, y)\|_{L^1(dy)} \leq A \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_y \|K(x, y)\|_{L^1(dx)} \leq B.$$

Show that T is bounded on L^2 with operator norm $\leq \sqrt{AB}$. (Hint: Estimate $|Tf(x)|^2$ using Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$|Tf(x)|^2 \leq A^2 \int |K(x, y)||f(y)|^2 dy.$$

Then integrate in x . Alternatively, use duality: Assume $\|f\|_{L^2} = \|g\|_{L^2} = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int Tf(x)g(x)dx &\leq \int \int |K(x, y)||f(y)||g(x)|dydx \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{A}}{2\sqrt{B}} \int \int |K(x, y)||f(y)|^2 dydx + \frac{\sqrt{B}}{2\sqrt{A}} \int \int |K(x, y)||g(x)|^2 dydx \end{aligned}$$

where we used AM-GM in the last inequality. Yet another way is to interpolate between $T: L^1 \rightarrow L^1$ and $T: L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty$.) Can you interpret this as a bound for the operator norms of matrices?

7. Let $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an ℓ^1 sequence of non-negative real numbers, with $A := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_j$.

- (a) Prove that if $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^J$ is a finite sequence of real numbers with $|t_i t_j| \leq \gamma_{i-j}^2$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, J$, then $\sum_{j=1}^J |t_j| \leq A$. (Hint: Let j_0 be the index where $|t_{j_0}| = \max_j |t_j|$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^J |t_j| \leq |t_{j_0}|^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^J |t_j|^{1/2} \leq |t_{j_0}|^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^J |t_{j_0}|^{-1/2} \gamma_{j-j_0}$$

which is bounded by A .)

- (b) Prove the original version of Cotlar's lemma: If $\{T_j\}_{j=1}^J$ is a finite sequence of commuting self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space H , with

$$\|T_i T_j\|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq \gamma_{i-j}^2$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, J$, then for $T = \sum_{j=1}^J T_j$, we have $\|T\|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq A$. (Hint: Simultaneously diagonalize the T_j 's, and use part (a).)

8. Let $\{f_j\}$ be a sequence in a Hilbert space H , and $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose for any sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ that satisfies $\varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for all j and has only finitely many non-zero terms, we have

$$\left\| \sum_j \varepsilon_j f_j \right\| \leq A.$$

Show that $\sum_j f_j$ converges in H . (Hint: Suppose not, then there exists some $\delta > 0$, and some sequences $\{M_k\}, \{N_k\}$ with $M_k < N_k < M_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that if

$$F_k = \sum_{M_k \leq |j| \leq N_k} f_j,$$

then $\|F_k\| \geq \delta$. As a result, $\sum_{k=1}^K \|F_k\|^2 > A^2$ if K is sufficiently large. But our assumptions imply that

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \|F_k\|^2 \leq A^2$$

for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the desired contradiction.)

9. Suppose $\{T_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a family of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , for which there exists a non-negative ℓ^1 sequence $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $\sum_j \gamma_j = A < \infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_j\|_{H \rightarrow H} &\leq A \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \|T_k^* T_j\|_{H \rightarrow H} &\leq \gamma_j \gamma_k \quad \text{for all } j \neq k \\ T_j T_k^* &= 0 \quad \text{for all } j \neq k. \end{aligned}$$

Show that $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} T_j$ converges strongly to a bounded linear operator T on H , with

$$\|T\|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq \sqrt{2}A.$$

This is a cruder version of Cotlar-Stein, which can sometimes be used as a substitute in applications and admits a much more direct proof. (Hint: The ranges of T_j^* 's are orthogonal, so there exists mutually orthogonal projections E_j 's such that $T_j^* = E_j T_j^*$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. This shows $T_j = T_j E_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|j| \leq N} T_j f \right\|^2 &= \sum_{|j| \leq N} \|T_j f\|^2 + \sum_{\substack{|j|, |k| \leq N \\ j \neq k}} \langle T_k^* T_j f, f \rangle \\ &\leq \sum_{|j| \leq N} A^2 \|E_j f\|^2 + \sum_{\substack{|j|, |k| \leq N \\ j \neq k}} \gamma_j \gamma_k \|f\|^2 \leq 2A^2 \|f\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. This also holds with $T_j f$ replaced by $\pm T_j f$. One can thus let $N \rightarrow \infty$ by invoking the result in the previous question.)

10. Let H be the Hilbert transform on \mathbb{R} , defined as convolution with the tempered distribution given by the principal value of $\frac{1}{\pi x}$. The goal of this question is to prove the L^2 boundedness of H by appealing to Cotlar-Stein.

Let $\psi(x)$ be a smooth even function on \mathbb{R} , for which $\psi(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$, and $\psi(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 2$. Let $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) - \psi(2x)$ so that φ is supported on $\{1/2 \leq |x| \leq 2\}$, and $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^j x) = 1$ for all $x \neq 0$. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$k_j(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\varphi(2^j x)}{x}.$$

Also let

$$T_j f(x) = f * k_j(x) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

- (a) Show that $\sum_{|j| \leq N} k_j$ converges to the principal value of $\frac{1}{\pi x}$ in the topology of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ when $N \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have pointwise convergence of $\sum_{|j| \leq N} T_j f(x)$ to $Hf(x)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) Show that

$$|k_j(x)| \lesssim \frac{2^j}{(1 + 2^j |x|)^2}$$

and more generally

$$|\partial_x^\alpha k_j(x)| \lesssim \frac{2^{j(1+|\alpha|)}}{(1 + 2^j |x|)^2}.$$

