Topics in Harmonic Analysis Lecture 6: Pseudodifferential calculus and almost orthogonality

Po-Lam Yung

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Introduction

- While multiplier operators are very useful in studying constant coefficient partial differential equations, one often encounters variable coefficient partial differential equations.
- Thus we consider a variable coefficient generalization of multiplier operators, namely pseudodifferential operators.
- We study compositions and mapping properties of pseudodifferential operators.
- These in turn allow one to construct paramatrices to variable coefficient elliptic PDEs.
- ► We close this lecture with a beautiful almost orthogonality principle, due to Cotlar and Stein, which will play a crucial role in the proof of the T(1) theorem in the next lecture.

Outline

- Symbols of pseudodifferential operators
- Kernel representations
- Mapping properties on L^2
- Compound symbols
- Closure under adjoints and compositions
- Parametrix construction
- Cotlar-Stein lemma (almost orthogonality)

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Symbols of pseudodifferential operators

► Given a smooth function a(x, ξ) on ℝⁿ × ℝⁿ (which we think of as the cotangent bundle of ℝⁿ), a pseudodifferential operator with symbol a is by definition

$$T_a f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x,\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

We will consider only symbols a that satisfy the following differential inequalities:

$$|\partial_x^lpha \partial_\xi^eta a(x,\xi)| \lesssim (1+|\xi|)^{m-|eta|\gamma+|lpha|\delta}$$

for all multiindices α and β , where $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$ are three fixed parameters.

- Following Hörmander, a symbol a is said to be of class S^m_{γ,δ}, if the above differential inequalities are satisfied for all α and β.
- ► Usually we consider only the case γ = 1, δ = 0, in which case we write S^m in place of S^m_{1.0}.
- m is called the order of the symbol (or the order of the associated operator).

• Example: If $p(\xi)$ is a polynomial of degree m, and

$$a(x,\xi)=p(2\pi i\xi),$$

then $a \in S^m$, and

$$T_af(x)=p(\partial_x)f(x)$$

is a constant coefficient differential operator of order m.

• More generally, if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$a(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\beta| \le m} A_{\beta}(x) (2\pi i\xi)^{\beta},$$

where the A_eta 's are all C_c^∞ on \mathbb{R}^n , then we have $a\in S^m$ with

$$T_a f(x) = \sum_{|\beta| \le m} A_{\beta}(x) \partial_x^{\beta} f(x)$$

is a variable coefficient partial differential operator of order m.

It is easy to see that if a ∈ S^m_{γ,δ} for some m ∈ ℝ, γ, δ ∈ [0, 1], then T_a is a linear map from S(Rⁿ) into itself, and the map

$$T_a\colon \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) o \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is continuous.

- One typical use of pseudodifferential operators is to construct paramatrices (i.e. approximate solutions) to partial differential equations.
- For those we usually need pseudodifferential operators of non-positive orders, which are typically integral operators.
- ▶ As before, let $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)^*$ be $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with the diagonal $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x = y\}$ removed.

Kernel estimates

Theorem

Let $-n < m \le 0$, and $a \in S^m$. Then there exists a function $K_0 \in C^{\infty}((\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)^*)$ such that

$$T_af(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_0(x, y) f(y) dy$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ and all x not in the support of f. Furthermore,

$$|\partial_{x,y}^\lambda {\mathcal K}_0(x,y)| \lesssim rac{1}{|x-y|^{n+m+|\lambda|}}$$

for all multiindices λ and all $x \neq y$.

Indeed pick a smooth function η with compact support on ℝⁿ with η(0) = 1. For x ≠ y and ε > 0, let

$$\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}(x,y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x,\xi) \eta(\varepsilon\xi) e^{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

• We claim that $K_{\varepsilon}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}((\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)^*)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, with

$$|\partial_{x,y}^\lambda \mathcal{K}_arepsilon(x,y)|\lesssim rac{1}{|x-y|^{n+m+|\lambda|}}$$

for all multiindices λ and all $x \neq y$, where the constants are uniform in $\varepsilon > 0$.

One sees this by splitting the integral depending on whether |ξ| ≤ |x − y|⁻¹ or not; when |ξ| > |x − y|⁻¹, we integrate by parts using

$$e^{2\pi i(x-y)\cdot\xi} = rac{1}{-4\pi^2|x-y|^2}\Delta_{\xi}e^{2\pi i(x-y)\cdot\xi}$$

sufficiently many times to gain enough decay in $|\xi|$. This shows

$$|\mathcal{K}_{arepsilon}(x,y)|\lesssim rac{1}{|x-y|^{n+m}}$$

when $x \neq y$, and similarly one can estimate $\partial_{x,y}^{\lambda} K_{\varepsilon}(x,y)$.

