Two nonlinear wave equations with conformal invariance

Po-Lam Yung

Rutgers University

Nov 13, 2012

The wave equations

- Joint work with Sagun Chanillo
- Wave Constant Mean Curvature (CMC) equation on \mathbb{R}^2

$$u \colon \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$$
$$-\partial_t^2 u + \Delta u = 2u_x \wedge u_y$$

(system of equations)

▶ Wave Liouville equation on S^2

$$u \colon \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$\partial_t^2 u - \Delta_g u = \alpha \left(\frac{e^{2u}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2u}} - 1 \right)$$

(α is a real parameter, g standard metric on \mathbb{S}^2)

The elliptic analogs

$$\Delta u = 2u_x \wedge u_y$$

The image of any such u is a surface with mean curvature $H \equiv 1$ in \mathbb{R}^3

• (Case $\alpha = 1$, $f_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2u} = 1$) Liouville equation on \mathbb{S}^2 :

$$-\Delta_g u = e^{2u} - 1$$

If *u* is as such, then $e^{2u}g$ is another metric on \mathbb{S}^2 , conformal to *g*, that has Gaussian curvature equal to 1 everywhere, and that has area equal to 4π .

The CMC equation

The static equation:

$$\Delta u = 2u_x \wedge u_y$$

- Energy (H¹) critical: if u is a solution, then a dilation of u preserving its H¹ norm is also a solution.
- ► (Entire) H¹(ℝ²) solutions classified by Brezis-Coron: All are of the form

$$u(z) = \pi\left(\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}\right) + C$$

where *P*, *Q* are polynomials in *z* and $\pi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is the stereographic projection, and *C* is a constant vector in \mathbb{R}^3 .

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 = 8\pi \max\{\deg P, \deg Q\};$$

kinetic energy quantized.

Now let W(z) be a ground state solution to the static CMC equation; in other words, W(z) is a non-constant solution of the form

$$W(z) = \pi\left(\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}\right) + C$$

where max{deg P, deg Q} = 1 and $\|\nabla W\|_{L^2}^2 = 8\pi$.

Sobolev inequality: for all functions v ∈ H¹(ℝ²) taking values in ℝ³, we have

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v \cdot (v_x \wedge v_y) dx dy\right|^{1/3} \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}.$$

(Compensation compactness/Wente's inequality)

- Brezis-Coron (also Caldiroli-Musina): The W we have above are minimizers of this inequality.
- W is also a stationary solution to the wave CMC with initial data u(0) = W and ∂_tu(0) = 0.

The wave CMC equation

The wave CMC equation again:

$$-\partial_t^2 u + \Delta u = 2u_x \wedge u_y$$

Conserved energy (for 'nice' solutions):

$$E(u(t)) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2} (|\partial_t u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) + \frac{2}{3} u \cdot (u_x \wedge u_y) dx dy$$

is preserved along the flow of wave CMC (hence depends only on initial data). Sometimes we write

$$E(u_0, u_1) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2} (|u_1|^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2) + \frac{2}{3} u_0 \cdot ((u_0)_x \wedge (u_0)_y) dx dy$$

 The non-linearity of previous Sobolev inequality arises in this conserved energy.

Theorem (Failure of global existence)

Suppose $u: [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth solution to wave CMC with initial data $u(0) = u_0$, $u_t(0) = u_1$, and that u has compact support at each time slice t. Suppose also that

 $E(u_0, u_1) < E(W, 0)$ and $\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2} > \|\nabla W\|_{L^2}$.

Then T is finite; in fact $||u(t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ cannot remain finite for an infinite amount of time.

 Analog of the finite time breakdown result of Kenig-Merle for the energy critical semi-linear focusing wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = |u|^{4/(N-2)} u$$
 on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 3$.

Proof of Theorem

- For the moment u will be a map from ℝ² into ℝ³ independent of time.
- Stationary energy:

$$\mathcal{E}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{3} u \cdot (u_x \wedge u_y).$$

• Recall the Sobolev inequality for such *u*:

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u \cdot (u_x \wedge u_y)\right| \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3.$$

▶ Let C be the best constant of this inequality and

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{2}{3}C\lambda^3$$
 for $\lambda > 0$.

Then for all u as above, by this Sobolev inequality,

$$f(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2})\leq \mathcal{E}(u).$$





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > ... □

Any point of the form (||∇u||_{L²}, E(u)) always lie above the graph of f.