Also show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_j(x) dx = 0.$$

- (c) Using Cotlar-Stein, show that $\sum_{|j| \leq N} T_j$ converges strongly to H in L^2 , and conclude that H is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.
11. (a) (Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem) Let $a \in S_{0,0}^0$. Show that the pseudodifferential operator T_a , initially defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, extends to a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. (Hint: Let $S = T_a \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ where \mathcal{F}^{-1} is the inverse Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^n . Then by Plancherel, it suffices to show that S extends to a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \phi(x - j_1) = 1$ be a smooth partition of unity on \mathbb{R}^n , where ϕ is a non-negative smooth function with compact support on $B(0, 1)$. Similarly, $\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \phi(\xi - j_2) = 1$ is a smooth partition of unity on the ξ space. For $j = (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, let

$$S_j f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x - j_1) a(x, \xi) \phi(\xi - j_2) f(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so that $Sf = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n}} S_j f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with convergence in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now for all $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$S_j S_k^* f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{j,k}(x, y) f(y) dy$$

where

$$K_{j,k}(x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x - j_1) a(x, \xi) \phi(\xi - j_2) \overline{a(y, \xi)} \phi(y - k_1) e^{2\pi i(x-y) \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

But $K_{j,k}(x, y) = 0$ if $|j_2 - k_2| \geq 2$,

$$|K_{j,k}(x, y)| \lesssim \phi(x - j_1)\phi(y - k_1),$$

and if one integrates by parts using

$$e^{2\pi i(x-y)\cdot\xi} = \frac{\Delta_\xi e^{2\pi i(x-y)\cdot\xi}}{-4\pi^2|x-y|^2},$$

one gets

$$|K_{j,k}(x, y)| \lesssim_N \frac{1}{|j_1 - k_1|^N} \phi(x - j_1)\phi(y - k_1)$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, if $|j_1 - k_1| \geq 3$. Thus

$$\|S_j S_k^*\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 + |j - k|)^N}.$$

By symmetry, the same is true for $\|S_k^* S_j\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$. One can then apply Cotlar-Stein. Note that this gives another proof that $T_a: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ if $a \in S^0 = S_{1,0}^0$.)

- (b) More generally, let $a \in S_{\gamma,\gamma}^0$ for some $\gamma \in [0, 1)$. Show that the pseudodifferential operator T_a , initially defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, extends to a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. (Hint: Let $a \in S_{\gamma,\gamma}^0$ with $\gamma \in [0, 1)$. By replacing $a(x, \xi)$ by $a_\varepsilon(x, \xi) := a(x, \xi)\eta(\varepsilon x)\eta(\varepsilon\xi)$ for some $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\eta(0) = 1$, we may assume that $a(x, \xi)$ has compact support in both x and ξ ; this works because $a_\varepsilon(x, \xi) \in S_{\gamma,\gamma}^0$ uniformly in ε , and $T_{a_\varepsilon} f \rightarrow T_a f$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Define Littlewood-Paley decomposition $I = P_0 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \Delta_j$ by letting

$$P_0 f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)],$$

$$\Delta_j f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)] \quad \text{for } j \geq 1,$$

where $\psi(\xi)$ is a real-valued smooth function with compact support on the unit ball $B(0, 2)$, with $\psi(\xi) \equiv 1$ on $B(0, 1)$, and $\varphi(\xi) = \psi(\xi) - \psi(2\xi)$ so that φ is supported on the annulus $\{1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$. Write

$$T_a = T_a P_0 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty T_a \Delta_j.$$

$T_a P_0$ clearly extends to a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since its symbol is in $S_{1,0}^0$. We claim that $\sum_{j \text{ odd}} T_a \Delta_j$ extends to a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$: indeed if j, k are odd and distinct, then $T_a \Delta_j (T_a \Delta_k)^* = 0$, and

$$(T_a \Delta_k)^* (T_a \Delta_j) f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{j,k}(x, y) f(y) dy$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$K_{j,k}(x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{a(z, \eta)} \varphi(2^{-k}\eta) a(z, \eta) \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) e^{2\pi i((z-y)\cdot\xi + (x-z)\cdot\eta)} dz d\eta d\xi$$

satisfies

$$|K_{j,k}(x, y)| \lesssim_N 2^{\max\{j,k\}(\gamma-1)N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x - z|)^{-N} (1 + |z - y|)^{-N} dz.$$

(The interchange of order of integration is justified by Fubini, since $a(x, \xi)$ has compact x and ξ supports.) If $N > n$, then Schur's test shows that $\|(T_a \Delta_k)^*(T_a \Delta_j)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim_N 2^{\max\{j,k\}(\gamma-1)N}$, so by Cotlar-Stein (or the result of Question 9), to show that $\sum_{j \text{ odd}} T_a \Delta_j$ extends to a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it remains to show that $\|T_a \Delta_j\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$ are uniformly bounded in j . This follows from part (a), since if D_j is the L^2 -norm-preserving dilation given by

$$D_j f(x) := 2^{j\gamma n/2} f(2^{j\gamma} x),$$

then

$$\|T_a \Delta_j\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} = \|D_j^{-1} T_a \Delta_j D_j\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2},$$

whereas $D_j^{-1} T_a \Delta_j D_j$ is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol

$$a(2^{-j\gamma} x, 2^{j\gamma} \xi) \varphi(2^{-j} 2^{j\gamma} \xi),$$

which is in $S_{0,0}^0$ uniformly in j since $a \in S_{\gamma,\gamma}^0$. Similarly $\sum_{j \text{ even}} T_a \Delta_j$ extends to a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.