- Furthermore, by a similar argument, K_ε(x, y) converges locally uniformly on (ℝⁿ × ℝⁿ)* as ε → 0⁺, and so do ∂^λ_{x,y}K_ε(x, y) for all multiindices λ.
- For $x \neq y$, let

$$K_0(x,y) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} K_{\varepsilon}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}((\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)^*).$$

• Now note that if $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$T_{a}f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} a(x,\xi)\eta(\varepsilon\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi}d\xi$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} a(x,\xi)\eta(\varepsilon\xi)f(y)e^{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot \xi}dyd\xi$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(y)K_{\varepsilon}(x,y)dy.$$

If in addition f ∈ C[∞]_c(ℝⁿ), and x is not in the support of f, then the last line is equal to

$$T_a f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) K_0(x, y) dy$$

by the dominated convergence theorem.

- This establishes the desired kernel representation formula for $T_a f(x)$.
- The estimates for ∂^λ_{x,y}K₀(x, y) on (ℝⁿ × ℝⁿ)* follow from the corresponding uniform estimates for ∂^λ_{x,y}K_ε(x, y).
- We remark that if $|x y| \gtrsim 1$, the above proof also shows that

$$|\partial_{x,y}^{\lambda}K_0(x,y)| \lesssim |x-y|^{-N}$$

for any multiindices λ and any $\textit{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e. we get rapid decay as $|x-y| \to +\infty).$

- This is closely tied to the *pseudolocality* of psuedodifferential operators: indeed a linear operator T: S(ℝⁿ) → S'(ℝⁿ) is local, if the support of Tf is contained in the support of f for every f ∈ S(ℝⁿ). This is the case if the Schwartz kernel of T is supported on the diagonal {(x, y) ∈ ℝⁿ × ℝⁿ: x = y}.
- While K₀(x, y) is not supported on the diagonal {(x, y) ∈ ℝⁿ × ℝⁿ: x = y}, the above decay of K₀(x, y) away from the diagonal is a close substitute for it.

Mapping properties on L^2

▶ We now focus on pseudodifferential operators of order 0.

Theorem

Let $a \in S^0$. Then T_a extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In view of the kernel representation theorem above, and the variable coefficient singular integral theorem from Lecture 4, this establishes the following corollary.

Corollary

Let $a \in S^0$. Then T_a extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all 1 .

- One direct proof of the theorem proceeds via *pseudolocality*.
- For j ∈ Zⁿ, let B_j be the open ball of radius 2 centered at j. Then {B_j}_{j∈Zⁿ} covers ℝⁿ.
- Let 1 = ∑_j φ_j² be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to the above cover, so that φ_j ∈ C_c[∞](B_j) for every j.

Then

$$\|T_{a}f\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = \sum_{j} \|\phi_{j}T_{a}f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

= $\sum_{j} \|\phi_{j}T_{a}(\chi_{2B_{j}}f)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \sum_{j} \|\phi_{j}T_{a}(\chi_{(2B_{j})^{c}}f)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

From our earlier kernel estimates when $|x - y| \gtrsim 1$, we get

$$|\phi_j(x)\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\chi_{(2B_j)^c}f)(x)| \lesssim \chi_{B_j}(x)\int_{y\notin 2B_j}|f(y)||x-y|^{-N}dy,$$

so choosing N > n and using Cauchy-Schwarz, we get

$$|\phi_j(x)\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\chi_{(2B_j)^c}f)(x)|^2 \lesssim \chi_{B_j}(x)\int_{y\notin 2B_j}|f(y)|^2|x-y|^{-N}dy.$$

Integrating both sides gives

$$\|\phi_j T_{\mathsf{a}}(\chi_{(2B_j)^c} f)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \int_{|y-j|\gtrsim 1} |f(y)|^2 |j-y|^{-N} dy,$$

so summing over *j* gives

$$\sum_{j} \|\phi_{j} T_{\mathsf{a}}(\chi_{(2B_{j})^{c}} f)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \sum_{j} \int_{|y-j| \gtrsim 1} |f(y)|^{2} |j-y|^{-N} dy \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$

It remains to show

$$\sum_{j} \|\phi_{j} T_{a}(\chi_{2B_{j}}f)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$

This follows if we can show

$$\|\phi_j T_{\mathsf{a}}\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim 1$$

since $\sum_{j} \|\chi_{2B_{j}}f\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$.

