

Gerbes, Twisted K-theory and some Applications

Bai-Ling Wang
Australian National University

ESI, Vienna, May 2006

This Talk is based on the following papers and manuscripts:

- [Bundle gerbes for Chern-Simons and Wess-Zumino-Witten theories.](#) (with A. Carey, S. Johnson, M. Murray, D. Stevenson) Comm. in Math. Phys., 2005.
- [Fusion of Symmetric D-branes and Verlinde ring.](#) (with A. Carey) math.ph/0505040
- [Thom isomorphism and Push-forward map in twisted K-theory.](#) (with A. Carey) math.KT/0507414
- [Groupoid interpretation of 2-gerbes.](#) (with Chengchang Zhu). In preparation.
- [Various recent works.](#) In preparation.

1 Gerbes

Gerbe, a locally non-empty and locally connected stack in groupoids, has many new faces:

(1) Local line bundles: (Hitchin)

$$\{(L_{ij}, U_{ij}); \theta_{ijk}\}$$

- L_{ij} is a line bundle over $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$;
- θ_{ijk} is an isomorphism: $L_{ij} \otimes L_{jk} \longrightarrow L_{ik}$ over U_{ijk} ;
- θ_{ijk} is associative over U_{ijkl} .

Gerbe connection and curving: L_{ij} can be equipped with a connection ∇_{ij} such that θ_{ijk} preserves the connection. There exists a local 2-form B_i (a curving, or B-field) such that

$$B_j - B_i = \text{Curvature of } \nabla_{ij}.$$

(2) Bundle gerbes: (Murray)

- a surjective submersion $\pi : Y \rightarrow M$;
- a principal $U(1)$ -bundle \mathcal{G} over $Y^{[2]} = Y \times_M Y$, together with a groupoid structure on \mathcal{G} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ Y^{[2]} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \end{array} & Y \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & M. \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Example 1. *Let G be a compact Lie group. A central extension of based loop group*

$$1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega G} \rightarrow \Omega G \rightarrow 1,$$

and the universal ΩG -bundle \mathcal{A}_{S^1} over G (the space of G -connections on the trivial G -bundle over S^1) define a canonical (lifting) bundle gerbe over G .

(3) Groupoid picture:

A $U(1)$ central extension of a groupoid.

Example 2. 1. *The standard groupoid associated to a topological manifold M with a cover $\{U_i\}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod U_{ij} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \\ \xRightarrow{\pi_2} \end{array} & \coprod U_i \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & M. \end{array}$$

2. *Action groupoid together with a group 2-cocycle. In particular, a discrete group Γ action on X and a twisting $\sigma \in H^2(\Gamma, U(1))$ define a gerbe over X/Γ .*

(4) Stack picture:

A principal stacky $\mathcal{B}S^1$ -bundle over a differential stack, where the classifying stack $\mathcal{B}S^1$ is a stacky Lie group.

1.1 Deligne cohomology

For smooth manifolds, gerbes (with connection and curving) are geometric realizations of degree 3 Deligne cocycles

$$(g_{ijk}, A_{ij}, B_i).$$

In general, Deligne cohomology is characterized by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^k(M, U(1)) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \frac{\Omega^k(M)}{\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^k(M)} & \rightarrow & H_{\mathcal{D}}^{k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^{k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{k+1}(M) & \rightarrow & H^{k+1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

Here $H^k(M, U(1))$ classifies flat gerbes, and $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{k+1}(M)$ is the space of closed $k + 1$ -forms with integral period.

2 Application I

2.1 CS/WZW correspondence

Let G be a compact, connected, semi-simple Lie group. Dijkgraaf and Witten discussed a correspondence between Chern-Simons gauge theory and Wess-Zumino-Witten theory associated to G , which involves the transgression map

$$\tau : H^4(BG, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}), \quad (2)$$

fixing a smooth infinite dimensional model of $\pi : EG \rightarrow BG$ by embedding G into $U(N)$.

Remark 3. *The CS/WZW correspondence is **not surjective** for non-simply connected Lie groups, which explains why chiral algebras only exist at certain particular values of the level.*

To understand the WZW model in the image of CS/WZW correspondence, we need to refine the transgression map (2) using Deligne cohomology:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_{\mathcal{D}}^4(BG, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{D}}^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^4(BG, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}),
 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

This transgression map is obtained from the canonical principal G -bundle over $S^1 \times G$ with connection, which is

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_{S^1} \times S^1 \times G}{\Omega G},$$

where \mathcal{A}_{S^1} is the space of G -connections on $S^1 \times G$, $\mathcal{A}_{S^1} \rightarrow G$ is a universal ΩG -bundle.