Now recall the conditions of our theorem: Suppose u: [0, T) × ℝ² → ℝ³ is a smooth solution to wave CMC with initial data u₀, u₁. Suppose also that

 $E(u_0, u_1) < E(W, 0)$ and $\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2} > \|\nabla W\|_{L^2}$.

Then by conservation of energy,

 $\mathcal{E}(u(t)) < \mathcal{E}(W)$ for all $t \in [0, T)$.

By continuity in t and the above picture, we have the following observation:

 $\|\nabla u(t,x)\|_{L^2(dx)} > \|\nabla W\|_{L^2(dx)}$

for all $t \in [0, T)$.

We want to prove that T must be finite.

Let

$$y(t) = ||u(t,x)||^2_{L^2(dx)}$$

for $t \in [0, T)$. Then

$$y'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2u \cdot u_t$$

and

$$y''(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}2|u_t|^2-2|\nabla u|^2-4u\cdot(u_x\wedge u_y).$$

Suppose now E(u₀, u₁) ≤ E(W, 0) − ε for some ε > 0. By conservation of energy, we have at any time t ∈ [0, T),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -4u \cdot (u_x \wedge u_y) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 3(|u_t|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) - 6E(W, 0) + 6\varepsilon.$$

With a little more work, this implies

$$y''(t) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (5|u_t|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 - |\nabla W|^2) dx + 6\varepsilon$$

(just use $\Delta W = 2W_x \wedge W_y$).

• But we observed that at any time $t \in [0, T)$,

 $\|\nabla u(t,x)\|_{L^2(dx)} > \|\nabla W\|_{L^2(dx)}.$

Hence

$$y''(t) \geq 5 \|u_t(t,x)\|_{L^2(dx)}^2 + 6\varepsilon,$$

which implies

$$\frac{y''(t)}{y'(t)} \geq \frac{5}{4} \frac{y'(t)}{y(t)}$$

for sufficiently large t.

Solving the equation, y(t) becomes infinite in finite time, and therefore T cannot be infinite.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● のへで

Local well-posedness of the wave CMC in low regularities

Consider an initial value problem for the wave CMC:

$$-\partial_t^2 u + \Delta u = 2u_x \wedge u_y, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = u_1.$$

Given initial data $u_0 \in H^s$, $u_1 \in H^{s-1}$, does this initial value problem admits a unique solution in $C_t^0 H_x^s \cap C_t^1 H_x^{s-1}$?

- When s > 2 the answer is yes by standard iteration and Sobolev inequalities. We are interested going below s > 2.
- Difficulty: non-linearity contains first order derivatives, and that we are in low (2+1) dimensions
- ► Fortunately, the non-linearity has a certain *null structure*.
- Best hope: since the wave CMC is energy critical, we hope to go as low as s = 1.
- c.f. well-posedness of wave maps in 2 + 1 dimensions in H^s; there one can actually go as low as s = 1.

Null structures

▶ Given two functions u and v on the Minkowski space ℝ^{1,n}, define the null forms

$$Q_{00}(u, v) = -\partial_t u \partial_t v + \nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_x v$$
$$Q_{ij}(u, v) = \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_j} v - \partial_{x_j} u \partial_{x_i} v$$
$$Q_{0i}(u, v) = \partial_t u \partial_{x_i} v - \partial_{x_i} u \partial_t v$$

Here i, j ranges over $1, \ldots, n$.

- Q₀₀ arises in the nonlinearity of the wave map equation, while Q_{ij} arises in the nonlinearity of the wave CMC.
- ► The null forms are better nonlinearities than things like ∂_tu∂_tv. They 'damp' the interactions of the waves along the light cone, which are usually the hardest to control.

The wave Sobolev spaces

- To proceed further, we need some Sobolev spaces adapted to the study of wave equations.
- A function u(t, x) is said to be in $H^{s,b}$, if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+2}} (1+|\xi|^2)^s (1+|| au|-|\xi||^2)^b | ilde{u}(au,\xi)|^2 d au d\xi <\infty.$$

Here $\tilde{u}(\tau,\xi)$ is the space-time Fourier transform of u(t,x).

- We say $u \in \mathcal{H}^{s,b}$, if $u \in H^{s,b}$ and $\partial_t u \in H^{s-1,b}$.
- Note that these are L² Sobolev spaces; s and b refer to differentiability in two different directions.