- But φ_jT_a is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol φ_j(x)a(x, ξ). The latter is just another symbol in S^m, except now it has compact x-support inside some ball of radius 2.
- ► Hence it remains to prove our theorem for a ∈ S⁰, under the additional assumption that a(x, ξ) has compact x-support inside some unit cube.
- This we obtain by expanding $a(x,\xi)$ as Fourier series in x.

Without loss of generality, suppose a(x, ξ) ∈ S⁰ and has compact x-support on the unit cube B centered at 0. Then

$$a(x,\xi) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{a}(\eta,\xi) e^{2\pi i \eta \cdot x}$$

where \hat{a} is the Fourier transform of a in the first variable. Thus

$$T_{a}f(x) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} e^{2\pi i \eta \cdot x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \widehat{a}(\eta, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$$
$$= \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{e^{2\pi i \eta \cdot x}}{(1 + 4\pi^{2}|\eta|^{2})^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \widehat{\Delta_{x}^{n}} a(\eta, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

• But $\widehat{\Delta_x^n} a(\eta, \xi)$ is a bounded multiplier on L^2 uniformly in η .

Thus triangle inequality gives

$$\|T_{\mathsf{a}}f\|_{L^{2}}\lesssim \sum_{\eta\in\mathbb{Z}^{n}}(1+|\eta|)^{-2n}\|f\|_{L^{2}}\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{2}},$$

Compound symbols

- We will turn soon to the adjoints and compositions of pseudodifferential operators whose symbols are in S^m for some m ∈ ℝ.
- A convenient tool is the concept of compound symbols.
- ▶ Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, $\delta \in [0, 1)$. A compound symbol of class $CS^m_{\gamma, \delta}$ is a smooth function $c(x, y, \xi)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ where

$$|\partial_{x,y}^lpha_\xi^eta c(x,y,\xi)| \lesssim (1+|\xi|)^{m-|eta|\gamma+|lpha|\delta}$$

for all multiindices α and β .

▶ To every $c \in CS^m_{\gamma,\delta}$, we associate an operator $\mathcal{T}_{[c]}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$T_{[c]}f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} T_{[c],\varepsilon}f(x), \text{ where }$$

$$T_{[c],\varepsilon}f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c(x, y, \xi) \eta(\varepsilon\xi) f(y) e^{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot \xi} d\xi dy$$

and η is a fixed function in $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\eta(0) = 1$.

Since δ < 1, one can show that for f ∈ S(ℝⁿ), T_{[c],ε}f defines a Schwartz function on ℝⁿ for every ε > 0, and that T_{[c],ε}f converges in the topology of S(ℝⁿ) as ε → 0⁺. Indeed this follows from multiple integrating by parts via

$$e^{2\pi i(x-y)\cdot\xi}=rac{(I-\Delta_y)e^{2\pi i(x-y)\cdot\xi}}{1+4\pi^2|\xi|^2}.$$

Thus T_[c] defines a linear mapping

$$T_{[c]}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n);$$

it is easy to check that this map is also continuous.

- We are mainly interested in $CS^m_{\gamma,\delta}$ when $\gamma = 1$ and $\delta = 0$.
- We write CS^m for $CS^m_{1,0}$ if $m \in \mathbb{R}$.
- The main theorem about compound symbols is the following:

Theorem

If $c \in CS^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exists $a \in S^m$ such that

$$T_{[c]}f = T_af$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also, we have the asymptotic expansion

$$a(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\gamma} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_y^{\gamma} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} c(x,y,\xi)|_{y=x},$$

in the sense that the sum of those terms with $|\gamma| < N$ on the right hand side differ from $a(x,\xi)$ by a symbol in S^{m-N} for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

- A proof is outlined in Homework 6.
- We note that different compound symbols c may give rise to the same symbol a in the above theorem.
- ▶ In particular, the map $c \mapsto T_{[c]}$ is not injective.
- We will use this non-injectivity to our advantage in what follows.

Closure under adjoints and compositions

We will prove two theorems using compound symbols.