Let $\phi \in H^4(BG, \mathbb{Z})$ and Φ be the corresponding G -invariant polynomial on the Lie algebra of G .

Then a connection \mathbb{A} on the universal bundle EG over BG uniquely determines a Deligne class

$$c_\phi(EG, \mathbb{A}) \in H_{\mathcal{D}}^4(BG, \mathbb{Z}),$$

via $(\phi, \Phi(F_{\mathbb{A}})) \in H^4(BG, \mathbb{Z}) \times \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^4(BG)$. Pull $c_\phi(EG, \mathbb{A})$ back to $S^1 \times G$, then integrate along S^1 ,

$$\int_{S^1} : \quad H_{\mathcal{D}}^4(S^1 \times G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^3(G, \mathbb{Z}),$$

we get a degree 3 Deligne class $H_{\mathcal{D}}^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

The degree 4 Deligne class $c_\phi(EG, \mathbb{A})$ defines a 2-gerbe \mathcal{Q}_ϕ over BG , called [the universal Chern-Simons bundle 2-gerbe](#) with curvature $\Phi(F_{\mathbb{A}})$ and C-field given by Chern-Simons forms.

Denote by \mathbf{BGrb}_M the bi-category of bundle gerbes over M and stable isomorphisms between bundle gerbes. The space of 2-morphisms between two stable isomorphisms is one-to-one corresponding to the space of line bundles over M .

For a smooth submersion $\pi : X \rightarrow M$, there is a natural associated simplicial manifold $\{X_n = X^{[n+1]}\}$ with $X_0 = M$, $X_1 = X$ and $X^{[n+1]}$ is the $(n + 1)$ -fold fiber product of π .

Definition 4. *A bundle 2-gerbe on M consists of a smooth surjective submersion $\pi : X \rightarrow M$ together with*

1. *An object $(\mathcal{Q}, X^{[2]})$ in $\mathbf{BGrb}_{X^{[2]}}$.*
2. *A stable isomorphism $m : \pi_1^* \mathcal{Q} \otimes \pi_3^* \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \pi_2^* \mathcal{Q}$ in $\mathbf{BGrb}_{X^{[3]}}$ defining the bundle 2-gerbe product which is associative up to a 2-morphism in $\mathbf{BGrb}_{X^{[4]}}$.*
3. *The 2-morphism satisfies a natural coherency condition in $\mathbf{BGrb}_{X^{[5]}}$.*

Remark 5. Geometrically, \mathcal{Q}_ϕ is a bundle gerbe over $EG^{[2]}$, obtained from the pull-back of a bundle gerbe $\mathcal{G}_{\tau(\phi)}$ over G by the canonical map $\hat{g} : EG^{[2]} \rightarrow G$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \mathcal{G}_{\tau(\phi)} \\
 & & \Downarrow \\
 & & (G, \omega_\phi) \\
 \mathcal{Q}_\phi & \nearrow \hat{g} & \\
 \Downarrow & & \\
 EG^{[2]} & \xrightarrow[\pi_2]{\pi_1} & (EG, CS_\phi(\mathbb{A})) \\
 & & \downarrow \pi \\
 & & (BG, \Phi(F_\mathbb{A})),
 \end{array}$$

where $\pi^* \Phi(F_\mathbb{A}) = dCS_\phi(\mathbb{A})$, and

$$(\pi_2^* - \pi_1^*)CS_\phi(\mathbb{A}) = \hat{g}^* \omega_\phi - d\Phi(\mathbb{A}, d\hat{g} \cdot \hat{g}^{-1}).$$

Here ω_ϕ is the corresponding left-invariant closed 3-form ω_ϕ on G . The bundle gerbe $\mathcal{G}_{\tau(\phi)}$ can be equipped with a connection and curving whose curvature is ω_ϕ .