The iteration scheme

► To solve the initial value problem for the wave CMC, we fix initial data u₀ and u₁. Given a function u(t, x), let S(u) be the solution of the following linear initial value problem:

$$-\partial_t^2 v + \Delta v = 2u_x \wedge u_y, \quad v(0) = u_0, \quad \partial_t v(0) = u_1.$$

- We want to show that S has a fixed point, so we want S to be a contraction mapping in some suitable function space.
- ▶ When the initial data has low regularity, say are in H^s × H^{s-1}, the correct space to use is H^{s,b}, for some b slightly > 1/2.

In fact, need the localization of H^{s,b} to the time interval [0, T], which we denote H^{s,b}_T.

Energy estimates

► Reason for using H^{s,b}: There is the following energy estimate (when b > 1/2; here □ = ∂²_t v − Δ):

 $\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s,b}_{T}} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{H^{s}} + \|\partial_{t}v(0)\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\Box v\|_{H^{s-1,b-1}}.$

• When applied to v = S(u), we get

$$\|S(u)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s,b}_{T}} \lesssim \|u_{0}\|_{H^{s}} + \|u_{1}\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|2u_{x} \wedge u_{y}\|_{H^{s-1,b-1}}, \quad b > 1/2.$$

► Good news: if u_x ∧ u_y here were the null form Q₀₀(u, u), then we can finish this off by estimating

$$\|Q_{00}(u,u)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1,b-1}} \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s,b}_{T}}$$

for some small $\varepsilon > 0$ (when b is only slightly > 1/2). If T were sufficiently small, then S is a contraction map.

▶ Bad news: $u_x \wedge u_y$ is NOT $Q_{00}(u, u)$; it is the null form Q_{ij} instead, which behaves worse than Q_{00} in 2+1 dimensions.

At this point, it is instructive to compare the energy estimate in ℋ^{s,b} (b > 1/2):

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s,b}} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{H^s} + \|\partial_t v(0)\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\Box v\|_{H^{s-1,b-1}}$$

to the energy estimate in $C^0_t H^s_x \cap C^1_t H^{s-1}_x$:

 $\|v\|_{C_t^0 H^s_x \cap C_t^1 H^{s-1}_x} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{H^s} + \|\partial_t v(0)\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\Box v\|_{L_t^1 H^{s-1}_x}.$

- The latter is a gain in integrability in t: it says that to control v in L[∞]_t, one only needs to control □v in L¹_t. But one needs the same number of t derivatives of v on both sides.
- The former is better because one gains differentiability in b there: to control b derivatives of v, one only needs to control b − 1 derivatives of □v.
- When the equation says that □v is already one derivative of v, gain in differentiability is certainly better than gain in integrability.

Open question

- It is still open whether the wave CMC is locally well-posed in H^s for any s ≤ 2.
- Because of our specific null structures (Q_{ij} instead of Q₀₀), some additional difficulties may occur below s > 5/4 (contrary to wave maps)
- One may also need to iterate only in a subspace of H^{s,b}, as in the case of Maxwell-Klein-Gordon, or Yang-Mills, equations.

The wave Liouville equation

The equation again:

$$u: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$\partial_t^2 u - \Delta_g u = \alpha \left(\frac{e^{2u}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2u}} - 1 \right)$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

(α is a real parameter, and we use standard metric g on \mathbb{S}^2) Theorem (local well-posedness in \dot{H}^1) Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $u_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{S}^2)$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} u_1 = 0$, there exists u in $C_t^0 \dot{H}_x^1 \cap C_t^1 L_x^2$ that solves the wave Liouville with initial data $u(0) = u_0$, $\partial_t u(0) = u_1$.

(Proof by standard iteration; omitted)

▶ The facts that $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} u_1 = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(\frac{e^{2u}}{f_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2u}} - 1 \right) = 0$ are useful in iterating using the Duhamel formula:

$$\begin{split} u(t,x) &= \cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta_g})u_0 + \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{-\Delta_g})}{\sqrt{-\Delta_g}}u_1 \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)\sqrt{-\Delta_g})}{\sqrt{-\Delta_g}} \left(\frac{e^{2u}}{f_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2u}} - 1\right)(s)ds. \end{split}$$

- The solution in this theorem is guaranteed to exist for time T > 0 with T depending only on α , $||u_0||_{\dot{H}^1}$ and $||u_1||_{L^2}$.
- Furthermore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} u(t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} u_0$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Conservation of energy:

$$E(u(t)) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(|\partial_t u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) - \frac{\alpha}{\log} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2(u-\bar{u})} \right)$$

conserved along the flow, where $\bar{u} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} u$. Using this and the Moser-Trudinger inequality, one can prove:

Theorem (global well-posedness in \dot{H}^1 when $\alpha < 1$)

The solution u(t,x) in the previous theorem exists for all time if $\alpha < 1$.