Theorem

Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$. If $a \in S^m$, then there exists a symbol $a^* \in S^m$, such that the formal adjoint of T_a is T_{a^*} , in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_a f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \overline{T_{a^*}g(x)} dx \quad \text{for all } f,g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Also, we have the asymptotic expansion

$$a^*(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\gamma} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_y^{\gamma} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} \overline{a(y,\xi)}\Big|_{y=x}$$

In particular,

$$a^*(x,\xi) = \overline{a(x,\xi)} \pmod{S^{m-1}}.$$

Theorem

Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. If $a_1 \in S^{m_1}$ and $a_2 \in S^{m_2}$, then there exists a symbol $a \in S^{m_1+m_2}$, such that

$$T_{a_1}T_{a_2}f = T_af$$
 for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Also, we have the asymptotic expansion

$$a(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\gamma} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} a_1(x,\xi) \partial_x^{\gamma} a_2(x,\xi).$$

In particular,

$$a(x,\xi) = a_1(x,\xi)a_2(x,\xi) \pmod{S^{m_1+m_2-1}}.$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

▶ Indeed, let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \in S^m$ and $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty$ on \mathbb{R}^n with $\eta(0) = 1$. Then by dominated convergence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_a f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x,\xi) \eta(\varepsilon\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{g(x)} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi dx.$$

• Writing $c(x, y, \xi) := \overline{a(y, \xi)}$, the above limit is equal to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x,\xi) \eta(\varepsilon\xi) f(y) \overline{g(x)} e^{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot \xi} dy d\xi dx$$
$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \overline{T_{[c],\varepsilon}g(y)} dy.$$

Since T_{[c],∈}g converges to T_[c]g in S(ℝⁿ) as ε → 0⁺, the above limit is just

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \overline{T_{[c]}g(y)} dy.$$

It remains to write T_[c] as T_{a*} for some a* ∈ S^m, using our previous theorem about compound symbols.

- ▶ Next, let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_1 \in S^{m_1}$, $a_2 \in S^{m_2}$.
- By the previous theorem, there exists a₂^{*} ∈ S^{m₂}, such that the formal adjoint of T_{a₂^{*}} is T_{a₂}, which in view of the computation on the previous page implies

$$T_{a_2}f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{a_2^*(y,\xi)} \eta(\varepsilon\xi) f(y) e^{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot \xi} dy d\xi.$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (limit taken in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). • Hence $T_{a_1}T_{a_2}f(x)$ is given by

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}a_1(x,\xi)\overline{a_2^*(y,\xi)}\eta(\varepsilon\xi)f(y)e^{2\pi i(x-y)\cdot\xi}dyd\xi.$$

• The latter is $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} T_{[c],\varepsilon} f(x)$ if

$$c(x, y, \xi) := a_1(x, \xi)\overline{a_2(y, \xi)}.$$

Since such $c \in CS^{m_1+m_2}$, by the previous theorem about compound symbols, there exists $a \in S^{m_1+m_2}$, such that the above limit is equal to $T_a f(x)$.

Parametrix construction

• Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$P(D) = \sum_{|lpha| \le m} p_{lpha}(x) \partial_x^{lpha}$$

be a differential operator of order *m* with C[∞] coefficients.
P(D) is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol

$$p(x,\xi) := \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} p_{\alpha}(x)(2\pi i\xi)^{\alpha}.$$

It is said to be elliptic, if there exists a constant C > 0, such that

$$|p(x,\xi)| \ge C|\xi|^m$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all ξ with $|\xi| \ge 1$.

We use the following theorem to construct parametrices of such elliptic partial differential operators.

Theorem

Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Given a sequence of symbols a_0, a_1, \ldots , with

$$a_k \in S^{m-k}$$
 for every $k \ge 0$,

then there exists a symbol $a \in S^m$, such that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $e_N \in S^{m-N}$ with

$$a(x,\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k(x,\xi) + e_N(x,\xi).$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

See Homework 6 for its proof.

- Let P(D) be an elliptic partial differential operator of order m with C[∞] coefficients. Let p(x, ξ) be its symbol.
- Let φ(ξ) be a smooth function that is identically 0 on B(0,1), and identically 1 outside B(0,2).
- ▶ Let T_{a_0} be the pseudodifferential operator of order -m with symbol

$$a_0(x,\xi) := rac{arphi(\xi)}{p(x,\xi)}.$$

Then the composition theorem shows that

$$P(D)T_{a_0}=I-E_{-1}$$

for some pseudodifferential operator of order -1.