Theorem 6. *Given a bundle gerbe \mathcal{G} over G , the pull back bundle gerbe $\hat{g}^*\mathcal{G}$ over $EG^{[2]}$ is a bundle 2-gerbe on BG if and only if the Dixmier-Douady class of \mathcal{G} is in the image of the transgression map τ .*

Definition 7. *A bundle gerbe \mathcal{G} over G , whose Dixmier-Douady class is transgressive, is called a *multiplicative bundle gerbe*.*

For a multiplicative bundle gerbe \mathcal{G} over G , the corresponding WZW action satisfies the following property:

$$e^{S_{WZW}(g_1 \cdot g_2)} = e^{S_{WZW}(g_1)} \cdot e^{S_{WZW}(g_2)}$$

for any pair of smooth maps $g_i : \Sigma \rightarrow G$. Here the WZW action is given by the bundle gerbe holonomy of the Deligne class.

Example 8. *The Wess-Zumino-Witten model on $SO(3)$ is multiplicative if and only if the level is an even class in $H^3(SO(3), \mathbb{Z})$, or a multiple of 4 in $H^3(SU(2), \mathbb{Z})$ under the pull-back homomorphism.*

2.2 Loop group representations

Using geometry of gerbes, we now understand more about positive energy representations of loop groups, in particular loop groups of non-simply connected, compact simple Lie groups.

Simply connected case

Positive energy representations of loop group LG at level $k > 0$ can be obtained from the geometric quantization of affine coadjoint orbits at level k .

Given a Riemann surface Σ with one boundary component which is pointed by fixing a base point on the boundary, denote by \mathcal{M}_Σ the based moduli space of flat G -connections on Σ .

The boundary holonomy map defines a group valued moment map

$$\mu_\Sigma : \mathcal{M}_\Sigma \longrightarrow G,$$

in the sense of Alekseev-Malkin-Meinrenken, \mathcal{M}_Σ is called a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space.

Remark 9. *Note that $(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$ and the universal ΩG -bundle \mathcal{A}_{S^1} define a canonical Hamiltonian LG -manifold*

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma = \mathcal{M}_\Sigma \times_G \mathcal{A}_{S^1}$$

with a proper moment map

$$\hat{\mu}_\Sigma : \hat{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{S^1} \cong L\mathfrak{g}^* \times \{k\}.$$

Moreover, the pull-back of the canonical lifting G -equivariant bundle gerbe \mathcal{G}_k to \mathcal{M}_Σ admits a trivialization given by a \widehat{LG} -equivariant pre-quantization line bundle over $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma$. The geometric quantization of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma$ realizes all the positive energy representations of LG at level k .

Denote by $\mathcal{Q}_{G,k}$ the category of quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces admitting a \widehat{LG} -equivariant pre-quantization line bundle. There exists a well-defined fusion product \boxtimes on $\mathcal{Q}_{G,k}$ induced from the group multiplication $G \times G \rightarrow G$. The category $(\mathcal{Q}_{G,k}, \boxtimes)$ is called the [fusion category of generalized symmetric D-branes](#).

Theorem 10. *There exists a quantization functor defined by the $Spin^c$ quantization along various coadjoint orbits*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{k,G} : (\mathcal{Q}_{G,k}, \boxtimes) \longrightarrow (R_k(LG), *)$$

satisfying

$$\mathcal{Z}_{k,G}(M_1 \boxtimes M_2) = \mathcal{Z}_{k,G}(M_1) * \mathcal{Z}_{k,G}(M_2),$$

where the product $$ on the right hand side denotes the fusion ring structure on the Verlinde ring $R_k(LG)$.*

Remark 11. *The non-simply connected case is more subtle and interesting. We explained certain modular invariants from the WZW theory on non-simply connected compact Lie groups using transgressive WZW model. For those Deligne classes not in the image of the transgression map, we need higher spin CS/WZW correspondence to understand the fusion ring structure on the representation rings of LG at level k .*

Non-simply connected case

For a non-simply connected, connected, compact, simple Lie group G . Equivalence class of central extensions of its loop group is classified by

$$(\chi, \kappa) \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(G), U(1)) \oplus H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}),$$

or an element in $H_G^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that $H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for all such G except $PSO(4n)$

$$H^3(PSO(4n), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Applying the quantization functor

$$\mathcal{Z}_{G,(\chi,\kappa)} : (\mathcal{Q}_{G,(\chi,\kappa)}, \boxtimes) \longrightarrow R_{(\chi,\kappa)}(LG),$$

we know that $R_{(\chi,\kappa)}(LG)$ admits a fusion ring structure if and only if $\chi = +1$ and κ is transgressive.