► Recall Moser-Trudinger on S²: if u is a function on S² satisfying \$\int_{S^2} |\nabla u|^2 ≤ 1\$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{4\pi(u-\bar{u})^2} \leq C.$$

This inequality is sharp in that one cannot replace 4π in the exponent by anything that is strictly bigger. Note that this inequality can also be stated as

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \exp\left(\frac{(u-\bar{u})^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^2}\right) \leq C,$$

if $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^2 < \infty$.

 What we will usually use is the following corollary of the above inequality, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2(u-\bar{u})} \leq C \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^2\right),$$

which holds because pointwise $2(u - \bar{u}) \leq \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^2} + \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^2$. Equivalently, the above inequality can be stated

$$\log\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2(u-\bar{u})}\right) \leq 1 \cdot \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^2 + \log C.$$

Note that the left-hand side above arises in the conserved energy.

 Onofri: C can be taken to be zero here. But we will not need this refinement.

Using Moser-Trudinger and conservation of energy:

$$E(u(t)) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(|\partial_t u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) - \frac{\alpha}{\log} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2(u-\bar{u})} \right)$$

one can then control, as long as the solution exists, the quantity

$$\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)} + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}$$

uniformly in t when α is small, and this will prove global well-posedness when $\alpha < 1$.

 Moser also proved the following refinement of Moser-Trudinger: if u is an even function on S² satisfying ∫_{S²} |∇u|² ≤ 1, then

$$\oint_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathrm{e}^{8\pi(u-\bar{u})^2} \leq C.$$

It follows that for such functions,

$$\log\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2(u-\bar{u})}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^2 + \log C.$$

Using this (and conservation of energy), one can prove

Theorem (global well-posedness in \dot{H}^1 for even data when $\alpha < 2$)

If both initial data u_0 and u_1 are even functions on \mathbb{S}^2 , then the solution u(t,x) of the wave Liouville exists for all time if $\alpha < 2$.

We also mention the analogous results for wave Liouville systems:

$$\partial_t^2 u_i - \Delta_g u_i = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} M_j \left(\frac{e^{2u_j}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2u_j}} - 1 \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^2.$$

Here (a_{ij}) is a (constant) N by N symmetric matrix, and (M_j) is a vector.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

 Key is a Moser-Trudinger inequality for systems: c.f. work of Shafrir-Wolansky, Wang, Chanillo-Kiessling.

Blow-up for (scalar) wave Liouville when $1 \le \alpha < 2$

• If u is a function defined on \mathbb{S}^2 , define

$$CM(u)=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} x e^{2u}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2u}},$$

where x is the position vector in \mathbb{R}^3 (This is the center of mass of the measure $e^{2u}dvol_g$).

• Thus $CM(u) \in \mathbb{R}^3$; in fact $|CM(u)| \leq 1$.

Aubin proved the following improved Moser-Trudinger inequality on S² (see also Chang-Yang and Han): if |CM(u)| ≤ 1 − δ for some δ > 0, then for any μ > 1/2, there exists a constant C = C(μ,δ) such that

$$\log\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2(u-\bar{u})}\right) \leq \mu \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^2 + \log C.$$

One should compare this with the improved Moser-Trudinger inequality for even functions, since when u is even,
 CM(u) = 0.

We have the following blow-up criteria.

Theorem (Blow up criteria for scalar wave Liouville)

Let $1 \le \alpha < 2$. Suppose a local in time solution u to wave Liouville exists on a time interval $[0, T_0)$ for some $T_0 < \infty$, and fails to continue beyond T_0 . Then there is a sequence of times $t_i \to T_0^-$ such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} |CM(u,t_i)| = 1, \quad \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2u(t_i)} = \infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\|\nabla u(t_i)\|_{L^2}=\infty,$$

where CM(u, t) is the center of mass of u(t). Furthermore, if $\alpha = 1$, then there is some point $p \in \mathbb{S}^2$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{\int_{\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p},\varepsilon)}e^{2u(t_i)}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}e^{2u(t_i)}}\geq 1-\varepsilon.$$

Here $B(p,\varepsilon) =$ geodesic ball on \mathbb{S}^2 centered at p and of radius $\varepsilon_{2} = -\infty \infty$

Proof of Blow-up criteria

• The fact that one can take $t_i \rightarrow T^-$ such that

 $\lim_{i\to\infty}|\mathit{CM}(u,t_i)|=1$

follows from Aubin's improvement of Moser-Trudinger when the center of mass stays away from the unit sphere; if $\limsup_{t\to T^-} |CM(u,t)| < 1$ then one can continue the solution past T.