We compose both sides on the right by E^k₋₁ (where k ∈ N), and get

$$P(D)T_{a_0}E_{-1}^k = E_{-1}^k - E_{-1}^{k+1}.$$

Summing over k and telescoping, we get

$$P(D)[T_{a_0} + T_{a_0}E_{-1} + \dots + T_{a_0}E_{-1}^N] = I - E_{-1}^{N+1}$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

► Using the composition theorem again, for any k ∈ N, there exists a symbol a_k ∈ S^{-m-k}, such that

$$T_{a_0}E_{-1}^k=T_{a_k}.$$

▶ From the previous theorem, there exists a symbol $a \in S^{-m}$, such that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $e_N \in S^{-m-N}$ such that

$$T_a = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} T_{a_k} + T_{e_N}.$$

• Let T_e be the pseudodifferential operator defined by

$$P(D)T_a = I + T_e.$$

- The calculation on the previous slide shows that e ∈ S^{-m-N} for any N ∈ N.
- In this sense T_a is an approximate solution to P(D), aka a parametrix for P(D).

Cotlar-Stein lemma (almost orthogonality)

- Earlier we proved L² boundedness of psuedodifferential operators with symbols in S⁰ by using the Fourier transform.
- We now describe another important tool about establishing L² boundedness of linear operators, namely Cotlar-Stein lemma.
- ► This can be used to prove a theorem of Calderón and Vaillaincourt, namely T_a is bounded on L² whenever a ∈ S⁰_{γ,γ} for all γ ∈ [0, 1) (see Homework 6); in particular, this recovers the L² boundedenss of psuedodifferential operators with symbols in S⁰.
- The Cotlar-Stein lemma also plays a key role in the proof of many celebrated theorems.
- ► We will prove a proposition this time, which will play a crucial role in the proof of the T(1) theorem in the next lecture.

Theorem (Cotlar-Stein)

Suppose $\{T_j\}$ is a sequence of bounded linear operators between two Hilbert spaces. If there exist constants A and B such that

$$\sup_{j} \sum_{i} \|T_{j}T_{i}^{*}\|^{1/2} \leq A \quad and \quad \sup_{i} \sum_{j} \|T_{i}^{*}T_{j}\|^{1/2} \leq B$$

for all *i*, *j*, then $\sum_{j} T_{j}$ converges strongly to a bounded linear operator T between the two Hilbert spaces, with $||T|| \leq \sqrt{AB}$.

- This is called an almost orthogonality lemma, because one situation where the hypothesis are fulfilled are when all || T_j || ≤ B, the images of the different T_j's are orthogonal, and the images of the different T_i's are orthogonal.
 (Indeed then T_i*T_i = 0 and T_jT_i* = 0 whenever j ≠ i.)
- The proof of Cotlar-Stein involves a classic application of the tensor power trick.

► To prove Cotlar-Stein, suppose first {*T_j*} is a finite sequence (say *J* terms). Then for any positive integer *N*,

$$\|T\|^{2N} = \left\| (TT^*)^N \right\| = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{2N}} \left\| T_{j_1} T_{j_2}^* T_{j_3} T_{j_4}^* \dots T_{j_{2N-1}} T_{j_{2N}}^* \right\|$$

But the summand is bounded by both

$$\| T_{j_1} T_{j_2}^* \| \| T_{j_3} T_{j_4}^* \| \dots \| T_{j_{2N-1}} T_{j_{2N}}^* \|$$

and

$$\|T_{j_1}\| \|T_{j_2}^*T_{j_3}\| \dots \|T_{j_{2N-2}}^*T_{j_{2N-1}}\| \|T_{j_{2N}}^*\|.$$

So taking geometric average, the sum is bounded by

$$\sum_{j_1,\dots,j_{2N}} \|T_{j_1}\|^{1/2} \|T_{j_1}T_{j_2}^*\|^{1/2} \|T_{j_2}^*T_{j_3}\|^{1/2} \dots \|T_{j_{2N-1}}T_{j_{2N}}^*\|^{1/2} \|T_{j_{2N}}^*\|^{1/2} \\ \leq J \max_{j_1} \|T_{j_1}\|^{1/2} A^N B^{N-1} \max_{j_{2N}} \|T_{j_{2N}}\|^{1/2}$$

► Taking 2*N*-th root and letting $N \to +\infty$ yields $||T|| \le \sqrt{AB}$.