Example 12. For $G = PSU(3)$, $(\chi, \kappa) = (+1, 6)$, we get the simple current modular invariant of $PSU(3)$ at level 6 in terms of the Kac-Peterson character of $LSU(3)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\chi_{6,(0,0)} + \chi_{6,(6,0)} + \chi_{6,(0,6)}|^2 \\ & + |\chi_{6,(1,1)} + \chi_{6,(4,1)} + \chi_{6,(1,4)}|^2 \\ & + |\chi_{6,(3,3)} + \chi_{6,(0,3)} + \chi_{6,(3,0)}|^2 + 3|\chi_{6,(2,2)}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

3 Twisted K-theory

There are four equivalent definitions of twisted K-groups. For simplicity, we assume that M is a compact manifold. Given

$$\sigma \in H^3(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(M, \underline{U(1)}) \cong H^1(M, \underline{PU(\mathcal{H})}),$$

where $PU(\mathcal{H})$ is the projective unitary group (with norm topology) of an infinite dimensional, complex, separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle \mathcal{P}_σ over M , unique up to an isomorphism.

3.1 First Definition

Denote by

$$\mathcal{A}_\sigma = \Gamma(M, \mathcal{P}_\sigma \times_{PU(\mathcal{H})} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$$

the associated stable, continuous trace C*-algebra with spectrum M . Then the twisted K-group is defined by

$$K_\sigma^i(\mathcal{A}_\sigma) := K_i(\mathcal{A}_\sigma) = KK(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{A}_\sigma).$$

3.2 Second Definition (Rosenberg)

Note that $PU(\mathcal{H})$ acts continuously on $Fred(\mathcal{H})$, the space of Fredholm operators with norm or compact-open topology, via the conjugation action of $U(\mathcal{H})$, also acts on

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = \{1 + u \in U(\mathcal{H}) \mid u \text{ is of trace class}\}$$

continuously. Rosenberg proved that

$$K_\sigma^0(M) \cong \pi_0(\Gamma(M, \mathcal{P}_\sigma \times_{PU(\mathcal{H})} Fred(\mathcal{H})))$$

$$K_\sigma^1(M) \cong \pi_0(\Gamma(M, \mathcal{P}_\sigma \times_{PU(\mathcal{H})} \mathcal{U}_1(\mathcal{H}))).$$

So a twisted K^0 -class is represented by families of locally defined Fredholm operators

$$\phi_\alpha : U_\alpha \longrightarrow \text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$$

satisfying $\phi_\beta = \text{Ad}_{\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}}(\phi_\alpha)$.

Remark 13. *Mickelson and Freed-Hopkins-Teleman constructed G -equivariant twisted K -classes on G using families of cubic Dirac operators for WZW models.*

3.3 Third Definition (Adelaide School)

Consider the lifting bundle gerbe \mathcal{G}_σ associated to the principal bundle \mathcal{P}_σ and the central extension

$$1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 1.$$

Bouwknegt-Carey-Mathai-Murray-Stevenson studied Hilbert bundles over \mathcal{P}_σ with structure group

$$\mathcal{U}_K = \{1 + \text{compact operator}\} \subset U(\mathcal{H}),$$

admitting a bundle gerbe action of \mathcal{G}_σ . Let $\mathcal{E}_{bg}^{U_K}(\mathcal{P}_\sigma)$ be the category of bundle gerbe modules of \mathcal{G}_σ . They showed that

$$K_\sigma^0(M) \cong K(\mathcal{E}_{bg}^{U_K}(\mathcal{P}_\sigma)).$$

3.4 Fourth Definition (Canberra Branch)

Carey-Wang introduced the bundle of Hilbert-Schmidt operators associated to \mathcal{P}_σ ,

$$\mathbb{A}_\sigma = \mathcal{P}_\sigma \times_{PU(\mathcal{H})} \text{End}_{HS}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{U}_2 = \{1 + \text{Hilbert-Schmidt operator}\} \subset U(\mathcal{H}).$$

A Hilbert bundle E over M with structure group \mathcal{U}_2 is called a \mathbb{A}_σ -module if there is a bundle homomorphism:

$$\mathbb{A}_\sigma \otimes E \longrightarrow E.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U}_2}^{\mathbb{A}_\sigma}(M)$ be the category of \mathbb{A}_σ -modules and \mathbb{A}_σ -morphisms. We showed that

$$K(\mathcal{E}_{bg}^{UK}(\mathcal{P}_\sigma)) = K(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U}_2}^{\mathbb{A}_\sigma}(M)).$$