• The fact that one can take $t_i \rightarrow T^-$ such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}e^{2u(t_i)}=\infty$$

follows from conservation of energy:

$$\lim_{t \to T^{-}} \sup \left(\|\partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right)$$

$$\lesssim E(u_{0}, u_{1}) + \alpha \log \left(\limsup_{t \to T^{-}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} e^{2u(t)} \right) + 2\alpha |\bar{u}(0)|$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

If $\limsup_{t\to T^-}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}e^{2u(t)}<\infty$ then one can continue the solution past T.

• Since $\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}e^{2u(t_i)}=\infty,$

it follows from Moser-Trudinger that

 $\lim_{i\to\infty}\|\nabla u(t_i)\|_{L^2}=\infty.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

The last part of the theorem follows from the following concentration lemma of Chang-Yang:

Lemma (Chang-Yang)

Suppose $v_i \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is a sequence of functions with $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2v_i} = 1$ and $\sup_i \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (|\nabla v_i|^2 + 2v_i) = C < \infty$. We have either

$$\sup_{i} \oint_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla v_i|^2 = C' < \infty,$$

or there exists a point $p \in S^2$ and a subsequence of v_i (which we still denote by v_i) such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{B(\boldsymbol{p},\varepsilon)}e^{2\nu_i}\geq 1-\varepsilon.$$

Here $B(p,\varepsilon)$ is the geodesic ball on \mathbb{S}^2 centered at p and of radius ε .

Remember what we want to prove: let α = 1 and u solve the wave Liouville on [0, T) that does not extend past T. Then there is some point p ∈ S² such that for any ε > 0,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{\int_{\mathcal{B}(p,\varepsilon)}e^{2u(t_i)}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}e^{2u(t_i)}}\geq 1-\varepsilon.$$

 To do so, let m_i = f_{S²} e^{2u(t_i)} and v_i(x) = u(t_i, x) - ½ log m_i. One can apply Chang-Yang lemma on these v_i: it is easy to check that f_{S²} e^{2v_i} = 1, and

$$\begin{split} \sup_{i} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} (|\nabla v_{i}|^{2} + 2v_{i}) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} |\nabla u(t_{i}, x)|^{2} - \log\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} e^{2(u(t_{i}) - \bar{u}(t_{i}))}\right) \\ &= E(u(t_{i})) - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} |\partial_{t} u(t_{i})|^{2} \quad \text{since } \alpha = 1 \\ &\leq E(u(0)) \end{split}$$

independent of *i*.

Hence we have two scenarios: Either

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla v_i|^2 = C' < \infty,$$

or there exists a point $p \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and a subsequence of v_i (which we still denote by v_i) such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{B(\boldsymbol{\rho},\varepsilon)}e^{2\boldsymbol{\nu}_i}\geq 1-\varepsilon.$$

The first alternative cannot happen since

$$\|
abla v_i\|_{L^2} = \|
abla u(t_i)\|_{L^2} o \infty$$
 as $i o \infty$

by the third part of the blow-up criteria. Hence the second alternative holds, and this is the conclusion of the theorem.

Constructing finite time blow-ups for wave Liouville

- ▶ Does one have global existence for the scalar wave Liouville in the critical case $\alpha = 1$? If not, can one exhibit a finite time blow up of the equation?
- Problem: when α = 1, there is no initial data of negative energy, thanks to Onofri. So one cannot easily construct blow-up as we have done before.
- One could try an ODE blow-up, but it didn't work either.

 Let's borrow some analogy from the study of the energy critical focussing semilinear wave equation in 3 + 1 dimensions (c.f. Duyckaerts-Kenig-Merle, 2011, 2012): the equation is

$$\partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = u^5$$
 on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

▶ Two kinds of blow-up: (Let *T* be the blow-up time)

Type I: $\sup_{t \in [0,T)} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2_x} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2_x} = \infty$

Type II: otherwise

Krieger-Schlag-Tataru constructed a type II blow up with

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T)} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} < \|\nabla W\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon$$

where W is the groundstate for this equation.

 Duyckaerts-Kenig-Merle showed a profile decomposition for type II radial blow-ups

- We would be happy to see even just a type I blow-up for the wave Liouville when α = 1.
- ► Question: understand ||∇u||_{L²} when the Onofri energy of u, namely

$$\mathcal{E}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^2 - \log\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{2(u-\bar{u})}\right),$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

is controlled.