The general case when we have infinitely many operators T_j follows once we have the following lemma:

Lemma

Let $\{f_j\}$ be a sequence in a Hilbert space, and $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose for any sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ that has only finitely many non-zero terms, and that satisfies $|\varepsilon_j| \leq 1$ for all j, we have

$$\left\|\sum_{j}\varepsilon_{j}f_{j}\right\|\leq A.$$

Then $\sum_{i} f_{j}$ converges in the Hilbert space.

- See Homework 6 for the proof of this lemma.
- We close this lecture by the following application of Cotlar-Stein.

Proposition

Suppose $\delta > 0$. Let $\{k_j(x, y)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of C^{∞} kernels on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ that satisfies

$$|k_j(x,y)| \lesssim \frac{2^{jn}}{(1+2^j|x-y|)^{n+\delta}} \tag{1}$$

$$|\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_y^{\beta} k_j(x, y)| \lesssim \frac{2^{j(n+|\alpha|+|\beta|)}}{(1+2^j|x-y|)^{n+\delta}} \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta$$
(2)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_j(x, y) dy = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(3)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_j(x, y) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(4)

For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $T_j f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) k_j(x, y) dy$. Then

$$\|T_j T_i^*\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \|T_i^* T_j\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim 2^{-\delta|i-j|}$$

so $\sum_{j} T_{j}$ converges strongly to a bounded linear operator T on L^{2} .

- One can use this to prove, for instance, that the Hilbert transform is bounded on L²; see Homework 6.
- ► Indeed, morally speaking, (1) to (4) says that ∑_j T_j is almost like a translation-invariant singular integral we studied in Lecture 4.
- Next time we use this proposition to prove the T(1) theorem.
- We prove this proposition as follows.
- We will only show that

$$\|T_j T_i^*\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim 2^{-\delta|i-j|}$$

since the bound for $||T_i^*T_j||_{L^2 \to L^2}$ is similar.

• The kernel for $T_j T_i^*$ is

$$K_{j,i}(x,y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_j(x,z) \overline{k_i}(y,z) dz,$$

and if $i \ge j$, then we rewrite this using (3) as

$$K_{j,i}(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (k_j(x,z) - k_j(x,y)) \overline{k_i}(y,z) dz.$$

▶ From (1) and (2), we get

$$egin{aligned} &|k_j(x,z)-k_j(x,y)|\ \lesssim &(2^j|z-y|)^{rac{\delta}{2}}\left(rac{2^{jn}}{(1+2^j|x-z|)^{n+\delta}}+rac{2^{jn}}{(1+2^j|x-y|)^{n+\delta}}
ight); \end{aligned}$$

indeed if $2^{j}|y-z| \geq 1$, this follows by estimating

$$|k_j(x,z) - k_j(x,y)| \le |k_j(x,z)| + |k_j(x,y)|$$

and using (1), whereas if $2^{j}|y-z| \leq 1$, then we use (2) and the mean-value theorem, noting that if u is on the straight line segment connecting y and z, then

$$\min\{|x-y|, |x-z|\} \lesssim 2^{-j} + |x-u|,$$

which implies

$$\frac{2^{jn}}{(1+2^j|x-u|)^{n+\delta}} \lesssim \frac{2^{jn}}{(1+2^j|x-z|)^{n+\delta}} + \frac{2^{jn}}{(1+2^j|x-y|)^{n+\delta}}.$$

Now we invoke an elementary inequality, which says

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{jn}}{(1+2^j|x-z|)^{n+\frac{\delta}{2}}} \frac{2^{in}}{(1+2^i|z|)^{n+\frac{\delta}{2}}} dz \lesssim \frac{2^{jn}}{(1+2^j|x|)^{n+\frac{\delta}{2}}}$$

if $i \ge j$ (this can be proved by rescaling to j = 0, and then dividing the domain of integration into 2 parts, depending on whether $|z - x| \ge \frac{|x|}{2}$ or $|z - x| \le \frac{|x|}{2}$).

• Hence if $i \ge j$, then

$$|\mathcal{K}_{j,i}(x,y)| \lesssim 2^{-(i-j)\frac{\delta}{2}} \frac{2^{jn}}{(1+2^j|x-y|)^{n+\frac{\delta}{2}}};$$

similarly if $j \ge i$, then

$$|\mathcal{K}_{j,i}(x,y)| \lesssim 2^{-(j-i)rac{\delta}{2}} rac{2^{in}}{(1+2^{i}|x-y|)^{n+rac{\delta}{2}}}$$

From Young's inequality, it follows that

$$\|T_j T_i^*\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim 2^{-|i-j|\frac{\delta}{2}}.$$