- Remark 14.**
- *The above definitions can be generalized to locally compact manifolds, then $K_\sigma^i(M) \cong K_\sigma^{i+1}(M \times \mathbb{R})$.*
 - *Equipped the underlying gerbe with a bundle gerbe connection and curving, a variant twisted K-theory with twisting given by a degree 3 Deligne class can be similarly defined as in Fourth definition. This version is crucial for the definition of twisted Chern characters.*

3.5 Main properties of twisted K-theory

1. Functorial property for proper maps:
2. Mayer-Vietoris sequence:
3. Thom isomorphism: Let $\pi : V \rightarrow M$ be a real oriented vector bundle of rank k , then

$$K_{\pi^* \sigma}^i(V) \cong K_{\sigma + W_3(V)}^{i+k \bmod(2)}(M)$$

for any $\sigma \in H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $W_3(V)$ is the third integral Stiefel-Whitney class.

Wrong way functoriality: Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a differential map, there exists a canonical homomorphism:

$$f! : K_{f^*\sigma + W_3(f)}^*(X) \longrightarrow K_{\sigma}^{*+d(f)}(Y)$$

for $\sigma \in H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$, $W_3(f) = W_3(X) + f^*W_3(Y)$ and $d(f) = \dim(X) - \dim(Y) \pmod{2}$.

Moreover,

$$(f \circ g)! = f! \circ g!$$

for smooth maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$.

-
- Remark 15.** • *Note that $W_3(f) = 0$ if and only if f is K -oriented. The above result generalizes the wrong way functoriality of Connes-Skandalis for the K -oriented push-forward map in ordinary K -theory, and the result of Bouwknegt-Evslin-Mathai for the K -oriented push-forward map in twisted K -theory.*
- *Equivariant version for a compact Lie group action can be established with due attention to various topologies and third integral equivariant Stiefel-Whitney classes.*

4 Application II

4.1 D-brane charge

Freed-Witten defined a **Type IIB D-brane** on a 10-dimensional spin manifold X with a B-field, whose characteristic class is $\sigma \in H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$, as a cycle

$$\iota : M \longrightarrow (X, \sigma)$$

satisfying

$$\iota^* \sigma + W_3(M) = 0,$$

together with a complex vector bundle E over M .

With the push-forward map at hand, we can define the charge of D-branes taking values in twisted K-theory:

$$\iota_! : K^0(M) \cong K_{\iota^* \sigma + W_3(M)}^0(M) \longrightarrow K_\sigma^*(X).$$

4.2 Index theory (Even case)

Recall the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for an even dimensional closed manifold X

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & K^0(T^*X) & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ K_0^t(X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_0^a(X), \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & \mathbb{Z} & \end{array}$$

ϵ_* (on the bottom-left and bottom-right arrows)

where $K_0^t(X)$ and $K_0^a(X)$ are the topological and analytical K-homologies respectively, $\epsilon : X \rightarrow pt$.

Analytical D -branes: \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Fredholm module over $C(X)$, modulo operator homotopy equivalence.

Topological D -brane: a closed $Spin^c$ manifold M with a continuous map $\iota : M \rightarrow X$, a complex vector bundle E over M ; modulo cobordism, disjoint & direct sum and vector bundle modifications (K-oriented maps).

Apply the Thom isomorphism $K^0(T^*X) \cong K_{W_3(X)}^0(X)$ and the push-forward map $\epsilon_!$, we have another interpretation of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K^0(T^*X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_{W_3(X)}^0(X) \\
 \searrow \text{\textit{a-Index}} & & \swarrow \epsilon_! \\
 & \mathbb{Z} &
 \end{array}$$

Similarly, the equivariant Atiyah-Singer index theorem for compact group G action:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_G^0(T^*X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_{W_3^G(X)}^0(X) \\
 \searrow \text{\textit{a-Index}} & & \swarrow \epsilon_! \\
 & R(G) &
 \end{array}$$

4.3 Index theory for discrete torsion

Let Γ be a discrete group, acting **properly** on a manifold X . A $U(1)$ -valued group 2-cocycle σ determines a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}_\sigma \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1.$$

The $\hat{\Gamma}_\sigma$ equivariant K-group $K_{\hat{\Gamma}_\sigma}^0(X)$ is defined by Baum-Connes using Γ -compactly supported complexes of $\hat{\Gamma}_\sigma$ equivariant vector bundles over X . They showed that

$$K_{\hat{\Gamma}_\sigma}^i(X) \cong K_i(C_0(X) \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma).$$

There is a canonical (flat) gerbe associated to this action groupoid and σ . If Γ acts on X freely, then we have

$$K_{\hat{\Gamma}_\sigma}^i(X) \cong K_{f^*\sigma}^i(X/\Gamma)$$

where $f : X/\Gamma \rightarrow B\Gamma$ is the classifying map of the Γ -bundle $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\Gamma$, and

$$\sigma \in H^2(\Gamma, U(1)) \cong H^2(B\Gamma, U(1)).$$

For simplicity, we assume that $w_2^\Gamma(X) = 0$, that is, X admits a Γ -invariant spin structure. We can think $f^*\sigma$ as a degree 3 Deligne cohomology class whose curvature is zero. Then there is a well-defined Chern character

$$ch_\sigma : K_{\Gamma,\sigma}^0(X) \longrightarrow H^*(X/\Gamma, \mathbb{R}).$$

As $w_2^\Gamma(X) = 0$, we have

$$K_{\Gamma,\sigma}^0(T^*X) \cong K_{\Gamma,\sigma}^0(X).$$

Let E represent a twisted K-class in $K_{\Gamma,\sigma}^0(X)$, the corresponding twisted K-class $[\mathcal{D}_E^\sigma]$ in $K_{\Gamma,\sigma}^0(T^*X)$ has a well-defined analytical index (see Baum-Connes)

$$a - \text{Index}(\mathcal{D}_E^\sigma) \in K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma, \sigma)).$$

Applying the Γ -trace, we have the following index theorem for discrete torsion:

$$\text{Trace}_\Gamma(a - \text{Index}(\mathcal{D}_E^\sigma)) = \int_{X/\Gamma} \hat{A}(X/\Gamma) ch_\sigma(E).$$

Assume that $\sigma = e^{2\pi i \rho}$ for a \mathbb{R} -valued group 2-cocycle ρ . Baum-Connes showed that there exists an isomorphism $r : K_{\Gamma}^0(X) \rightarrow K_{\Gamma, \sigma}^0(X)$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\Gamma}^0(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_{\Gamma, \sigma}^0(X) \\ \text{ch} \downarrow & & \text{ch} \downarrow \\ H^*(X/\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{e^{2\pi i f^* \rho}} & H^*(X/\Gamma, \mathbb{R}). \end{array}$$

Now applying the index theorem for discrete torsion, we get

$$\text{Trace}_{\Gamma}(a - \text{Index}(\mathcal{D}_E^{\rho})) = \int_{X/\Gamma} \hat{A}(X/\Gamma) e^{2\pi i f^* \rho} \text{ch}(E).$$

This is the index theorem of Baum-Connes (1982), restated by Gromov (1991), and proved by Mathai (1998) using heat kernel method.

Potential application to problems in geometry and topology is under investigation.

4.4 Freed-Hopkins-Teleman Theorem

$$K_{G,(\kappa+h^v,\chi)}^{\dim G}(G) \cong R_{\kappa,\chi}(LG),$$

for $(\kappa, \chi) \in H_G^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\kappa + h^v > 0$, where h^v is the dual Coxeter number of G .

In the light of our work, we can interpret Freed-Hopkins-Teleman Theorem using the quantization functor and the push-forward map along ΩG :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & K(\mathcal{E}_{G,(\chi,\kappa)}) & \\
 \mathcal{Z}_{G,(\chi,\kappa)} \swarrow & & \searrow \text{Push-forward} \\
 R_{\kappa,\chi}(LG) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_{G,(\kappa+h^v,\chi)}^{\dim G}(G)
 \end{array}$$

where the dual Coxeter shift comes from the level of spin representation along ΩG .

Remark 16. $K_{G,(\kappa+h^v,\chi)}^{\dim G}(G)$ admits a ring structure if and only if the twisting (κ, χ) is transgressive. For those (κ, χ) not transgressive, we need further twisting from $H^1(G, U(1)) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(G), \mathbb{Z})$.